Page 1 THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD IN THE MATTER OF:) AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE) PART 214, SULFUR LIMITATIONS, PART) R15-21 217, NITROGEN OXIDES EMISSIONS,)(Rulemaking-Air) AND PART 225, CONTROL OF EMISSION) FROM LARGE COMBUSTION SOURCES.)

TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS

taken before HEARING OFFICER DANIEL L. ROBERTSON by LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR, a notary public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, at the Illinois Pollution Control Board, 302 North Chicago Street, Joliet, Illinois, on the 29th day of July 2015, A.D., at 10:00 o'clock a.m.

July 29, 2015

Page 2 1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 2 ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, 100 West Randolph Street 3 Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 814-6983 4 BY: MR. DANIEL L. ROBERTSON, HEARING OFFICER, 5 ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 6 Chairman Deanna Glosser, Ph.D. 7 Board Member Jennifer Burke Board Member Jerome O'Leary, Board Member Carrie Zalewski, 8 Board Member Gerald Keenan 9 Ms. Marie Tipsord, Legal Department Mr. Anad Rao, Technical Unit Ms. Lisa Liu, Technical Unit 10 11 ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION AGENCY, 1021 North Grand Avenue East 12 P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276 (217) 782-5544 13 BY: MS. DANA VETTERHOFFER, 14 Appeared on behalf of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency; 15 16 ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP, 215 East Adams Street Springfield, Illinois 17 62701 (217) 522-5512 BY: MS. ABBY ALLGIRE, 18 19 Appeared on behalf of the Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group; 20 SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP, 21 233 South Wacker Drive Suite 6600 2.2 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 258-5646 23 BY: MR. STEPHEN J. BONEBRAKE, 24 Appeared on behalf of the Midwest

July 29, 2015

1 2 3	INDEX Pages Opening Remarks by the Hearing Officer 4 - 5 Preliminary Matters Discussions 6 - 11 Agency Questions and Responses 11 - 73	
	Opening Remarks by the Hearing Officer 4 - 5 Preliminary Matters Discussions 6 - 11 Agency Questions and Responses 11 - 73	
3	Preliminary Matters Discussions 6 - 11 Agency Questions and Responses 11 - 73	
	Agency Questions and Responses 11 - 73	
4		
5	Public Comment	
6	All Public Comments Officer 81 - 130 Closing Remarks by Hearing Officer131 - 133	
7		
8		
9	ΕΧΗΙΒΙΤ S	
10	Marked Admitted	
11		
12	Agency Exhibit D 11	
13	Agency Exhibit E 11	
14	IERG Exhibit A 79	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

July 29, 2015

	Page 4
1	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay.
2	We going to get started. It is ten past 10:00
3	for anyone still walking in. We do have signup
4	sheets on the back table for anyone would who
5	like to sign in for public comments and we have
6	a number of available chairs as well to your left
7	if you are looking for seats.
8	Good morning, everybody. My
9	name is Daniel Robertson and I have been appointed
10	by the Board to serve as the hearing officer in
11	this proceeding entitled, "In The Matter of
12	Amendments to 35 Illinois Administrative Code,
13	Part 214, Sulfur Limitations, Part 217, Nitrogen
14	Oxides Emissions and Part 225, Control of Emissions
15	from Large Combustion Sources."
16	I will turn on the microphone.
17	Can everyone hear me okay? This proceeding is
18	listed as R 15-21 in the Board's docket. With
19	me today is the Presiding Board Member Jennifer
20	Burk and next to her from the Board's technical
21	unit we have Anad Rao and Lisa Liu. And to my
22	left we have the Board's chairman, Dr. Deanna
23	Glosser. We also have Board members Jerry O'Leary,
24	Carrie Zalewski, Gerry Keenan. We're also joined

July 29, 2015

Page 5 1 today by some attorneys on the Board's staff. We have Jason James, Mark Powell and Marie 2 3 Tipsord. 4 This rulemaking was filed 5 under Sections 4, 10, 27, 28 and 28.2 of the Environmental Protection Act. 6 7 On May 7th, the Board granted 8 the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's 9 motion for expedited review and proceeded to first notice without substantive comments on the merits 10 11 of the proposal. 12 This is the second of three 13 public hearings that the Board will hold. The 14 first took place on July 8th in Springfield where the Agency presented testimony and answered 15 16 questions. We also heard a number of public 17 comments. 18 The third hearing will 19 take place in Pekin on August 4th. 20 All of this information is 21 available on the Board's website and the transcript 22 of the first hearing is also an available. Today, 23 we will hear testimony -- additional testimony 24 and public comment on the Agency's proposal.

July 29, 2015

	Page 6
1	The Illinois Environmental
2	Regulatory Group and Sierra Club both pre-filed
3	testimony for this hearing.
4	Before we continue, the Board
5	did receive two objections yesterday to Sierra
6	Club's testimony of Mr. Sahu. One was filed by
7	the Agency and one filed by Midwest Generation
8	and I would just like to address that before we
9	move on.
10	Does Sierra Club want to start
11	or?
12	MS. BUGEL: Yes. Thank you. My
13	name is Faith Bugel, F-A-I-T-H, last name is
14	B-U-G-E-L. I'm here representing Sierra Club.
15	We hopefully have resolved
16	those objections. We have worked with Mr. Ron Sahu,
17	R-O-N, S-A-H-U, to make him available next week
18	at the August 4th hearing. So we will not be
19	presenting him today. We will be presenting him
20	next week at the August 4th hearing and a notice
21	to that effect will be filed in the docket for
22	this case today.
23	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
24	you.

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 07/30/2015 July 29, 2015

	Page 7
1	Does the Agency have any
2	comments on this objection?
3	MS. VETTERHOFFER: My name is Dana
4	Vetterhoffer, Assistant counsel for the Illinois
5	EPA.
6	And if Mr. Sahu will be available
7	at the third hearing, that does resolve Agency's
8	objections.
9	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank you.
10	And for Midwest Generation?
11	MR. BONEBRAKE: Similarly, this is
12	Steve Bonebrake for Midwest Generation.
13	If Mr. Sahu is available for
14	questions at the next hearing, that resolves our
15	objections as well and we will reserve any further
16	challenges to the testimony of Mr. Sahu until next
17	time.
18	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank you.
19	Okay. So getting back to today's
20	procedure, Sierra Club and the Environmental Law &
21	Policy Center pre-filed questions for the Agency.
22	The Board also issued questions for the Agency,
23	which the Agency responded to on July 23rd.
24	On that date, the Agency also

July 29, 2015

	Page 8
1	filed post-hearing comments following the first
2	hearing and we did have some copies on the back
3	shelf there if anyone wants copies of anything.
4	Again, the documents are all
5	available on the Board's website. Please note
6	that any question asked by a Board member or staff
7	is intended to help build a complete record for
8	the Board's decision and not to express any
9	preconceived notion or bias.
10	Anyone who did not pre-file
11	testimony or questions will still be allowed to
12	offer either today time permitting. Likewise,
13	any members of the public who wish to speak will
14	also be given time to comment later and if you
15	want to offer a public comment, but do not wish
16	to speak today, you may still file a written
17	public comment with the Board.
18	So in terms of the order for
19	today, we will begin with the Agency pre-filed
20	testimony, but we do have questions. So we will
21	begin with the questions of Sierra Club and then
22	anyone else who wishes to specifically ask
23	questions of the Agency's witnesses will then be
24	given the opportunity to do so.

July 29, 2015

	Page 9
1	Once the Agency has completed
2	testifying, we'll then move on to the pre-filed
3	testimony of the Illinois Environmental Regulatory
4	Group and with time permitting, anyone else who
5	wishes to testify today will be allowed to.
6	Once the testimony is complete
7	we will provide time for anyone who wishes to
8	offer a public comment. As I mentioned, we do
9	have signup sheets at the back if you would like
10	to sign in.
11	We have quite a few members
12	of the public here. I ask that during testimony
13	if you wish to ask a question, please put your
14	hand up and wait to be acknowledged and once
15	acknowledged, please state your name and whom
16	you represent before you begin your questions.
17	This is only so the court
18	reporter can be sure to take down everything
19	everyone's saying and also for anyone testifying
20	or giving comment, if you use any acronyms,
21	please just state it in the entirety the first
22	time. If you refer to any specific documents,
23	please be specific on that what document is. If
24	you have a copy to hand in as an exhibit, you're

July 29, 2015

Page 10 1 welcome do so. This is again just helpful for 2 the Board to complete the record. 3 We do have microphones as well. 4 When you are speaking, if possible, just use the 5 microphone. We have a number of people sitting 6 in the back and we just want to make sure they 7 can all hear what everyone is saying. 8 Are there any questions on the 9 procedure of the hearing today? 10 Seeing none, we will get started. 11 Would any members of the Board like to make any 12 opening statements? 13 Seeing none, would the Agency 14 like to make any opening statements today? 15 MS. VETTERHOFER: No opening statements, but as you noted, the Agency filed two 16 17 documents after the first hearing in this matter, 18 our responses to the Board's second set of pre-filed 19 questions and post-hearing comments, and the Agency 20 would like to move that each of these documents be 21 entered into the record as exhibits. 22 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay. 23 Let's do that now. 24 So I have the Agency's responses

July 29, 2015

Page 11 1 to the Board's second set of questions and 2 post-hearing comments of the Agency. Are there 3 any objections to entering this as an exhibit? 4 Seeing none, I'm marking the 5 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's 6 responses to the Board's second of pre-filed 7 questions as Agency Exhibit D. I'm marking the 8 post-hearing comments of the Illinois Environmental 9 Protection Agency as Agency Exhibit E. 10 (Documents marked as Agency 11 Exhibits D and E for 12 identification, 7/29/15.) 13 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okav. 14 Before we move on to Agency questions, does 15 anyone -- would anyone else like to introduce 16 themselves and make an opening statement? 17 Seeing nobody, we will move 18 on to testimony starting with the Agency. Will 19 the Agency just begin and introduce itself and 20 its witnesses for the record, please? 21 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Again, my name is 22 Dana Vetterhoffer. I'm counsel for the Illinois 23 To my left is Dave Bloomberg and he is the EPA. 24 manager of the Air Quality Planning Section in the

July 29, 2015

	Page 12
1	Bureau of Air of the Agency. To his left is
2	Rory Davis. He is an environmental protection
3	engineer in the Air Quality Planning Section in
4	the Bureau of Air. To his left is Jeff Sprague
5	and he is manager of the modeling unit in the Air
6	Quality Planning Section. Behind me is Jackie
7	Sims and she is the manager of the regulatory unit
8	in the Air Quality Planning Section.
9	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank you.
10	And is it correct you are not offering testimony
11	and moving straight to questions?
12	MS. VETTERHOFFER: That's correct.
13	And David Bloomberg will likely be the one giving
14	most of the responses, but Mr. Davis and Mr. Sprague
15	are also available if anyone has any follow-up
16	questions.
17	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay.
18	Thank you.
19	So we will move on to questions
20	for the Agency then and we did receive the pre-filed
21	questions of Sierra Club and ELPC so we will begin
22	with them depending on which mic you want.
23	Oh I suppose go ahead.
24	MS. BUGEL: Procedurally, how would

July 29, 2015

	Page 13
1	you like to handle this? Would you like me to
2	read the questions so the Agency can answer
3	them? I am also happy for the Agency to read
4	the questions so we don't have to go back and
5	forth.
6	MS. VETTERHOFFER: That's fine.
7	I think it's easier for the Agency to read the
8	questions before
9	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Before
10	the answers? Okay. That's fine. Thank you.
11	MS.VETTERHOFFER: Just one moment.
12	We're going to switch here.
13	MR. BLOOMBERG: I have the one seat
14	without the microphone.
15	My name again is David Bloomberg.
16	So these are Sierra Club's and Environmental Law &
17	Policy Center's pre-filed questions.
18	Number one: IEPA modeling,
19	culpability, spreadsheets: "Lemont non-attainment
20	area" and "Pekin non-attainment area," (December 11,
21	2014).
22	a. IEPA's modeling contained
23	in its spreadsheet "Lemont non-attainment area,"
24	includes modeling of emission sources for which

July 29, 2015

	Page 14
1	90 percent to more than 99 percent reduction in
2	S02 from current allowables would be required
3	to be consistent with the modeling results.
4	i. How does the IEPA project
5	that those sources will achieve a 90 percent to
6	more than 99 percent reduction in SO2?
7	My answer: There are two
8	categories of sources represented in this group.
9	For the first, which includes most of the sources
10	discussed, the Illinois EPA projects reductions
11	of these magnitudes through the requirements for
12	use of ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (15 part per
13	million, or ppm, sulfur content) and residual fuel
14	oil with 1,000 ppm sulfur content, rather than
15	currently permitted fuel sulfur content levels.
16	As the Illinois EPA has noted, such fuels are
17	already in wide use. So the reductions in question
18	are primarily reductions in allowable emissions.
19	Additional selected sources
20	identified in Section 214.603 have accepted lower
21	allowable limits not related to the use of
22	lower-sulfur fuels. Once again, these reductions
23	are in allowable, not actual, emissions.
24	Question ii: Does IEPA expect

July 29, 2015

	Page 15
1	those sources to achieve that merely through the
2	use of low-sulfur fuels per Sections 214.121 to
3	122, 214.161 to 162, 214.201, 214.301 and 214.421?
4	Response: Mostly yes. However,
5	as I mentioned, there are selected sources in
6	214.603.
7	b
8	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Excuse me,
9	Mr. Bloomberg?
10	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
11	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: I'm sorry.
12	Can we maybe just pause between the questions and
13	see if anyone has any follow-up questions at that
14	time, I mean, there to any of those answers?
15	Yes, sir?
16	MR. HARLEY: Yes. My name is Keith
17	Harley, H-A-R-L-E-Y, representing Citizens Against
18	Ruining the Environment.
19	Mr. Bloomberg, you started your
20	response to the question by saying that there were
21	two categories or tiers of the sources and you
22	talked about those that would use ultra-low sulfur
23	diesel fuel and then you talked about others that
24	would be subject to lower limits.

July 29, 2015

Page 16 1 Is the second category of 2 sources those sources that are subject to those 3 lower limits? MR. BLOOMBERG: I'm not sure I 4 5 understand the question. It's -- the second group are the sources identified in Section 6 7 214.603 that have lower allowable -- acceptable lower allowable limits not related to lower-sulfur 8 fuels. 9 10 MR. HARLEY: Thank you. 11 MR. BLOOMBERG: Okay. 12 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 13 you. 14 MR. BLOOMBERG: Questions b or 1 b: 15 The modeled emission rate for NRG/Midwest Generation Will County is lower than its current limit for SO2. 16 17 i: Does the modeled emission 18 rate assume any emission reductions from Will County 19 Unit 4? 20 The modeled emission Response: rate reflects a reduction in the allowable emission 21 22 rate. 23 ii: Is the modeled emission rate higher than the actual emission rate that Will 24

July 29, 2015

	Page 17
1	County Unit 4 is achieving in recent months?
2	Response: Yes. The modeled
3	rate is higher than actual emissions over the
4	last six months, which is not surprising since
5	the modeled rate is maximum allowable rate. The
6	maximum hourly emissions from Will County 4 since
7	January 1 of this year was 2,487 pounds.
8	Question iii: What is IEPA's
9	basis for IEPA requiring a 90 to 99 plus percent
10	of hundreds of sources in the Lemont non-attainment
11	area, but no reduction from Will County 4, one of
12	the largest sources of SO2 in the non-attainment
13	area? Can IEPA explain how that's equitable?
14	Response: The 90 to 99 percent
15	reductions are, as discussed a moment ago, due to
16	reductions in allowable emissions through the use
17	of ultra low-sulfur diesel, which is already in use
18	throughout Illinois. The Illinois EPA does not
19	expect those sources to need add-on controls or,
20	indeed, really make any changes at all.
21	iv: How did IEPA select the
22	amount by which the affected sources would be
23	required to reduce their emissions?
24	Response: The Agency discussed

July 29, 2015

Page 18 the selection process in the technical support 1 2 document. Please see Page 24 of that document. 3 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Any 4 follow-up questions at this point? 5 Yes, ma'am? MS. OWEN: I'm Verena Owen. 6 That's 7 V-E-R-E-N-A, O-W-E-N. I wonder if Mr. Bloomberg 8 could please repeat the answer on Unit 4 of Will County? I was really interested in the question, 9 but then I -- I don't know that he answered the 10 11 question. 12 Which question? MR. BLOOMBERG: 13 MS. OWEN: On the first Will County 14 question, Will County 4. The first question 15 MR. BLOOMBERG: 16 was does the modeled emission rate assume any 17 emission reductions from Will County Unit 4? MS. OWEN: 18 Yes. 19 Okay. And I --MR. BLOOMBERG: 20 MS. OWEN: Would you please repeat 21 your answer for me? 22 MR. BLOOMBERG: The modeled emission 23 rate reflects a reduction in the allowable emission 24 rate.

July 29, 2015

	Page 19
1	MS. OWEN: So the answer is yes.
2	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
3	MS. OWEN: Thank you.
4	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Go ahead,
5	sir.
6	MR. BONEBRAKE: Steve Bonebrake. One
7	related question for Mr. Bloomberg to clarify is
8	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Can you
9	speak into your microphone? Thank you.
10	MR. BONEBRAKE: Sorry. I have just
11	one follow-up question, Mr. Bloomberg.
12	If I understand you correctly,
13	the reductions for the hundreds of sources referred
14	to in Question 1 b iii is in the allowable emissions
15	from those hundreds of sources and similarly, the
16	reductions in the emissions required by the proposed
17	rule for Will County 4 are also in allowable
18	emissions for Will County 4; is that correct?
19	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
20	MR. BONEBRAKE: So in that way, the
21	sources are being treated in the same fashion; is
22	that correct?
23	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
24	MR. BONEBRAKE: Thank you.

July 29, 2015

Page 20 1 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Anyone 2 else? Thank you. 3 MR. BLOOMBERG: All right. 1 c: 4 Under existing state law, Will County Unit 4 5 would be required to install flue gas desulfurization technology to control sulfur 6 7 dioxide emissions. This proposed rulemaking 8 would eliminate that required. Given that 9 Will County Unit 4 would not have to reduce its 10 actual emissions under this proposed rulemaking, (see testimony of Ron Sahu) did IEPA determine 11 12 how much higher Will County Unit 4's emissions 13 would be under this proposed rulemaking than the already existing law? If IEPA did not 14 15 determine how much higher emissions would be, 16 why not? 17 Response: It would be difficult 18 to determine the precise emission rate at Will 19 County 4 without the proposed amendments. 20 Regardless of whether or not an FDG -- and that 21 was short for flue gas desulfurization -- is 22 required, Will County 4 will continue to be 23 subject to average annual SO2 emission rates 24 in 35 Illinois Administrative Code 225.295(b).

July 29, 2015

Page 21 1 Starting in 2017, those units will meet a fleet-wide average of 0.15 pounds per million BTU. 2 3 This is reduced in 2018 to 0.13 pounds per million 4 And again in 2019 to 0.11 pounds per million BTU. 5 As to why the Agency did not determine how BTU. much higher emissions would be, the purposes of 6 7 this rulemaking is to bring two non-attainment 8 areas into attainment and modeling has demonstrated 9 that further controls at this unit are not necessary to reach attainment at this time. 10 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 11 Any follow-up questions? 12 13 MR. BONEBRAKE: Yes, sir. Mr. Hearing 14 officer. Thank you. 15 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Can T 16 remind you to use microphone again? Sorry. 17 MR. BONEBRAKE: I'll get it 18 eventually. 19 Mr. Bloomberg, just another 20 follow-up question. You mentioned Will County 4 21 would continue to be subject to the CPS SO2 system 22 rate; is that correct? 23 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. MR. BONEBRAKE: And under the IEPA's 24

T

July 29, 2015

	Page 22
1	proposed rule, that system rate would exclude
2	certain Midwest Generation units that had earlier
3	been included in that SO2 emission rate; is that
4	correct?
5	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
6	MR. BONEBRAKE: And which units would
7	be excluded?
8	MR. BLOOMBERG: The units that are
9	switching to natural gas.
10	MR. BONEBRAKE: Which units are those,
11	Mr. Bloomberg?
12	MR. BLOOMBERG: Joliet 6, 7 and 8 and
13	Will County 3.
14	MR. BONEBRAKE: So the effect of
15	IEPA's proposed rule is to reduce the number of
16	units that would be included in the SO2 system
17	rate average; is that correct?
18	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
19	MR. BONEBRAKE: Does that effect
20	of making the system rate more stringent because
21	there are fewer units against whose emissions can
22	be averaged against the rate?
23	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
24	MR. BONEBRAKE: Thank you.

July 29, 2015

Page 23 1 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okav. 2 Mr. Harley? 3 MR. HARLEY: Are any of the units, 4 which are switching to natural gas, located in the 5 Lemont non-attainment area? 6 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 7 MR. HARLEY: Which units that are 8 switching to natural gas are located in the Lemont non-attainment area? 9 10 MR. SPRAGUE: My name again is Jeff Sprague. Unit No. 3, Will County Station. 11 12 MR. BLOOMBERG: If the -- to add 13 to that, the Joliet units, while not in the 14 non-attainment areas, would be impacted, that 15 non-attainment area. 16 MR. HARLEY: Has it always been the 17 position of the Illinois EPA that the Joliet units 18 effect the air quality in the Lemont non-attainment 19 area? 20 MR. BLOOMBERG: I can't really answer 21 that question as to whether it's always has been the 22 Agency's position on that. 23 MR. HARLEY: Since 2010? 24 MR. BLOOMBERG: I don't know. I was

July 29, 2015

Page 24 1 not involved in this particular aspect of the Agency's actions in 2010. 2 3 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Yes. You need to use the microphone, please. Thank 4 5 you. 6 MS. OWEN: Thank you. It was my 7 understanding that Will County 3 is not switching 8 to gas, but shutting down; am I wrong? 9 MR. BLOOMBERG: My understanding 10 is that they are switching to gas. That's the only information that I have currently. At the 11 very least, they will cease burning coal. 12 13 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: And we 14 have follow-up question in the back. State your 15 name. 16 MS. RENDULICH: My name is Ellen 17 Rendulich, R-E-N-D-U-L-I-C-H. I'm wondering if 18 number three is shut down, how could it convert 19 to natural gas? Isn't that completely shut down? 20 MR. BLOOMBERG: As I said, I don't 21 have that information. They must cease combusting 22 It is my understanding that they will be coal. 23 switching to natural gas. If they shut down 24 completely instead, that meets the requirements

July 29, 2015

Page 25 1 as well. 2 MR. RENDULICH: I still don't 3 understand. 4 MR. SPRAGUE: Perhaps I could add 5 something to that. I had Midwest Generation has 6 indicated that under unusual circumstances, if 7 there's a great need to add power to the grid that 8 they can bring unit number three back online. So 9 it's conversion of coal to natural gas with 10 fuel oil backup. 11 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Anyone 12 else? Yes. 13 MR. BONEBRAKE: Again, Steve Bonebrake. 14 15 Mr. Bloomberg, is it correct 16 that the proposed rule requires cessation of coal 17 combustion, but does not specify an alternative fuel?18 That is correct. 19 MR. BLOOMBERG: 20 MR. BONEBRAKE: So if Will County 3 21 were to be shut down or combust gas or combust oil, 22 all of those would be consistent with the rule as 23 proposed; is that correct? 24 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.

July 29, 2015

	Page 26
1	MR. BONEBRAKE: Thank you.
2	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Yes,
3	sir?
4	MR. URBASZEWSI: My name is Brian
5	Urbaszewsi, U-R-B-A-S-Z-E-W-S-I. I'm with the
6	Respiratory Health Association.
7	My understanding is that the
8	Unit 3 does not have sufficient pipe gas capacity
9	to actually run completely on natural gas and so
10	is it a certainty that given that engineering
11	strength they would have to run on fuel oil, which
12	has a higher sulfur emission?
13	MR. BLOOMBERG: I have no information
14	about whether or not they can they have enough
15	gas capacity. The rule requires that they cease
16	combusting coal. They have been modeled as a
17	maximum rate that involves low-sulfur diesel oil
18	and the area reaches attainment at that using diesel
19	oil. So they could do that, but that is not my
20	understanding of their plans.
21	MR. URBASZEWSI: Just to follow-up
22	again on your lack of knowledge on Unit 3 status,
23	contrary to press reports that said that Unit 3 is
24	being shut down and the company has stated to the

July 29, 2015

Page 27 1 press, you have no official paperwork or indication 2 that -- from the company that unit will cease to 3 operate? 4 MR. BLOOMBERG: I am not in the 5 permit section. So I can't speak to what the 6 permit section may or may not have. However, 7 the proposed rule requires it. 8 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Any follow-up questions on that? Again, thank you. 9 We're moving on. 10 MR. BLOOMBERG: Question 1 d: 11 How 12 will IEPA respond if errors are discovered in 13 IEPA's modeling during the attainment demonstration 14 proceeding that follows this rulemaking? 15 Response: In the hypothetical 16 situation you describe, the Agency would review 17 any findings of supposed errors to determine if 18 they impacted the demonstration of attainment. Τf 19 such hypothetical errors did, in fact, have such 20 impact, new modeling would be conducted to determine 21 what, if any, changes would need to be made to 22 source emission limits. The Agency would then 23 commence a new rulemaking with the Board to fix 24 those limits. However, the Agency believes this

July 29, 2015

Page 28 1 situation is extremely unlikely. 2 Is there an avenue by which i: 3 this rule could be reopened if errors in the 4 modeling are discovered? 5 Response: My previous response 6 answered this question as well. 7 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Any 8 follow-up questions? Thank you. 9 MR. BLOOMBERG: 1 e: Did IEPA account for SO2 exceedances as a result of startup, shutdown 10 or malfunction in its modeling? 11 12 Response: No. The Agency was not required to account for such SO2 exceedances in the 13 14 modeling. 15 If not, why not? i: 16 No. SIP attainment Response: 17 demonstrations are intended to reflect source 18 impacts with allowable emission rates at design or 19 actual capacity and assuming continuous operation. 20 (See 40 CFR Part 51, Appendix W). ii: 21 If not, is this consistent 22 with permits that allow excess emissions (or 23 affirmative defense for excess emissions) during 24 startup, malfunction or breakdown?

July 29, 2015

	Page 29
1	Response: Yes. It is consistent
2	because permits that address startup, malfunction
3	and breakdown do not override regulatory limits.
4	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Any
5	follow-up questions? Thank you.
6	MR. BLOOMBERG: Question 2:
7	Section 214.603.
8	a: How are the SO2 emissions
9	reductions required by Section 214.603 emission
10	limit for the Powerton plant going to be achieved?
11	Response: As noted in the
12	Agency's response to the Board's Question 51(c),
13	the Agency understands that dry sorbent injection
14	systems, or dry scrubbers, will be installed.
15	b: Does IEPA know of additional
16	pollution control installation projects planned for
17	Powerton?
18	Response: None other than the
19	planned installation of dry sorbent injection
20	systems.
21	c: If so, what are they?
22	Response: This question is not
23	applicable.
24	d: If so, does IEPA know of the

July 29, 2015

Page 30 1 emissions reductions projected for those pollution 2 control projects? If so, what are they? 3 And e: 4 Response: Combining the answer 5 to questions d and e, the emissions reductions are projected to reduce emissions to below the level 6 7 needed to comply with this rule. How did IEPA select the amount 8 f: 9 by which the sources subject to Section 214.603 are 10 required to reduce their emissions? 11 Response: Please see my response 12 to Question (1)(a)(iv). 13 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Anv follow-up questions? Thank you. 14 15 MR. BLOOMBERG: Question 3: 16 Enforceability. 17 For sources where SO2 a: emissions reductions from current actual emissions 18 19 or current allowable emissions were modeled, if 20 those sources do not have emissions limits specified 21 in 214.603, will those sources be receiving a permit 22 with an enforceable limit expressed in pounds per 23 hour SO2. 24 Response: If a source is not

July 29, 2015

	Page 31
1	listed in Section 214.603, then either the Agency
2	has already put reduced limits in an enforceable
3	permit, a reduction is not necessary, or the
4	reduction is occurring through other enforceable
5	means such as the ultra-low sulfur diesel
6	requirement.
7	b: If so, how will that permit
8	limit compare to the modeled limit?
9	Response: Because of my answer
10	to (3)(a), this question is not applicable.
11	c: If not, why not?
12	Response: Because of my answer
13	to (3)(a), this question is not applicable.
14	d: If not, how will the modeled
15	limit for those sources be enforceable?
16	Response: Because of my answer
17	to (3)(a), this question is not applicable.
18	e: If those sources do not have
19	CEMS for SO2, how will IEPA verify the modeled
20	emissions rates required to achieve attainment
21	have been met?
22	Response: Verification will take
23	place the same as it does for other emission limits,
24	through a combination of parametric monitoring,

July 29, 2015

Page 32 1 recordkeeping, inspection and stack testing as 2 necessary. 3 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Any 4 follow-up? Thank you. 5 MS. OWEN: I do have one. I'm 6 sorry. 7 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Could 8 you use the mic, please? Thank you. 9 MS. OWEN: I apologize. When you 10 talk about enforceable permits, did you mean operating permits? 11 12 MR. BLOOMBERG: I mean all enforceable 13 permits. 14 MS. OWEN: Okay. And in your last 15 answer dismissed a whole lot of parameters. Are 16 we talking about operating permits, reporting 17 requirements, operating requirement? The question asked 18 MR. BLOOMBERG: 19 how the IEPA will verify it. The IEPA uses the 20 methods that I described in that answer. 21 MS. OWEN: I see. Thank you. 22 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Yes, 23 Mr. Harley. 24 MR. HARLEY: I just wanted to return

July 29, 2015

	Page 33
1	to some of your previous testimony to clarify
2	for the record. Are the Joliet units located
3	in the Lemont non-attainment area?
4	MR. SPRAGUE: No, they're not.
5	MR. HARLEY: Did Illinois EPA rely
6	on SO2 reductions from the Joliet natural gas
7	conversions in concluding that Will County 4
8	does not should be excluding from FGD
9	requirements?
10	MR. BLOOMBERG: When you ask did
11	we rely on those reductions, as we have described,
12	the modeling was done as an iterative process. So
13	the first round of modeling would have had those
14	emission units within the modeling because that
15	was the base case. That was the current allowable.
16	After that, throughout the
17	iterative process, those units were removed
18	because they will no longer be combusting coal.
19	So as such, the modeling, which forms the basis
20	for this proceeding, would have removed those
21	emissions. So in that regard, yes, it relies
22	on those emissions reductions.
23	MR. HARLEY: But that doesn't quite
24	answer the question because to what extent are

July 29, 2015

Page 34 1 the Joliet units effecting SO2 air quality in the 2 Lemont area? 3 MR. BLOOMBERG: We would need to go 4 back and look at our iterations because every --5 the modeling looks at every receptor and the receptor is a modeling plant within the 6 7 non-attainment area to determine which receptors 8 would have been impacted in that first round by 9 Joliet. So that is not a question we can answer 10 now. MR. HARLEY: In the Agency's original 11 12 testimony, it talks about how under the CPS, the 13 FGD exclusion for Joliet 6 was transferred to Will 14 County 4 and that this was done because Joliet 6, 15 along with the other Joliet units, were reducing 16 their SO2 emissions through natural gas conversion; 17 is that correct? 18 MR. BLOOMBERG: With the addition of 19 Will County 3, yes, essentially. 20 MR. HARLEY: But that switch in 21 exclusion of Joliet 6 to Will County 4 was 22 dependent on the fact that the Joliet units are 23 effecting SO2 non-attainment in Lemont, isn't 24 it?

July 29, 2015

	Page 35
1	MR. BLOOMBERG: There is the
2	units were or are effecting SO2 non-attainment
3	in Joliet. The question is, you know, by how
4	much at any given receptor.
5	MR. HARLEY: Wouldn't you have to
6	have that information in order to allow the
7	switch of the exclusion from Joliet 6 to Will
8	County 4?
9	MR. BLOOMBERG: Well, there is
10	two parts. One, we reviewed all of the available
11	information. Do I have it at my fingertips for
12	every receptor at the model? No, I don't.
13	However, what is key in this
14	rulemaking is to reach attainment of these two
15	non-attainment areas and this proposal reaches
16	attainment.
17	MR. HARLEY: For the record, Care
18	agrees with the essential purpose of this rulemaking
19	and they just can't understand what the exclusion
20	for Will County 4 with FGD requirement has to do
21	with meeting that essential goal. What does it have
22	to do with SO2 attainment in Lemont?
23	MR. BLOOMBERG: As discussed, I
24	believe, in testimony and in responses to questions,

July 29, 2015

	Page 36
1	this was part of our outreach and discussions
2	when moving forward with this rulemaking in the
3	initial stages and part of that outreach is to
4	determine the best way to reduce emissions
5	throughout the area and in order to bring the
6	area into attainment.
7	Midwest Generation proposed
8	this concept and the Illinois EPA modeled this
9	concept and found that it does bring the area
10	into attainment.
11	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Yes,
12	ma'am? Go ahead.
13	MS. OWEN: I'm sorry. I'm new to
14	rulemaking procedure. Can you explain to me what
15	you meant by outreach of discussion? Who do you
16	reach out to?
17	MR. BLOOMBERG: We reached out to
18	impacted or potentially impacted sources. We
19	reached out to groups like environmental groups
20	such as the Sierra Club. We reached out to various
21	interested parties. We had individual meetings.
22	We had a number of phone calls. We had a
23	presentation in August. I believe our outreach
24	efforts are also discussed in the TSD or testimony

July 29, 2015

Page 37 1 or the statement of reasons. I don't remember which document it is. 2 3 MS. OWEN: Thank you. I'll check 4 those out. 5 And as you said, it was NRG's proposed concept or idea for the four? 6 7 MR. BLOOMBERG: Midwest Generation's 8 concept that -- to propose ceasing coal combustion 9 at four different units and moving the exemption to a different unit. 10 11 MS. OWEN: Thank you. 12 MR. BONEBRAKE: Mr. Hearing Officer, a 13 couple of follow-ups, if I may? HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Yes, sir. 14 15 MR. BONEBRAKE: Mr. Bloomberg, does 16 the cessation of coal combustion at Will County 3 17 as provided in the proposed rule assist the state with attaining the SO2 standing in the Lemont area? 18 19 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 20 MR. BONEBRAKE: And was Midwest 21 Generation under any obligation prior to the 22 proposed rule, assuming you adopt it, to cease 23 coal combustion at that unit? 24

July 29, 2015

	Page 38
1	MR. BLOOMBERG: No.
2	MR. BONEBRAKE: And under the
3	proposed rule, as you mentioned, is it correct
4	that the Joliet units would be required to cease
5	combusting coal?
6	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
7	MR. BONEBRAKE: And would that
8	station of coal combustion yield significant SO2
9	emissions reductions?
10	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
11	MR. BONEBRAKE: And would those
12	significant SO2 emissions reductions assist the
13	state with attaining the SO2 standard in the
14	Lemont area?
15	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
16	MR. BONEBRAKE: Would the cessation
17	of coal combustion at the Joliet unit at Will County
18	3 also provide reduction of emissions?
19	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
20	MR. BONEBRAKE: And has the IEPA
21	identified those other emissions in any of its
22	filings?
23	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
24	MR. BONEBRAKE: So an interested

July 29, 2015

	Page 39
1	party then could look at the IEPA prior filings
2	and determine the additional benefits associated
3	with the reductions of other proposed cessation
4	of coal combustion at Will County 3 and Joliet
5	units; is that correct?
6	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
7	MR. BONEBRAKE: Thank you.
8	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Any other
9	follow-up to that? Yes, Mr. Harley?
10	MR. HARLEY: Mr. Bloomberg, is it
11	also true that if Midwest Generation had not ceased
12	coal combustion at the Joliet and Will County 3
13	units, it's possible that units would be subject
14	to additional pollution controls regarding the SO2
15	emissions?
16	MR. BLOOMBERG: It is possible.
17	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Yes,
18	Mr. Harley, before you follow-up, I'm sorry, but
19	I did not have the witnesses sworn in yet. So if
20	we could maybe do that and have the court reporter
21	swear him past and future.
22	THE COURT REPORTER: My pleasure.
23	Raise your right hand. Do you
24	swear the testimony you have given and the testimony

July 29, 2015

	Page 40
1	you will further give has been the truth, and will
2	be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
3	truth, so help you God?
4	MR. BLOOMBERG: I do.
5	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank you.
6	I apologize.
7	Now, Mr. Harley, you had a
8	follow-up?
9	MR. HARLEY: Does the record now
10	before the Board provide the basis for Illinois
11	EPA's conclusion that reductions at the Joliet
12	units will help achieve attainment in a Lemont
13	non-attainment area?
14	MR. BLOOMBERG: In my opinion, yes.
15	MR. HARLEY: Can you direct the
16	participants in the hearing to where that analysis
17	may be located?
18	MR. BLOOMBERG: In my opinion, it
19	is contained in the testimony and responses to
20	questions and in the technical support that has
21	been provided by the Agency.
22	MR. HARLEY: Mr. Bloomberg, earlier
23	you testified that you ran a model based on Midwest
24	Generation's proposal. Is that model available to

July 29, 2015

	Page 41
1	the Board and the other participants in this
2	proceeding?
3	MR. BLOOMBERG: The Board requested
4	all of the modeling inputs and it was provided to
5	them prior to this hearing. I do not know that the
6	Board has the capability to run the model.
7	MR. HARLEY: And again, to be
8	clear, that would include the model that you ran
9	in response to Midwest Generation's proposal?
10	MR. BLOOMBERG: It was the model
11	that we ran to demonstrate that the reductions
12	would bring the non-attainment areas into
13	attainment. It was not specifically run in
14	response to Midwest Generation's proposal.
15	MR. HARLEY: I'm sorry. I'm
16	confused. You earlier testified that you ran
17	a model in response to Midwest Generation's
18	proposal; is that correct?
19	MR. BLOOMBERG: Allow me to clarify.
20	We did many modeling runs. The modeling runs that
21	are final, I would say, the ones that were provided
22	to the Board and to various other groups, included
23	the Midwest Generation's proposal, everything that's
24	in the rule. So it includes all of the proposed

July 29, 2015

Page 42 1 reductions. There was not, to my knowledge, a specific run just for Midwest Generation because 2 3 that wouldn't make sense because we have to take into account all of the reductions. 4 5 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Any 6 follow-up questions at this time? 7 MR. BONEBRAKE: One last question, 8 Mr. Bloomberg. When you say, in your last answer, 9 you needed to take into at all of the reductions, were you referring to all of the reductions that 10 would be required by the proposed rules from all 11 12 of the sources that would be regulated by the 13 proposed rule? 14 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 15 MR. BONEBRAKE: And that's over 1,000 16 sources; is that correct? 17 MR. BLOOMBERG: I believe over 3,000 18 emission units. 19 Thank you. MR. BONEBRAKE: 20 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Yes, 21 Ms. Bugel. 22 MS. BUGEL: I'm turning -- I am going 23 to change direction here a little bit and turn back 24 to a question -- -Mr. Bloomberg you answered 3 a

July 29, 2015

Page 43 1 through 3 e and I did want to follow-up a little 2 bit on answers you gave there. 3 In response to Question 3 a, is it accurate to say that there are sources that 4 5 were modeled that will not be subject to a permit 6 limit reflecting the modeled emissions; is that 7 correct? 8 MR. BLOOMBERG: No. MS. BUGEL: So all of the sources 9 10 subject to the low-sulfur diesel fuel requirements also are subject to a permit? 11 12 MR. BLOOMBERG: No, not necessarily. So to go back to your question, let me amend that 13 14 to a previous question. Let me amend my answer to 15 say they will all be subject to either a permit or a regulatory limit. 16 17 MS. BUGEL: Okay. So would your answer then to 3 c, you -- your previous answer 18 19 indicated that that question was not applicable, but is the -- would you amend that answer now with 20 the statement that you just made? 21 22 So Question 3 a is -- basically, 23 will all sources be receiving a permit with an 24 enforceable limit and the answer to that is no.

July 29, 2015

Page 44 1 Some will be subject to regulatory limit. 2 So does that, in fact, provide 3 the answer to 3 c? 4 MR. BLOOMBERG: Okay. With that 5 clarification, then, yes, there are some sources 6 that will not be receiving a permit limit. They 7 would have to be fairly small sources and they 8 would be subject still to the ultra-low sulfur 9 diesel requirement. 10 MS. BUGEL: So could you then -- in your previous answer you indicated that -- I'm 11 12 referring to 3 d. The question is how will the 13 modeled limits for those sources that are not 14 subject to a permit limit be enforceable? 15 MR. BLOOMBERG: Because presuming 16 the Board passes these proposed regulations, they 17 will be required to use ultra-low sulfur diesel 18 fuel. That is a -- it's a requirement and, 19 therefore, it's an enforceable requirement. 20 MS. BUGEL: Can you explain how the 21 Agency enforces the requirement such as a low-sulfur 22 diesel limit? 23 MR. BLOOMBERG: In this particular 24 case, the most likely manner of doing so as

July 29, 2015

	Page 45
1	discussed I believe at the previous hearing is
2	through review of ultra-low sulfur diesel purchases.
3	At this point in Illinois, the use of ultra-low
4	sulfur diesel is widespread, as we discussed at
5	the first hearing, to the point that or possibly
6	in pre-filed answers to the point that when we
7	contacted fuel distributors a number of them were
8	under the impression that it was already the law,
9	that they could not sell anything but ultra-low
10	sulfur diesel.
11	MS. BUGEL: Can you explain let me
12	rephrase that.
13	Does the sulfur content of
14	ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel vary?
15	MR. BLOOMBERG: It can, to my
16	understanding. I do not claim to be a refinery
17	expert. However, my discussions with people who
18	would have such information indicate it can vary
19	in the downward direction such that the refinery,
20	to ensure that they are not violating already
21	existing state and federal law, may be overly
22	conservative and reduce the amount of sulfur.
23	So if there is variation, it would be lower than

July 29, 2015

Page 46 1 MS. BUGEL: I don't have any further 2 questions right now. 3 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank you. Any other follow-ups to that? Abby? 4 5 MS. ALLGIRE: Abby Allgire with 6 Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group. 7 Mr. Bloomberg, as Ms. Bugel 8 had asked you about how you would know the content 9 and what they would have to do to prove the content, 10 and you indicated that it was by the records from the fuel supplier; is that correct? 11 12 MR. BLOOMBERG: That is my belief as how it will be determined. 13 14 MS. ALLGIRE: Is that how it is 15 currently determined too? I don't know. 16 MR. BLOOMBERG: T'm 17 not familiar enough with that aspect of things. 18 MS. ALLGIRE: So under the current 19 rule, is there like a reporting requirement similar 20 to this? 21 I'm not sure. MR. BLOOMBERG: What. 22 I can tell you is that I have worked for eight and a 23 half years as the manager of the compliance unit of 24 the Bureau of Air and I cannot recall ever having a

July 29, 2015

Page 47 1 problem in that regard. 2 MS. ALLGIRE: Okay. That's all. 3 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Any 4 other follow-up? 5 MR. HARLEY: I'm sorry. HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 6 Go ahead. 7 MR. HARLEY: There is an exhibit 8 that Care will be entering in this proceeding and 9 I wanted to ask if any of the three Agency witnesses 10 who are testifying here today participated in the preparation of the technical support document 11 12 recommended attainment/non-attainment designations in Illinois for the 2010 revised primary one hour 13 SO2 national ambient air quality standard dated 14 15 June 2, 2011? MR. BLOOMBERG: I did not. 16 17 MR. SPRAGUE: I would say indirectly, 18 I did. Two people who work underneath me had 19 primary responsibility for producing that document. 20 So that constitutes my connection with that. 21 MR. DAVIS: I also need to be sworn 22 I'm Rory Davis and I did not swear in. I have in. 23 not answered any question up until now. 24 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Would the

July 29, 2015

Page 48 1 court reporter please swear in Mr. Davis? 2 THE COURT REPORTER: Raise your 3 right hand, please? 4 Do you swear the testimony 5 you're about to give is the truth, the whole 6 truth and nothing but the truth, so help you 7 God? 8 MR. DAVIS: T do. 9 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 10 you. 11 MR. DAVIS: I have not. 12 MR. HARLEY: Mr. Sprague, do you 13 recall in this technical support document if the 14 Joliet units were identified as culpable sources 15 for SO2 non-attainment in the Lemont area? 16 MR. SPRAGUE: Modeling was not used 17 to support the recommendations in that document. 18 So by extension, I certainly can't say that the 19 Joliet source was attributed to non-attainment 20 in the Lemont area. 21 MR. HARLEY: Thank you. 22 MR. BONEBRAKE: Mr. Hearing Officer, 23 I have a follow-up question, if I may. 24 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Yes.

July 29, 2015

Page 49 1 MR. BONEBRAKE: You mentioned that 2 modeling was not used in connection with the 2011 3 recommendation. What data was used? 4 MR. SPRAGUE: The US EPA asked the 5 state to undergo a five-factor analyses in making 6 the recommendations. And I may not recall all of 7 these correctly, but the emissions is one of them. 8 Meteorology is one, meteorology and climatology, 9 topography. I know that political jurisdictions is 10 used in actually defining where the non-attainment 11 areas are going to be. 12 Is it correct that MR. BONEBRAKE: the non-attainment recommendations back in 2011 13 14 were based on monitored and opposed to modeled 15 data? 16 MR. SPRAGUE: That's true. That's 17 correct. 18 MR. BONEBRAKE: And is it also true 19 that US EPA's designations of non-attainment, which 20 are at issue in this rulemaking, were based upon monitoring rather than modeled data? 21 22 MR. SPRAGUE: That's correct. 23 MR. BONEBRAKE: Thank you. 24 MR. HARLEY: Mr. Sprague are you aware

July 29, 2015

Page 50 1 of any ambient air quality monitors that are closer to the Joliet facility than the Lemont 2 3 air quality monitor? 4 MR. SPRAGUE: Monitoring is not 5 my specialty, of course, here, but there was a 6 Joliet SO2 monitor, but I think -- I'm not 100 7 percent sure on this, but I think that was removed 8 from the network. That's the only one that I 9 can think of that would be closer to the Lemont 10 monitor. 11 MR. HARLEY: Thank you. 12 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Any 13 other follow-up questions? Yes, sir. MR. JURAS: Randy Juras of Homer Glen. 14 15 I would like to know why the monitor was shut down 16 or not used. 17 MR. BLOOMBERG: As Mr. Sprague said, 18 he is going by recollection. I don't think any 19 of us can say for sure the answer to that question at this time or even if it was removed. 20 21 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Can you 22 spell your last name for us, please. 23 MR. JURAS: Juras, J-U-R-A-S. 24 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank you.

July 29, 2015

	Page 51
1	MR. JURAS: The reason I'm asking
2	is numerous groups, the Village of Homer Glen
3	and the township of Homer Township have formally
4	requested from IEPA that not only that monitoring
5	be continued, but we would have liked to have
6	seen extended more monitoring to try to pinpoint
7	where the non-attainment was coming from. So
8	the idea that they stopped monitoring it is
9	something we would be interested in knowing.
10	MR. BLOOMBERG: I don't think there
11	was a question there.
12	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Did
13	you have a question based on that.
14	MR. JURAS: If somebody could comment
15	on why that is. You can talk about modeling. We
16	that's a nice concept and everything, but the idea
17	of monitoring tells you what really happened. We
18	would be more interested in monitoring. We have
19	had not only here, this has come up in other
20	regions that we would like to see more for real
21	monitoring rather than just rely on modeling and
22	yet what we are hearing is they are not going to
23	add more monitoring. If anything now, there is
24	less monitoring. I don't quite understand that.

July 29, 2015

Page 52 1 If anybody could answer that, that would be nice. 2 MR. BLOOMBERG: Again, I'm not sure 3 there is a question there. HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: We will 4 5 also have -- we will have commentary as well. If you have a specific question for the Agency witness 6 7 right now, we can take that. 8 MR. JURAS: If someone can supply us that information, that would be nice. 9 10 MR. BLOOMBERG: We can respond to that either at the next hearing or in comments. 11 12 MR. JURAS: Thank you. 13 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 14 you. Yes, Ms. Bugel? 15 MS. BUGEL: I have a question based 16 on several questions ago. 17 Mr. Bloomberg, you indicated 18 you spent, I believe, eight years in the compliance 19 section and you never saw a compliance problem 20 and I believe you were referencing those sulfur 21 fuels; is that correct? 22 MR. BLOOMBERG: I don't recall seeing 23 any compliance related issues with use of low-sulfur 24 fuels.

July 29, 2015

Page 53 1 MS. BUGEL: Can you explain what you 2 mean by compliance related issues? 3 MR. BLOOMBERG: To my recollection, 4 I do not recall seeing a violation notice coming 5 from field inspectors for use of fuel that had overly high sulfur content. 6 7 MS. BUGEL: Do you know how field 8 inspectors would go about verifying the sulfur content of fuels? 9 MR. BLOOMBERG: I believe that 10 11 they would look at the purchased -- the fuel 12 purchased. So fuel purchase records. 13 MS. BUGEL: Okay. 14 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Any other follow-ups? 15 16 Seeing none, we can move on to, 17 I believe, Question 4. 18 MR. BLOOMBERG: Ouestion 4: Τn 19 response to IPCB questions, IEPA stated, "Variation 20 in emissions at the Powerton unit, based on the 21 unit type and the control equipment used, can make 22 compliance with an hourly limit difficult." 23 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's 24 Responses to the Board's pre-filed questions at 10

July 29, 2015

Page 54 1 (July 7, 2015). 2 Is the referred to control a: 3 equipment DSI? 4 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: And 5 Mr. Bloomberg, can you clarify what DSI is for the record as ell? 6 7 MR. BLOOMBERG: That is dry sorbent 8 injection. 9 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 10 you. 11 MR. BLOOMBERG: The next question 12 is where, but I believe it's supposed to be here, 13 were any additional controlled equipment considered 14 in this statement. 15 Response: The control equipment is DSI. 16 17 b: How does the use of DSI create 18 a need for a 30-day average for Powerton? 19 As noted in the Response: 20 Agency's response to the Board's Question 51(c), 21 this type of equipment exhibited the greatest 22 variability in emissions in US EPA's guidance. 23 Additional uncertainty regarding the variability 24 in emissions from these units arises because the

July 29, 2015

Page 55 1 installation of a of the control equipment is not 2 yet completed. 3 If use of DSI at Powerton с: 4 creates the need for an averaging period longer 5 than one hour, is there a reason that an averaging 6 period longer than one hour, but shorter than 7 30 days could not be used? 8 Response: As noted in the Agency 9 response to the Board's Question 51(f), a 30-day 10 averaging period was chosen in consultation with Midwest Generation in consideration of their plans 11 12 to install dry sorbent injection as a control 13 technology at the Powerton units, and in 14 consideration that the variability of the emissions at the source after the installation are yet 15 unknown. Midwest Generation requested that the 16 17 Agency consider a 30-day average for the units and 18 provided the proxy data sets that were subsequently 19 approved by US EPA. Because this option and 20 justification were determined to be acceptable and 21 appropriate by US EPA staff and because the lower 22 emission limit would result in lower overall 23 allowable emissions from the units, other averaging 24 periods were not calculated.

July 29, 2015

Page 56 1 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank you. 2 Any follow-up questions? 3 MR. BONEBRAKE: One follow-up. 4 Mr. Bloomberg, just so the record 5 is clear, is it true US EPA approved the data set and the methodology that IEPA had employed to derive 6 7 the 30-day rolling average emission rate for 8 Powerton? 9 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 10 MR. BONEBRAKE: And that US EPA also approved the actual proposed 30-day rolling average 11 12 emission rate for Powerton? 13 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 14 MR. BONEBRAKE: Thank you. 15 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Ms. Bugel? MS. BUGEL: Yes. I have some 16 17 follow-up questions. 18 Mr. Bloomberg, the IEPA's response 19 to IPCB questions indicated that it was the unit 20 type and the control equipment that made compliance 21 with an hourly limit difficult; is that correct? 22 MR. BLOOMBERG: Do you remember what 23 question that was? 24 MS. BUGEL: That is the same question

July 29, 2015

Page 57 1 we were just referring. I believe it's 51(c). 2 MR. BLOOMBERG: Can you repeat your 3 question, please? 4 MS. BUGEL: My question was in IEPA 5 answer's, you reference -- IEPA references both 6 the unit type and the control equipment can make 7 compliance within hourly limit difficult. 8 MR. BLOOMBERG: I don't see anything 9 in here about the unit type. MS. BUGEL: It will take me a minute 10 11 to try to find my copy of those responses. 12 Okay. We can skip that question. So just one follow-up question; in your previous 13 14 answer, you referenced the reasons for the 30-day 15 Is it correct that you never -- the Agency average. 16 never considered an averaging period shorter than 17 a 30-day average aside from the hourly average? 18 MR. BLOOMBERG: As I said, that is 19 Other averaging periods were not correct. 20 calculated because it was not deemed necessary to 21 do so. 22 MS. BUGEL: What was to deemed not 23 necessary to do so? 24 MR. BLOOMBERG: I believe I answered

July 29, 2015

Page 58 1 that in response to 4 c. 2 MS. BUGEL: I don't have any further 3 questions. 4 MR. RAO: I have a question. Are you 5 aware of any similar units with a longer averaging period than one hour that's approved by US EPA? 6 7 MR. BLOOMBERG: I am not aware of any units outside of Illinois. So I haven't looked 8 9 around to other units across the country to see what they are doing for this, but I do know this 10 has been approved by US EPA. 11 12 Thank you. MR. RAO: 13 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: That's the end of Sierra Club's pre-filed questions. 14 15 Ms. Bugel, did you have any 16 follow-ups at this point? 17 MS. BUGEL: I don't think so. Thank 18 you. 19 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank you. 20 And does anyone else have any 21 questions for the Agency witnesses? 22 Seeing there are no more 23 questions, do any members of the Board or Board 24 staff have question?

July 29, 2015

Page 59 1 Okav. I just have a couple. 2 So I just have one follow-up question for the 3 Agency in the Agency post-hearing comment, it 4 noted it had provided the analysis of economic 5 and budgetary effects, which I requested at the first hearing. That was part of its initial 6 7 finding. I apologize for not clarifying that at the time. The Joint Committee on Administrative 8 9 Rules filed two requests of the Board; one being 10 the analysis, which the Agency provided and the second was the State Mandates Act questionnaire, 11 12 which was filed on the same date. This was 13 available on the Board's website. I'll ask if 14 the Agency can respond to those questions in the 15 State Mandates Act questionnaire. Thank you. And then I know that the 16 17 Sierra Club stated Mr. Sahu would be testifying 18 at the third hearing. We do have five items 19 from Mr. Sahu and Mr. Gray's testimony we would like the Agency to address in a post-hearing 20 21 comments after the hearing. I will point those 22 out specifically. 23 Starting with Mr. Sahu's testimony 24 on Page 5 towards the bottom of the page, he stated

Ī

July 29, 2015

	Page 60
1	his assertion that the rules should include
2	emission limits for all model sources in addition
3	to low-sulfur fuel requirements to ensure attainment
4	of one hour SO2 NAAQS.
5	MR. BLOOMBERG: Mr. Robertson, do
6	you want me to respond to these now if I am able?
7	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: If you
8	would like to respond to these now, that's fine.
9	MR. BLOOMBERG: Okay. As I have
10	mentioned, all of the limits that have been
11	modeled, all of the emissions, started at allowable
12	or permitted emission limits. And what that means
13	is there are already enforceable emission limits on
14	all of the modeled sources.
15	To do so again for, as I
16	mentioned, over 3,000 units would make this a very
17	long rule, it would also make it very redundant, in
18	our opinion.
19	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
20	you.
21	Moving on to Mr. Sahu's
22	testimony at Pages 6 and 7, if the Agency can
23	address the reasons for not including emission
24	limits for all of the larger sources modeled in

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 07/30/2015 July 29, 2015

	Page 61
1	the Lemont non-attainment area? I believe it's
2	the last two sentences on Page 6 going on to 7.
3	MR. BLOOMBERG: This part of
4	Mr. Sahu's testimony confused me because of
5	the 40 units listed, 22 of them are in Indiana.
6	I do not know how the Illinois EPA or the Illinois
7	Pollution Control Board would be expected to
8	regulate Indiana sources even if they were
9	significantly impacting our non-attainment
10	areas.
11	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
12	you.
13	The
14	MR. BLOOMBERG: Hold on one second,
15	please. I'm sorry.
16	As for the others that are
17	actually in Illinois, as I mentioned, if they
18	are not specifically listed in the new proposed
19	regulation, then they already have enforceable
20	limits elsewhere in our regulations for enforceable
21	permit conditions.
22	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
23	you.
24	And moving on to Item No. 2,

July 29, 2015

Page 62

1	well, it's on the testimony Page 6, basically the
2	end of that first large paragraph that starts with
3	the contention beginning with facilities that have
4	a low-sulfur fuel requirements are required to
5	periodically sample a small percentage of the fuel
6	that it burns. The problem is that a facility does
7	not actually test the vast majority of their fuel
8	that will burn and given the fluctuations and the
9	sulfur content of fuels, SO2 emissions can easily
10	exceed assumed limits. So without having an
11	emission limit that reflects the low-sulfur
12	assumptions, the standard is essentially
13	unenforceable.
14	MR. BLOOMBERG: We would obviously
15	vehemently disagree with that assertion. Sulfur
16	fuel content is regulated already by various state
17	
	and federal laws. The refineries have requirements
18	and federal laws. The refineries have requirements as to the sulfur content they are putting into
18 19	
	as to the sulfur content they are putting into
19	as to the sulfur content they are putting into it.
19 20	as to the sulfur content they are putting into it. So the suggestion that the
19 20 21	as to the sulfur content they are putting into it. So the suggestion that the standard is unenforceable, I don't understand where
19 20 21 22	as to the sulfur content they are putting into it. So the suggestion that the standard is unenforceable, I don't understand where that claim comes from. In fact, it's pretty fairly

July 29, 2015

	Page 63
1	BTU burned by a given engine given. You do the
2	math and you know what the emissions are. You
3	especially know what the maximum emissions are
4	because, as I mentioned, if there is any variability
5	in content, it is variability downward. So all
6	of the information that we have looked at are
7	maximum allowable emissions.
8	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
9	you.
10	Thank you. Moving on to the
11	third item, this is again cited testimony at
12	Pages 9 through 12. What else can the Agency
13	respond to his concerns regarding the proposed
14	SO2 limits for the Powerton plant based on that
15	30-day averaging period?
16	MR. BLOOMBERG: It is not clear if
17	he is concerned that it is not that this 30-day
18	averaging is not acceptable to the Sierra Club or
19	to the US EPA. We already know it is acceptable
20	to the US EPA.
21	Beyond that, I'm not entirely
22	sure where he is going with his statements. We
23	can try to address that more both in questions
24	for him and in comments after that, but as I said,

July 29, 2015

	Page 64
1	if Mr. Sahu has a problem with the 30-day
2	averaging, then his problem would seem to lie
3	with the US EPA who has already approved this
4	methodology.
5	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
6	you.
7	Moving on to the fourth item,
8	this is in the testimony of Andrew Gray on Page
9	2. We asked if the Agency can respond to his
10	concerns regarding Agency modeling, the statement
11	at the bottom of Page 2 stating many of the
12	assumptions and data requirements for the modeling
13	were not adequately documented including the
14	selection of adequacy of meteorological data,
15	modeling domain and definition of receptor networks,
16	facility emission rate and stack data sources,
17	building downwash parameters, urban versus rural
18	designations, background concentrations and control
19	strategy approach. The result of that it is not
20	possible to determine the adequacy and reliability
21	of the model results, and the appropriateness of
22	the Agency's proposed emission reduction plan.
23	MR. BLOOMBERG: What Mr. Gray is
24	talking about is a separate matter from this

July 29, 2015

	Page 65
1	rulemaking. He is talking about the attainment
2	demonstration. The attainment demonstration is
3	an Agency demonstration for which we will have
4	a 30-day public notice and public comment period
5	and, if requested, a separate hearing, an Agency
6	hearing, to discuss all of those aspects.
7	It is difficult to impossible
8	to go forward with an attainment demonstration
9	until the Agency knows fairly well what the final
10	regulation is going to look like. So as such, it
11	is too early now for the Agency to move forward
12	in case the Board makes any changes to the proposed
13	regulation.
14	As far as the assumptions and
15	data requirements, in fact, Mr. Gray has been
16	given this information or at least offered this
17	information. So to state that it was not available
18	to him is incorrect.
19	MR. RAO: This information that you
20	said was made available to Mr. Gray, is that now
21	part of the record in this rulemaking?
22	MR. BLOOMBERG: That is part of the
23	very large amount of data that was provided to the
24	Board, I believe, this past week.

July 29, 2015

	Page 66
1	MR. RAO: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN GLOSSER: I have a follow-up
3	question. When you said that US EPA approved the
4	30-day averaging, is it possible that the EPA would
5	have approved some other averaging period, that the
6	US EPA would have approved that one as well? I
7	mean, they wouldn't be fixed on 30 days? They could
8	have approved something other than that?
9	MR. BLOOMBERG: They probably could
10	have if we had presented it to them.
11	CHAIRMAN GLOSSER: So it was not
12	fixed at 30 days.
13	And then I think you were asked
14	this question, but I want to make sure I understand.
15	Is there an example in Illinois of a facility that
16	has also has a 30-day or some other averaging
17	period that's been approved by US EPA.
18	MR. BLOOMBERG: No. This is the
19	first Illinois rulemaking under this current
20	way of looking at SO2 and so which is also
21	why nationwide and I'm not even aware because
22	other states are going through the same process we
23	are.
24	CHAIRMAN GLOSSER: Okay. Thank you.

July 29, 2015

Page 67 1 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: And 2 then the third item was the Gray testimony on 3 Page 3, the concern that the Agency's -- towards 4 the bottom of the third page -- the Agency's 5 proposed decision to allow Will County Unit 4 6 to continue operating without the SO2 control 7 equipment (such as FGD) will have a significant 8 affect on the ability of the area to reach attainment with the one-hour SO2 NAAOS. 9 10 MR. BLOOMBERG: Mr. Gray proved 11 himself wrong in that same paragraph because he 12 talks about the quote, unquote, peak receptor 13 and notes that that peak receptor is in attainment. 14 So if Will County 4 is contributing to that peak 15 receptor and that receptor is still in attainment, 16 as are all of the receptors, then he has proven 17 the point that it's unnecessary to control Will County 4 to reach attainment. 18 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 19 Thank 20 Those are all of our line items. you. 21 Does anyone have follow-up 22 questions at this time? Okay. We have a couple 23 from Mr. Harley and Ms. Bugel. 24 MR. HARLEY: In response to

July 29, 2015

Page 68 1 Chairperson Glosser's question, you made reference to the current way of looking at SO2. What did you 2 3 mean by "the current way of looking at SO2"? 4 MR. BLOOMBERG: Sorry. I could have 5 been clearer with my terminology there. I mean, the EPA current guidance under the one-hour 6 7 standard, the 2010 one-hour standard. MR. HARLEY: The fleet wide standard 8 9 that would apply to Will County 4, was that 10 developed under the current way of looking at SO2? MR. BLOOMBERG: No. 11 12 MR. HARLEY: Thank you. 13 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Ms. Bugel? 14 MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, earlier I was trying to find a page number for a quote. 15 I was not able to find it. I have found it now. 16 17 May I have leave to go back to that earlier discussion? 18 19 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Go ahead, 20 please. 21 MS. BUGEL: Thank you. I appreciate 22 that. 23 So Mr. Bloomberg, earlier I had 24 referenced -- I had erroneously referenced a

July 29, 2015

	Page 69
1	question as 51(c) when we were discussing unit
2	type. I have located that. It is actually in
3	IEPA's responses to the Board's first set of
4	pre-filed questions and it's on Page 10.
5	It's the response to Question 18
6	and the reference to unit type is in the very first
7	line of response. It says, variation in emissions
8	at the Powerton unit based on the unit type and
9	the control equipment used can make compliance with
10	hourly limit is difficult. Do you see where I am
11	now?
12	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. Mr. Davis is
13	going to clarify that.
14	MR. DAVIS: I think I've answered that
15	question. Did you have a question about the unit
16	type?
17	MS. BUGEL: Yes. My question was how
18	does the unit type make compliance with an hourly
19	limit difficult?
20	MR. DAVIS: The unit type in question
21	is really referring back to the guidance the US
22	EPA guidance and, you know, it's fairly general.
23	It's
24	a steam generating unit. So it's not, you know, a

July 29, 2015

70

	Page
1	specific type of EGU, just that it's a well,
2	it's a base load EGU. So that would be the unit
3	type we we're referring to. Those units are the
4	ones that are kind of singled out in the guidance
5	as units that may need a longer averaging period.
6	MS. BUGEL: So base load EGUs are
7	singled out in the guidance as units that need a
8	longer averaging period, is that what you are
9	saying.
10	MR. DAVIS: Well, not necessarily
11	base load, but coal-fired steam generators, yeah.
12	MS. BUGEL: So coal-fired steam
13	generators are singled out in the guidance as
14	potentially needing an averaging time longer than
15	an hourly average?
16	MR. DAVIS: Yes. They are cited in
17	one of the appendices that they that they are
18	actually the example of the unit that may need a
19	longer averaging period.
20	MS. BUGEL: And I just have two
21	further questions on the longer averaging period.
22	US EPA, in its guidance, indicated that it
23	recommended supplemental limits in addition to
24	the longer term averaging limits. Are you aware

July 29, 2015

Page 71 1 of that? 2 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 3 MS. BUGEL: Did IEPA consider 4 supplemental limits in addition to the 30-day 5 average for the Powerton facility? 6 MR. BLOOMBERG: No. It is a 7 recommendation and US EPA approved our plan without 8 including that. 9 MS. BUGEL: Why did IEPA not consider 10 supplemental limits? 11 MR. BLOOMBERG: We did not believe 12 they were necessary. 13 MS. BUGEL: Why not? 14 MR. BLOOMBERG: Because they did not 15 impact on attainment of these two non-attainment 16 areas. 17 MS. BUGEL: What do you mean when 18 you say they did not impact on attainment of these 19 two non-attainment areas? 20 Sorry. Such limits MR. BLOOMBERG: 21 were not necessary for demonstrating attainment and 22 reaching attainment for these two non-attainment 23 areas. 24 MS. BUGEL: Did EPA guidance also

July 29, 2015

	Page 72
1	suggest that where 30-day when longer limits
2	are used that information be provided on emission
3	variability to enable the EPA to judge whether
4	the frequency and magnitude of elevated emissions
5	can be expected to be sufficiently constrained?
6	Did IEPA provide that information on emission
7	variability to US EPA?
8	MR. BONEBRAKE: Mr. Hearing Officer,
9	could the record be clarified as whether Ms. Bugel
10	is quoting US EPA guidance or paraphrasing her
11	understanding of that guidance?
12	MS. BUGEL: I am happy to confirm.
13	My quote is from US EPA guidance and the quote
14	begins, "Enable the EPA to judge whether the
15	frequency and magnitude of elevated emissions can
16	be expected to be sufficiently constrained." That
17	is directly from US EPA guidance.
18	MR. BLOOMBERG: We provide US EPA
19	with the proxy data from the Potomac yes, the
20	Potomac River Generating Station, which included
21	variability data.
22	MS. BUGEL: Can you explain what you
23	mean by proxy data from the Potomac River Generating
24	Station?

July 29, 2015

	Page 73
1	MR. BLOOMBERG: I believe that was
2	explained in our technical support document, but
3	because the controls are not yet all of the
4	controls are not yet operating at the Powerton
5	plant, a proxy set of data for similar controls
6	was used from the Potomac River Generating Station,
7	Units 3, 4 and 5, and that contained 42 months
8	of data.
9	MS. BUGEL: I don't have any further
10	questions. Thank you.
11	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
12	you. Does anyone else have any additional questions
13	for the Agency witnesses?
14	Seeing none, do any members of
15	the Board or Board staff have any additional
16	questions?
17	Well, thank you to the Agency
18	and its witnesses for the time today and for
19	participating answering questions.
20	Before we move on, I just want
21	to give an additional thank you to the Agency's
22	witnesses and attorney. We have the Board
23	has submitted numerous questions, I think a good
24	100 or so questions to you. There have been tight

July 29, 2015

	Page 74
1	schedules. You have been very approachable and
2	consistent with getting responses to us quickly
3	clarifying, being available at hearing, and
4	we appreciate it. So thank you.
5	So next, we have the pre-filed
6	testimony of IERG, but I think we're going to take
7	a quick ten-minute break. It is now 11:45. So we
8	will be back at 11:55. We'll go off the record.
9	Thank you.
10	(Whereupon, after a short
11	break was had, the following
12	proceedings were held
13	accordingly.)
14	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay.
15	We are back on the record. It's 12:00 o'clock.
16	We do have the testimony of IERG to get to, but
17	before we get to that, we just had a request for
18	public comment from someone who has to leave
19	shortly. So we are going to move that forward.
20	During public comment, I just
21	ask that you please use the podium on the left
22	here and speak into the microphone. Obviously,
23	state your name and spell it, if necessary, and
24	anyone that you might.

July 29, 2015

Page 75 1 So is Cathy Rehr available? 2 MS. REHR: Thank you very much. I 3 have to go to work. My name is Cathleen, with a C, 4 last name R-E-H-R. 5 I am testifying as an impacted neighbor, resident of Lockport, Illinois for ten 6 7 I am here to request that you withhold years. 8 approval of the proposed non-attainment plan for 9 the one-hour sulfur dioxide ambient air quality 10 standards until the draft rule is strengthened in several areas. 11 12 My purpose here today is to give 13 you a personal story as to how my family is already 14 affected by the unsafe air in my area. I am lucky 15 enough to live in the same community as my grandchildren, whom I adore, as any grandparent 16 17 would. Their mom, my daughter, is an avid health 18 advocate. 19 From the day her children began to eat solids, she made sure they ate healthy. 20 She does everything right. She makes sure they're 21 22 getting their daily recommended nutritional balance, 23 she keeps them active, spends time outside, keeps up 24 immunizations. She does all of the right things so

July 29, 2015

Page 76 1 they grow up healthy, happy and curious about the 2 world around them. 3 One thing she has no control over is the air that they breathe. Her son, my 4 5 grandson, was very sick with pneumonia a few years back. Recently, he began having occasional 6 7 breathing difficulties. He's been back and forth to the 8 9 doctors searching for a diagnosis. They found an 10 area in his lung that was slightly scarred from the pneumonia. Because of this, we have been told 11 that he is more sensitive to the air quality. 12 Ιt 13 breaks my heart to see my grandchild have to pull 14 out his inhaler during a coughing fit struggling to 15 breathe. If this was your child, I'm sure you would feel the same. 16 17 As I began to discuss with friends 18 and family in the area, I was really surprised to 19 learn the amount of children to carry these inhalers around. Modern medicine is a great thing, but the 20 cost of keeping these children healthy really hits 21 22 your pocketbook. It reduces the quality of life, 23 not just for those who are ill, but for the entire 24 family when it comes time to pay these doctor bills.

July 29, 2015

	Page 77
1	I am here to ask you, to plead
2	with you, really, to require NRG Energy's Will
3	County plant to comply with longstanding pollution
4	reduction requirements and reject the proposed
5	exemption from the state law contained in the
6	draft rule.
7	I'm here to ask you, to plead
8	with you, to require NRG Energy's Powerton coal
9	plant to comply with an hourly limit on it's sulfur
10	dioxide emissions to adequately protect community
11	members in the Peoria and Pekin communities.
12	I'm here to ask you, to plead
13	with you, to require a stronger emission limit at
14	the Dynegy ED Edwards coal plant along with an
15	expedited date for the installation sulfur dioxide
16	pollution controls.
17	As a community member, as a mom,
18	as a grandmother, I respectfully request that you
19	make these critical improvements to the rulemaking
20	to protect my, and my grandson's, air quality.
21	Thank you very much.
22	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
23	you.
24	Does anyone else that has to

July 29, 2015

Page 78 1 leave for any reason, would they like to comment 2 now? 3 Seeing nobody, we will continue 4 to testimony. On July 17th, the Board received 5 the pre-filed David Kolaz, that's K-O-L-A-Z, on behalf of IERG, and then amended testimony was 6 7 filed on July 23rd. 8 Does IERG have any opening 9 statements that you would like to make? MS. ALLGIRE: No. We would just like 10 to thank the Board, the hearing officer and all the 11 members for having us here to present testimony and 12 13 ask any follow-up questions. At this time, we would like to 14 15 just admit Mr. Kolaz's amended testimony as exhibit 16 as read and then Mr. Kolaz will provide an opening 17 statement. 18 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Are there 19 any objections to submitting the testimony as read? 20 Would the court reporter please 21 swear in the witness? 22 THE COURT REPORTER: Raise your 23 right hand, please? 24 Do you swear the testimony

July 29, 2015

Page 79 1 you're about to give is the truth, the whole 2 truth and nothing but the truth, so help you 3 God? 4 MR. KOLAZ: I do. 5 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 6 you. 7 Seeing no objections, I'm going 8 to enter the amended testimony of David Kolaz behalf of IERG Exhibit A. 9 (Document marked as IERG Exhibit 10 11 A for identification, 12 7/29/15.) 13 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okav. 14 Thank you, Mr. Kolaz, you may proceed. 15 MR. KOLAZ: Good afternoon. My name is Dave Kolaz. I'm an environmental consultant for 16 17 the Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group on whose 18 behalf I'm testifying today. 19 As I already mentioned, on 20 July 17th, I filed pre-filed testimony on behalf 21 of IERG. And on July 23rd, that testimony was 22 The amendment was made in order to reduce amended. 23 the likelihood in confusion and delay in the 24 completion of this expedited rulemaking.

July 29, 2015

Page 80 1 Because the Agency is withdrawing 2 its proposed amendments to Section 214.301, the 3 amendments that caused IERG concern, IERG sees no 4 reason to testify on that particular section. 5 My amended testimony focuses on the general history of the sulfur dioxide national 6 7 ambient air quality and on the sulfur dioxide emission reductions that have occurred in Illinois 8 and nationwide. 9 My testimony supports the Agency's 10 efforts to develop regulations that will efficiently 11 12 and effectively achieve the goal of ensuring that 13 Illinois meets the October 4, 2018, deadline for 14 attaining the 2010 sulfur dioxide national ambient 15 air quality standard in the Lemont and Pekin area. 16 I'm happy to answer any questions 17 regarding my amended testimony. 18 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 19 you. 20 So as stated, the testimony has 21 been entered as read. Do you have anything else 22 to add to the questions. 23 MS. ALLGIRE: No, we do not. Thank 24 you.

T

July 29, 2015

	Page 81
1	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Does
2	anyone have any questions for IERG's witness?
3	Seeing none, do any members of
4	the Board or Board staff have questions for
5	Mr. Kolaz?
6	Seeing there are no questions,
7	Mr. Kolaz, I would like to thank you for your
8	time today and Ms. Allgire for you time. Thank
9	you.
10	MS. ALLGIRE: Thank you.
11	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: We do
12	have some signup sheets in the back for anyone
13	else who would wish to testify who did not pre-file
14	their testimony. The sheets are blank. Is there
15	anyone here who would wish to testify before we
16	get to public comments?
17	Seeing none, we will move on
18	to public comments. I will start with the
19	commenters who signed in on the public comment
20	sheets and then following that, if there's any
21	time, anyone who has not signed in that would
22	like to comment, they will be given the opportunity
23	to do so.
24	I will just reiterate that when

July 29, 2015

you offer your comment, if you could please state your name, spell it, state whom you're speaking on behalf of an organization or anybody else. Again, any acronyms or particular documents or anything, if you could spell out the acronyms or if you have the document that would be helpful. Also, for public commenters, if you're not sitting in front of a microphone, I'd just ask that you either move to the podium on the left or there's an open microphone in the back as well just so that we can all hear you. I will start with Lindsey Dubin. MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay? Good afternoon. My name is Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. First off, I wanted to thank you guys so much for allowing me to deliver comments on such an important issue. My concern specifically is with the proposed amendment to Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just agoing to refer to as the CPS.		Page 82
behalf of an organization or anybody else. Again, any acronyms or particular documents or anything, if you could spell out the acronyms or if you have the document that would be helpful. Also, for public commenters, if you're not sitting in front of a microphone, I'd just ask that you either move to the podium on the left or there's an open microphone in the back as well just so that we can all hear you. I will start with Lindsey Dubin. Good afternoon. My name is Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. First off, I wanted to thank you guys so much for allowing me to deliver comments on such an important issue. My concern specifically is with the proposed amendment to Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	1	you offer your comment, if you could please state
4any acronyms or particular documents or anything,5if you could spell out the acronyms or if you have6the document that would be helpful.7Also, for public commenters,8if you're not sitting in front of a microphone,9I'd just ask that you either move to the podium10on the left or there's an open microphone in the11back as well just so that we can all hear you.12I will start with Lindsey Dubin.13MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay?14Good afternoon. My name is15Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the16Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is17spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N.18First off, I wanted to thank19you guys so much for allowing me to deliver20comments on such an important issue. My concern21specifically is with the proposed amendment to22Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined23pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	2	your name, spell it, state whom you're speaking on
<pre>if you could spell out the acronyms or if you have the document that would be helpful. Also, for public commenters, if you're not sitting in front of a microphone, I'd just ask that you either move to the podium on the left or there's an open microphone in the back as well just so that we can all hear you. I will start with Lindsey Dubin. MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay? Good afternoon. My name is Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. First off, I wanted to thank you guys so much for allowing me to deliver comments on such an important issue. My concern specifically is with the proposed amendment to Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just</pre>	3	behalf of an organization or anybody else. Again,
6 the document that would be helpful. 7 Also, for public commenters, 8 if you're not sitting in front of a microphone, 9 I'd just ask that you either move to the podium 10 on the left or there's an open microphone in the 11 back as well just so that we can all hear you. 12 I will start with Lindsey Dubin. 13 MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay? 14 Good afternoon. My name is 15 Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the 16 Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is 17 spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. 18 First off, I wanted to thank 19 you guys so much for allowing me to deliver 20 comments on such an important issue. My concern 21 specifically is with the proposed amendment to 22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	4	any acronyms or particular documents or anything,
Also, for public commenters, if you're not sitting in front of a microphone, I'd just ask that you either move to the podium on the left or there's an open microphone in the back as well just so that we can all hear you. I will start with Lindsey Dubin. MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay? Good afternoon. My name is Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. First off, I wanted to thank you guys so much for allowing me to deliver comments on such an important issue. My concern specifically is with the proposed amendment to Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	5	if you could spell out the acronyms or if you have
if you're not sitting in front of a microphone, I'd just ask that you either move to the podium on the left or there's an open microphone in the back as well just so that we can all hear you. I will start with Lindsey Dubin. MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay? Good afternoon. My name is Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. First off, I wanted to thank you guys so much for allowing me to deliver comments on such an important issue. My concern specifically is with the proposed amendment to Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	6	the document that would be helpful.
9 I'd just ask that you either move to the podium on the left or there's an open microphone in the back as well just so that we can all hear you. I will start with Lindsey Dubin. 13 MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay? 14 Good afternoon. My name is 15 Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the 16 Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is 17 spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. 18 First off, I wanted to thank 19 you guys so much for allowing me to deliver 20 comments on such an important issue. My concern 21 specifically is with the proposed amendment to 22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	7	Also, for public commenters,
<pre>10 on the left or there's an open microphone in the 11 back as well just so that we can all hear you. 12 I will start with Lindsey Dubin. 13 MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay? 14 Good afternoon. My name is 15 Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the 16 Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is 17 spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. 18 First off, I wanted to thank 19 you guys so much for allowing me to deliver 20 comments on such an important issue. My concern 21 specifically is with the proposed amendment to 22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just</pre>	8	if you're not sitting in front of a microphone,
<pre>11 back as well just so that we can all hear you. 12 I will start with Lindsey Dubin. 13 MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay? 14 Good afternoon. My name is 15 Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the 16 Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is 17 spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. 18 First off, I wanted to thank 19 you guys so much for allowing me to deliver 20 comments on such an important issue. My concern 21 specifically is with the proposed amendment to 22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just</pre>	9	I'd just ask that you either move to the podium
12I will start with Lindsey Dubin.13MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay?14Good afternoon. My name is15Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the16Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is17spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N.18First off, I wanted to thank19you guys so much for allowing me to deliver20comments on such an important issue. My concern21specifically is with the proposed amendment to22Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined23pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	10	on the left or there's an open microphone in the
 MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay? Good afternoon. My name is Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. First off, I wanted to thank you guys so much for allowing me to deliver comments on such an important issue. My concern specifically is with the proposed amendment to Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just 	11	back as well just so that we can all hear you.
14Good afternoon. My name is15Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the16Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is17spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N.18First off, I wanted to thank19you guys so much for allowing me to deliver20comments on such an important issue. My concern21specifically is with the proposed amendment to22Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined23pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	12	I will start with Lindsey Dubin.
15 Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the 16 Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is 17 spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. 18 First off, I wanted to thank 19 you guys so much for allowing me to deliver 20 comments on such an important issue. My concern 21 specifically is with the proposed amendment to 22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	13	MS. DUBIN: Can you hear me okay?
16 Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. 18 First off, I wanted to thank 19 you guys so much for allowing me to deliver 20 comments on such an important issue. My concern 21 specifically is with the proposed amendment to 22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	14	Good afternoon. My name is
<pre>17 spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N. 18 First off, I wanted to thank 19 you guys so much for allowing me to deliver 20 comments on such an important issue. My concern 21 specifically is with the proposed amendment to 22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just</pre>	15	Lindsay Dubin and I'm an attorney at the
First off, I wanted to thank you guys so much for allowing me to deliver comments on such an important issue. My concern specifically is with the proposed amendment to Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	16	Environmental Law & Policy Center. My name is
19 you guys so much for allowing me to deliver 20 comments on such an important issue. My concern 21 specifically is with the proposed amendment to 22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	17	spelled L-I-N-D-S-A-Y, D-U-B-I-N.
<pre>20 comments on such an important issue. My concern 21 specifically is with the proposed amendment to 22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just</pre>	18	First off, I wanted to thank
<pre>21 specifically is with the proposed amendment to 22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just</pre>	19	you guys so much for allowing me to deliver
22 Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined 23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	20	comments on such an important issue. My concern
23 pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just	21	specifically is with the proposed amendment to
	22	Section 225.296(b), which is a part of the combined
24 going to refer to as the CPS.	23	pollutant standard, which going forward, I'm just
	24	going to refer to as the CPS.

July 29, 2015

	Page 83
1	Now, this proposed amendment,
2	which was upon information and belief, requested
3	by Midwest Generation would allow Midwest Generation
4	to shirk its commitment to install flue gas
5	desulfurization, which I'll refer to as FGD from
6	now on, and it wants to shirk this commitment
7	assign FGD specifically on Will County Unit
8	No. 4.
9	Now, this issue hinges on the
10	CPS. The CPS is an opt-in program, which was
11	promulgated in 2006 and it is the product of
12	laborious negotiations between many organizes.
13	One such organization that took a lead role is
14	Midwest Generation. Other organizations that
15	participated are other electric generating units
16	or EGUs. The Illinois EPA and many citizens
17	groups, which Environmental Law & Policy Center,
18	the Sierra Club and Respiratory Health Association.
19	Now, under this program,
20	which again is opt-in program, EGUs are afforded
21	a trade-off. They are allowed to be subject to
22	more lax Mercury standards under the Illinois
23	Mercury Rule so long as they implement a couple
24	additional measures. These measures are specific

July 29, 2015

	Page 8
1	to SO2 requirements and to nitrogen oxides.
2	Two particular requirements
3	under the CPS first are a set of tiered SO2
4	reductions that would be implemented over time
5	and then an additional requirement is that these
6	EGUs install FGD on all of their units.
7	Now, let me be clear that
8	these two requirements are separate. Achieving
9	these tiered SO2 reductions does not mean that
10	companies are relieved of their responsibility
11	to install FGD. Reading it otherwise would make
12	these two regulations redundant of one another.
13	Now, Midwest Generation, upon
14	information and belief, opted into this CPS in
15	2007. Following it's opt-in, it was afforded an
16	exemption to FGD installation specific to Joliet
17	Boiler 5, Unit 2 oh, Unit 6 given it's
18	lifespan that was dwindling.
19	Now, this exception was not
20	a blanket exception. Rather, it was a special
21	exception given its lifespan. However, under these
22	proposed SO2 regulations, this exception would be
23	substituted instead for Will County Unit 4.
24	However, the CPS is a package deal. Midwest

L.A. COURT REPORTERS, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 Page 84

July 29, 2015

	Page 85
1	Generation cannot have its cake and eat it too.
2	Rather, it has been reaping the
3	benefits of lower Mercury standards for years and
4	not installing FGD in compliance with CPS is a
5	breach of its agreement, too, that it negotiated,
6	that it opted into, and from which it benefited.
7	I, therefore, urge that the
8	SO2 regulations as proposed be revised to remove
9	the proposed amendment to Section 225.296(b) this
10	will prevent Midwest Generation from not installing
11	FGD on Will County Unit No. 4.
12	Thank you for your time.
13	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
14	you. Now, I apologize. I'm going to backtrack
15	here for a moment. I realize not everyone can see
16	in the entire room. So moving forward with public
17	comments, if you are able, please step forward to
18	the podium on the left. That way everyone can see
19	who is speaking. Thank you.
20	So next, we have Allison Kvien.
21	MS. KVIEN: Hello. My name is Allison
22	Kvien, spelled A-L-L-I-S-O-N, K-V-I-E-N. I'm a
23	legal intern for the Environmental Law & Policy
24	Center. Thank you for allowing me to submit

July 29, 2015

	Page 86
1	comments on this important issue today.
2	I will be talking about our
3	concerns with the Illinois Environmental Protection
4	Agency's failure to discuss reasonably control
5	measures (or RACM) or reasonably available control
6	technologies (RACT) for the major source in the
7	two non-attainment areas.
8	The emissions limits identified
9	do not represent RACT or RACM. By way of example,
10	the unreasonably high emission limit for Will County
11	4 of 6,520 and a half pounds per hour is not RACT
12	or RACM. The Clean Air Act requires that states
13	adopt state implementation plans (or SIPS) for
14	non-attainment areas for bring those areas into
15	compliance with the Clean Air Act. The SIPS must
16	provide for the implementation of all reasonable
17	available control measures as expeditiously as
18	practicable including such reductions and emissions
19	from existing sources in the area as may be obtained
20	from the adoption at a minimum of reasonably
21	available control technology.
22	The technology measures
23	identified implemented in a SIP must, therefore,
24	be RACM, which at a minimum must include RACT.

July 29, 2015

	Page 87
1	Generally, the EPA has interpreted RACM to include
2	all measures including both stationary and mobile
3	sources, mobile source controls that are reasonably
4	available and will assist in achieving the national
5	ambient air quality standards or NAAQS.
6	RACT, on the other hand, only
7	applies to preexisting stationary sources. Thus,
8	RACT is type of RACM specifically designed for
9	stationary sources. The EPA defines RACT as the
10	lowest emissions limitation that a particular
11	source is capable of meeting by the application
12	of controlled technology that is reasonably
13	available considering technological and economic
14	feasibility.
15	However, in each case, RACT
16	should represent the toughest controls that can
17	be applied in a specific situation. In the case
18	of the limit of 6,520 and a half pounds per hour
19	for a single unit at Will County, a stationary
20	source that has no SO2 controls, it is clear that
21	that is not RACT.
22	Midwest Generation is attempting
23	to circumvent any requirements to install dry
24	sorbent injection (or DSI), a form of SO2 control

July 29, 2015

	Page 88
1	that is far less costly than scrubbers at Will
2	County Unit 4. The fact that DSI is being
3	installed on the remainder of the Midwest Generation
4	fleet makes a case for it being reasonably available
5	and also economically feasible.
6	Economic feasibility for RACT
7	purposes is largely determined by evidence that
8	other sources in a source category have, in fact,
9	applied control technology in question. Since
10	Illinois SIP must provide for the implementation
11	of all reasonably available control measures as
12	expeditiously as practical, it must require a lower,
13	more reasonable limit for Will County 4 that compels
14	the implementation of DSI, a reasonably available
15	control measure at that unit.
16	Thank you for allowing me to
17	submit this comment today.
18	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
19	you.
20	Next, we have Michael Polich.
21	MR. POLICH: Good afternoon. My name
22	is Michael Polich, P-O-L-I-C-H. I'm a legal intern
23	at the Environmental Law & Policy Center. Thank
24	you, Board, for letting me speak today about this

July 29, 2015

1 important issue. 2 My comments are going to be 3 focusing on what information was or was not provided 4 along with the model used to determine attainment standards on this issue. 5 US EPA guidance document for 6 7 one-hour SO2 non-attainment area SIPS make clear 8 that prior elements of the SIP. The attainment 9 plan for the affected area should demonstrate through the use of air quality dispersion modeling 10 using allowable emissions and supplemental analysis 11 12 as appropriate that the area will attain the 13 standard by it's attainment date. 14 Unfortunately, the information 15 about the model is not provided until recently. 16 Our concern is the Agency's plan was missing this 17 supporting model documentation. Only the 18 accompanying material that had been published 19 regarding the modeling data was the input, the output files and the summary spreadsheets. 20 Many of the assumptions, 21 22 parameters and data requirements for the model 23 were not adequately documented until very recently. 24 This includes meteorological data, modeling,

> L.A. COURT REPORTERS, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

Page 89

July 29, 2015

	Page 90
1	domain definition and receptor networks, facilities
2	emission rate and state resources, building
3	downwash parameters, urban versus rural
4	designations, background concentrations and
5	control strategy approaches.
6	Specifically, it has been
7	difficult for the public, including ELPC and
8	the Sierra Club, to make reasoned comments when
9	information about the model is not provided
10	until recently in this process. Thank you.
11	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
12	you.
13	Next, we have Faith Bugel.
14	MS. BUGEL: Good afternoon, members
15	of the Board, hearing officer, members of the
16	public. Thank you for the opportunity to comment
17	today. Obviously, we've had a dialogue already
18	today. So I will keep my comments very brief.
19	Out of our dialogue today, I am
20	left with a couple of concerns regarding the 30-day
21	average for Powerton and again, my name is Faith
22	Bugel and I'm here representing Sierra Club for
23	the record.
24	However, as we're skipping that,

July 29, 2015

	Page 91
1	out of the dialogue today, I'm left with a couple
2	of remaining concerns regarding the use of the
3	30-day average for Powerton and our dialogue. It
4	became clear that the EPA did not consider an
5	averaging time longer than one hour and shorter
6	than 30 days considering that this would be a more
7	stringent restraint and this was not considered,
8	I'm concerned that that was an error and a more
9	stringent constrained constraint should have been
10	considered before moving all the way out to a
11	30-day average.
12	In addition, I'm concerned that
13	no supplement limits or considered in addition to
14	the long-term average. Supplemental limits, such
15	as a limit on unit operations or parametric limits,
16	could have been included in the rule. And again,
17	that would make it more stringent and a way to
18	balance out the 30-day average.
19	It's clear that the Agency and
20	Midwest Gen did not consider or discuss this. The
21	Agency's position is that those are not necessary
22	in achieving the NAAQS. However, the modeling was
23	modeling of the 6,000 pounds per hour limit for
24	or emissions rate for Powerton and that but the

July 29, 2015

	Page 92
1	limit in the rule will allow emissions above
2	6,000 pounds per hour. Spikes above that could
3	be hit and still average out to the 30-day average
4	included in the rule.
5	Supplemental limits where
6	additional unit operating limits could constrain
7	those spikes and, therefore, assure that the
8	both the 30-day average is met and also that the
9	modeled limits are that the unit stays within
10	the modeled limits.
11	So it's our position that an
12	averaging time shorter than 30-day average should
13	be considered as a possible additional limit or
14	alternative limit in the rule and/or supplemental
15	limits should be included in the rule.
16	Thank you for your time today.
17	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
18	you.
19	Next up Randy Juras.
20	MR. JURAS: My name is Randy Juras,
21	J-U-R-A-S. I am with the Homer Glen Environmental
22	Committee. I do thank everyone for having a meeting
23	here today. It's very difficult for the public to
24	normally get a chance to weigh in on any of this.

July 29, 2015

	Page 93
1	Before I do anything with my
2	prepared statement, I couldn't help but think
3	as I sat back there and listen to the legalese
4	and whatnot trying to come up with ways to keep
5	Unit No. 4 operating no matter what. It also
6	struck me that the idea of the one-hour criteria
7	is probably a really good one.
8	You could take that unit and
9	have yellow smoke coming out of that stack for
10	30 minutes. Someone would catch it. Something
11	went amuck. Shut it down for a number of days
12	and lo and behold, you would fulfill the 30-day
13	limit.
14	It looks to me like everybody
15	or at least certain members are more interested
16	in making sure that Unit No. 4 operates no matter
17	what. Now, my way of thinking the spirit of the
18	law here should be that the Illinois Pollution
19	Control Board and hopefully the IEPA are more
20	interested doing what's right rather than doing
21	what the costs are.
22	As I understand it, and I'm old
23	enough to remember it, when 1970 rolled around and
24	the EPA was put into place, one of the things they

July 29, 2015

	Page 94
1	always said was the second thing we will consider
2	the cost. The first thing you will do is make it
3	right. That is not what I'm hearing.
4	I'm hearing an awful lot of
5	what can we do to keep those units running. I
6	really don't care what they do in any other state.
7	Now, having looked at the environmental situation
8	that we have had in our area, not only are we
9	looking at what's coming up the smokestack, what
10	do you do with coal ash. The whole business about
11	what they are doing at NRG has to do with putting
12	more stringent things on them.
13	We have seen, because of the
14	Hines Emerald ash bore, stuff was done out
15	there in the wetlands and the Mercury levels were
16	off the chart. Where did it come from? Only a
17	logical source is where it's at.
18	Now, about three years ago, the
19	Care Group, Citizens Against Ruining the Environment
20	and myself, we went before Homer Township Board and
21	asked them to ask the IEPA to find out more about
22	what it would take to, in fact, get more monitors
23	in place so we could pinpoint where the pollutants
24	are coming from. We were told at that time they

July 29, 2015

		Page	95
1	will think about it.		
2	Now, I am hearing today that		
3	not only are we not getting our monitor, it seems		
4	like we are going to get less of them. What I'm		
5	hearing we're going in the wrong direction and		
6	not the right direction.		
7	As far as I'm concerned, I		
8	would like to see the Illinois Pollution Control		
9	Board do their job. I don't care what the costs		
10	are involved here. We know that this area is		
11	non-attainment. What can be done to clean it		
12	up? I can't care about what the ins and outs are		
13	about how to get it down. Just gets it done.		
14	This is not a matter of cost anymore. It's a		
15	matter of public health.		
16	It was not just coincidence		
17	that Cathy, who is on our committee is having		
18	her kids, along with many other kids, people		
19	with asthma, emphysema, COPD, et cetera, they		
20	are the ones that are impacted by this, not		
21	everybody, but they are. Thank you.		
22	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank		
23	you.		
24	Next, we have Tom Jasinski.		

ſ

July 29, 2015

	Page 96
1	MR. JASINSKI: Good afternoon. My name
2	is Tom Jasinkski. My last name is J-A-S-I-N-S-K-I.
3	I'm a lifetime resident of Will County, a parent and
4	I'm also a volunteer for the Care group.
5	I'm here to talk about a family
6	issue. While in grade school, our younger daughter
7	was aspiring to be a musician. She wanted to play
8	the clarinet, but only a year later, she gave up.
9	She had a hard time breathing, couldn't make the
10	notes with the instrument because she had asthma.
11	I can recall the weekend she was
12	staying at a friend's house for a slumber party.
13	Late at night around midnight, we got a call from
14	her. Dad, I can't breathe. I forgot my inhaler.
15	Can you bring it to me? So I spent an hour looking
16	for her inhaler. I got dressed, got in the car,
17	drove several miles to the friend's house to take
18	it to her.
19	A talk about air pollution in this
20	area would not be complete without mentioning the
21	polluted river in this valley. I'll get to that in
22	a minute. On area maps, the Des Plaines River is
23	labeled "Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal". We can't
24	drink that river water, we can't bathe in it, we

July 29, 2015

	Page 97
1	can't swim in it nor can we eat the fish out of it.
2	We are lucky, though, that there are alternate
3	sources of clean water for domestic use. We have
4	deep wells and some communities may have Lake
5	Michigan water available.
6	However, there is no alternate
7	source for the air we breathe. I'll repeat that.
8	There is no alternate source for the air that we
9	breathe. The only choice we have in the air the
10	wind blows our way. Dirty or clean, that is the
11	air we are forced to breathe. The air around us
12	belongs to everyone.
13	We need to require NRG's Will
14	County plant to comply with long-standing pollution
15	reduction requirements and reject the proposed
16	exemption from the state law in the draft rule.
17	Thank you.
18	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
19	you.
20	Next up, we have Brian Ubaszewski.
21	MR. UBASZEWSKI: Ubaszewski. I wanted
22	to say thanks to the Board for allowing me to
23	come in today. My name is Brian Ubaszewski,
24	U-B-A-S-Z-E-W-S-K-I. I am the director of the

July 29, 2015

	Page 98
1	Environmental Health Programs for Respiratory Health
2	Association. We were founded in 1906. We're an
3	organization that works to promote clean air and
4	prevent lung disease.
5	In their filing, the state EPA
6	requests that Unit 4, the largest unit at Will
7	County Generation Station, be exempted from the
8	requirement to install flue gas desulfurization
9	equipment on or before December 31, 2018. The
10	Board should deny that request.
11	Hence forth, I'm going to refer
12	to flue gas desulfurization equipment requirements
13	generally as scrubber or scrubbing equipment.
14	In 2014, the Clean Air Task Force
15	used EPA's modeling techniques to calculate the
16	health impacts of emissions from coal power plants,
17	They found that Will County's operating units led to
18	21 deaths, 33 heart attacks, 360 asthma attacks and
19	15 hospital emissions. Unit 4 is roughly twice the
20	size of the recently apparently shuttered Unit 3,
21	and logic would hold it would be responsible for
22	roughly two-thirds of those health impacts. If Unit
23	4 is run even more often in the future, the health
24	damage would be greater. Unless there are

July 29, 2015

Page 99 1 reductions in actual emissions from Unit 4, not allowable emissions, those health impacts won't 2 decline. If it continues to run, Unit 4 needs a 3 scrubber. 4 5 Illinois plans to reduce air pollution in non-attainment areas rely on the use 6 7 of "Reasonably Available Control Technology" or 8 RACT, especially for major emission sources. RACT 9 is a main historical driver of progress for areas 10 into attainment with federal air quality standards. Since Illinois' State Implementation Plan must 11 12 provide for the implementation of all reasonably 13 available control measures as expeditiously as 14 practicable, it must require a lower emissions limit 15 for Will Country 4 that reflects adding sulfur 16 dioxide scrubber technology. 17 This technology is already in 18 widespread use. In fact, it has been and is being installed on other NRG Midwest Generation coal 19 20 plants in Illinois. Every coal plant built in U.S. 21 in the last 30 years has scrubber technology. Even 22 using the least expensive technology, Unit 4 could 23 remove 80 percent of the sulfur dioxide emissions 24 that people will otherwise be forced to breathe.

July 29, 2015

Page 100 1 That requirement would save 80 percent of the lives 2 being lost due to what comes out of Unit 4. 3 The Combined Pollutant Standard 4 in state regulations, which has been in force since 5 2006, requires Unit 4 to get a scrubber by 2018 if it continues to burn coal. Regardless of what 6 7 financial decisions were made that determined the 8 fate of the other Midwest Generation coal units, 9 it is abundantly clear that Midwest Generation willingly agreed to and voluntarily opted into the 10 Combined Pollutant Standard in 2007. 11 12 It's also clear that NRG, Inc. 13 understood this commitment when they bought Midwest 14 Generation in a \$2.6 billion deal. 15 The company benefitted from that 16 decision. It allowed them to delay meeting strict 17 Illinois regulations to cut toxic mercury emissions. 18 In those extra toxic emissions that they were 19 allowed to emit, it actually wound up in fish people 20 eat. In exchange for permission to continue poisoning food people eat, it committed to meet 21 22 requirements to reduce sulfur dioxide, which causes 23 illness and death. My organization participated in 24

July 29, 2015

	Page 101
1	the negotiations with Illinois EPA that led to the
2	Combined Pollutant Standard option. Relieving NRG
3	Midwest Generation of their sworn commitment and
4	obligation, again one NRG Inc. freely accepted in
5	buying the company, would be a breach of the public
6	trust and undermine future agreements crafted to
7	reduce air pollution.
8	The requirement to add scrubbers
9	at Will County was not driven by a federal
10	requirement to meet the sulfur dioxide standard. It
11	was put in there to save lives before 2010 standard
12	existed. Using this attainment process to remove an
13	obligation that existed prior to the problem the
14	Illinois EPA is now attempting to solve is shameful,
15	deceitful and wrong.
16	Over 1.6 million people in
17	Illinois live with asthma. There are estimates
18	that as many as 580,000 live with Chronic
19	Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) These are
20	people already living with chronic lung disease,
21	people who face challenges in getting medication,
22	dealing with side effects, worrying about added
23	doctor visits, hospital visits or worse.
24	Sulfur dioxide is a respiratory

July 29, 2015

	Page 102
1	irritant that triggers asthma attacks and increases
2	respiratory hospitalizations. When mixed with water
3	vapor, it creates sulfuric acid, battery acid. It
4	also is a main precursor to deadly fine particle
5	pollution.
6	The state of Illinois should be
7	doing everything in its power to protect Illinois
8	residents, not letting profitable corporations out
9	of ironclad commitments those companies made to
10	every Illinois resident.
11	Letting NRG Midwest Generation
12	have its cake and eat it too, delaying mercury cuts
13	for years while avoiding deadly sulfur dioxide
14	emissions in perpetuity, cuts it promised from this
15	coal plant, is not in the public's interest and will
16	not protect the public.
17	The Board must correct EPA's
18	proposal and compel NRG Midwest Generation to add
19	and operate sulfur dioxide scrubbing equipment as
20	state regulations now require.
21	Thank you very much for the time.
22	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
23	you.
24	Michael Anthony?

July 29, 2015

Page 103 1 MR. MORGAN: He had to leave to go to 2 work. 3 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: John 4 Heinz. MR. HEINZ: I'm John Heinz. 5 That's 6 spelled H-E-I-N-Z, and also J-O-H-N. I live here. 7 I sort of help out once in a while with Care and 8 I'm here can the Sierra Club also. One of my concerns, I've noticed 9 10 that when the EPA sets up a standard like this, it's massive. You're saying you can have this many 11 parts per million and that's kind of safe. 12 It's 13 not real safe for you. But you know, then when you 14 have a containment area, the area where they're not 15 achieving this maximum standard, they're saying you 16 have air that is not safe for anyone. 17 You know, when you're at the 18 standard, you're at the maximum, it's kind of 19 safe for most people. Some people, maybe not so 20 much. You know, people, like, who maybe have asthma like my daughter, she's had asthma. She's 21 22 been suffering, you know, all her life from it. 23 It may not have been caused from, you know, whatever 24 happened at the power plants, whatever they're

July 29, 2015

Page 104 1 producing, but it's probably been aggravated by 2 that. I have not yet seen one inhaler sent. So 3 I'm still looking for that. 4 I think maybe these areas where 5 they're not attaining, maybe they should send filters out so that you can actually breathe air 6 7 safely or something like that. They should supply 8 some air that's actually safe for people. Until 9 then, maybe we just consider that really needs to be brought down to something that's actually safe 10 for everybody sooner or later. 11 12 I have also noticed that since 13 the sulfur dioxide unit causes the sulfuric acid, 14 it's actually bad for the infrastructure and I've seen evidence of places where a lot of the 15 infrastructure in the area is made from limestone 16 17 and that that particularly affects that so that 18 this particular pollution is actually eating away the infrastructure. 19 20 It's eating away the roofs of 21 people's houses and bridges and streets, all sorts 22 of things. I don't know that they're actually 23 helping out in repairing any of that while they're 24 doing this pollution.

July 29, 2015

	Page 105
1	I guess that's basically my point
2	is that it's really harmful in a lot of different
3	ways and that it should probably be brought down
4	to the lowest level that it's possible and if, you
5	know, averaging probably doesn't really make a lot
6	of sense in this case, you know, if you're putting
7	out loads of pollution for a little while and then
8	you stop and it's like, oh, we're not making any
9	now.
10	Then you average that together,
11	it's still like there's still a real heavy period
12	of pollution. So if we can do something about
13	that, you know, anything that can be done would
14	be a benefit. Like I said, that's about it for
15	me. Thanks.
16	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
17	you.
18	Next, we have Virgil Kim.
19	MR. KIM: Hi. My name if Virgil Kim.
20	I'm founder of Helpers to Mother Earth. I'm here
21	today because of Care.
22	I moved to Joliet about five
23	years ago and I've been blessed by them and their
24	hard work and they supported me. Also, the

July 29, 2015

Page 106 1 Respiratory Health Association, which I've done bike rides with them for over 30 years. 2 3 I rode cross county twice with them and I've done a bunch of bike rides. I stair 4 5 climb the John Hancock Building. It's one of the 6 hardest things you'll ever do. 7 So I'm concerned as an athlete 8 with air quality. When I moved to Joliet, I 9 didn't realize what a great place this is for bike 10 There's trails that go north, south, east riding. and west that are like 20 miles any direction you 11 want to go. Off of those trails, there are other 12 13 trails. There's a trail here that starts 14 15 in Joliet, I&M Canal Trail, and then it changes and 16 becomes the Centennial Trail. I've ridden that up 17 to Wheaton, I think, three or four times last year. 18 It's about 85 miles. It's really scary for me to 19 get on that trail now because I realize that trail 20 goes right by one of the power plants that we're talking about. 21 22 That trail was built just -- the 23 one extension there, it was just built like five 24 years ago and it's right across the street from

July 29, 2015

	Page 107
1	this power plant. There's people out there.
2	I don't know how many of you are athletic, but
3	if you are athletic and you want to improve
4	yourself, you do what do what we call intervals
5	where you're going as hard as you can, as fast
6	as you can, and increasing your heart rate and
7	you're breathing and breathing and, you know,
8	just about ready to collapse and then you rest
9	and then you do it over again. That's the way
10	you become better at running and biking and so
11	on.
12	So there are people out on this
13	trail that's like right across the street from
14	this power plant that are doing this kind of stuff.
15	I'm pretty crazy. I've got a regular bike that I
16	added a bunch of weight to and put on bigger tires
17	and now it's 50 pounds with bigger tires so I don't
18	have to go as fast in order to get a good workout,
19	but it's just real important that, you know, you
20	do what's what's here is that people are trying
21	to take care of themselves, you know, eating the
22	right foods.
23	You know, I'm a fanatic on all
24	that stuff. I really, really hope that you can

July 29, 2015

	Page 108
1	come through for us. And then on another note,
2	I did get a chance to pass out some information
3	about Helpers of Mother Earth. I founded this
4	about eight years ago and this is a little thing. I
5	hope I don't insult anybody in this room about my
6	theory on what I'm going to say here, but Helpers of
7	Mother Earth, I founded it because I was just
8	out in forest preserves and so on and wasn't
9	enjoying the scenery because there was a lot of
10	litter and a lot of garbage.
11	So I became somewhat well-known.
12	I got my picture in the paper and so on and so
13	forth and then I moved here to Joliet and it's
14	sort of funny. I found the bike riding I wanted
15	here, but, man, did I find the litter and garbage.
16	It's incredible.
17	You know, it's an older town
18	and they have these areas that were just garbage
19	dumps, neighborhood garbage dumps and where homeless
20	people live and so and so fourth. We've had other
21	300 cleanups in Joliet and it's just really been a
22	lot of work.
23	So sort of getting onto the
24	subject here, one of our slogans is litter is a

July 29, 2015

	Page 109
1	pollution everyone can do something about. And
2	when you get through with all of the garbage
3	dumps that are there, what's left is a wooded
4	area a nice wooded area, so on and so forth,
5	and those areas stay pretty nice.
6	So our program is two hours
7	two times a year and we could keep and eliminate
8	litter. That's pollution we have control over.
9	We have control over that pollution. Just like
10	composting and recycling, we have control over
11	that.
12	The big thing I talk about with
13	Helpers of Mother Earth is personal power. It's
14	the personal power we have and I evaluate situations
15	as to personal power.
16	I thank you for giving me the
17	chance to exercise my personal power today with,
18	you know, what I am having to say. I I don't
19	want to step on anybody's toes here because there's
20	a lot of people that are doing a great job, but
21	what I'm asking is are we using our personal power
22	to make and stop pollution or are we finger-pointing
23	and saying you have to do stuff, you have to do
24	things where we could be doing more?

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 07/30/2015 July 29, 2015

-	
,	Page 110
1	Are we all exercising and using
2	our personal power to limit pollution? Like I said,
3	I don't want to step on any toes because you people
4	are doing great jobs here, but I hope you can help
5	me with personal power. Thank you.
6	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
7	you.
8	Next, we have Reverend Dr. Terry
9	Gallagher.
10	REVEREND DR. GALLAGHER: Good
11	afternoon. That's Gallagher spelled just like
12	the watermelon smasher, G-A-L-L-A-G-H-E-R.
13	I want to begin my remarks today
14	by thanking you for the opportunity to address this
15	critical issue. This is really democracy at work.
16	This is what we mean when we formed this union.
17	For the record, I'm Reverend
18	Dr. Terrence Gallagher, an ordained minister at
19	the United Church of Christ and my ministry has a
20	specific focus of ecological justice and what that
21	boils down to is that every child of God deserves
22	to be raised in a healthful environment, in an
23	environment that does not impede their growth
24	into fully functioning human beings either from a

July 29, 2015

Page 111 1 physical or a mental standpoint. 2 I'm a resident of Aurora, 3 Illinois, but my appearance before you this day 4 has the added priority that I raise my voice in 5 defense of my grand kids. My youngest grand kids live in Will County and are directly exposed to 6 7 what comes out of these smoke stacks. So they 8 are subjected to the harmful air pollution emitted 9 from the NRG energy power plant, which is seeking the exemption from having to comply with the legal 10 requirements to reduce the amount of pollution that 11 it generates. It's that simple. 12 13 I have a bit of an unusual 14 background so it's in respect that I gave a little 15 added weight to my comments these days because you see, prior to becoming a minister, I was an engineer 16 17 and a manufacturing executive in the hazardous 18 chemical manufacturing industry for 32 years. So 19 I'm well used to what it takes to comply with regulations, what it takes to operate a facility 20 21 in compliance regulations. 22 I was in this business when 23 EPA came to existence. Several decades ago, I 24 would have been one of the individuals arguing

July 29, 2015

	Page 112
1	that we need to go slower, that we need to minimize
2	the undue restrictions that we would place on
3	generating facilities. Several decades ago, I
4	might have been sitting on your side of the desk.
5	But a long time has past and
6	in those several generations decades since I
7	was a rookie engineer, we have acquired a lot of
8	scientific knowledge. We have acquired a lot
9	of scientific knowledge and just what pollution,
10	what training our atmosphere as a garbage dump
11	does to the health of human beings.
12	So we're well-aware of the
13	acute effects. When we concentrate on the acute
14	affects, it is kind of hard to turn away from a
15	child that's grasping in the midst of an asthma
16	attack. We know that the increased pollution has
17	caused cardiovascular disease, lung cancer.
18	But recently, scientific studies
19	have also concluded that the increase in air
20	pollution is affecting the mental and relational
21	well-being of children and that when mothers are
22	exposed while carrying their child to the increased
23	air pollution particularly in the third trimester,
24	it affects the child's later ability to succeed in

July 29, 2015

Page 113 1 school or not succeed in school. It affects the 2 child's ability to relate or not to relate. 3 In a Harvard study that recently came out this year, it showed that a mother in her 4 5 third trimester that was exposed to the increased levels of small particle pollution that the 6 7 incidence of autism doubled, doubled. So we had several decades and 8 great scientific research where we know and we 9 10 can't claim ignorance anymore, we know what this pollution does. 11 12 At the same time as an engineer, I could tell you we've also gained excellent 13 14 technology and knowledge to apply that technology 15 so that on a very efficient basis we can reduce 16 this pollution. 17 I have to tell you as a moral 18 theologian that NRG has no ethical basis to deny 19 putting life saving equipment on one piece of 20 generating equipment when their competitors prove it's possible and they're doing it. There's no 21 22 moral ethics that no child's life is worth the 23 economics that might run and say, but for this 24 one unit, we don't need to reduce the pollution.

Page 114

There is no basis for that outside of a myopic
 viewpoint.

3 So I come here today to request 4 that you withhold the approval of the proposed 5 non-attainment plan for the one-hour sulfur dioxide national ambient air quality standards until the 6 7 draft rule is strengthened to protect our most 8 at risk population. This rule must require that 9 NRG's energy Will County plant comply with the longstanding pollution reduction requirements and 10 reject the proposed exception from state law 11 12 contained in the draft rule.

13 Our families have already 14 waited too long for this plant to reduce its 15 pollution and have watched as energy decided cleanup in other communities. The draft rule 16 17 contains a surprise exemption for NRG to avoid 18 compliance with the longstanding combined 19 pollutant standard that was designed to reduce 20 harmful emissions across Illinois. 21 The Board and committee should 22 reject this exception, should reject so that we 23 might protect Will County communities and families. 24 As a grandfather of young kids exposed to these

July 29, 2015

	Page 115
1	sources of pollution from three years old to seven
2	weeks old, I request that you make these critical
3	improvements at a rulemaking so that you protect
4	the air they breathe, so that you enable them to a
5	future that they might enjoy.
6	Ecological justice comes down
7	this: All children require a healthy environment
8	irregardless of their zip code, irregardless of
9	one manufacturing or generation facility's
10	short-term economics. Thank you.
11	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
12	you.
13	Next, we have Verena Owen.
14	MS. OWEN: Good afternoon. My name is
15	Verena Owen, V-E-R-E-N-A, O-W-E-N. The reverend is
16	going to be a hard act to follow.
17	I'm am a volunteer of the Sierra
18	Club and thank you very much for holding hearings
19	about this important matter. I very much appreciate
20	that the public has an opportunity to address you in
21	a very direct manner and it's
22	much appreciated and a shout out to my fellow
23	citizens who have stuck this out for three hours
24	now. I'm sure their heads are about to explode,

July 29, 2015

	Page 116
1	but hang in there, guys.
2	I know that the Pollution Control
3	Board cares about Illinois rules and air pollution
4	and impacts on the environment and public health.
5	You recently denied SO2 variance by NRG. Thank
6	you because NRG did not adequately address the
7	environmental impact of their request. You showed
8	patience, much more than I would, with utilities
9	when power plants are constantly coming to the
10	Pollution Control Board trying to get some exemption
11	or another from Illinois laws or trying to hold up
12	some permit or another forever and I don't think
13	that's right.
14	I live in Waukegan. I live north
15	of Waukegan. I live on the plume of other NRG plant
16	up in Lake County, a county that also lost it's SO2
17	monitor. I'm very glad I came today. I learned
18	something. I learned that NRG apparently
19	has different plans for Will County than we were
20	made aware of. Very interesting.
21	So in this proceeding, NRG tries
22	to renege on the 2006 CPS agreement. New Jersey
23	based NRG bought those plants with open eyes and
24	knew exactly what the expectations and rules the

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 07/30/2015 July 29, 2015

	—
	Page 117
1	lay of the land of Illinois was. It now tries
2	to treat our environmental rules as disposal
3	requirements, but they're not, they really are not.
4	I urge you to reject NRG'S
5	attempts to sidestep the environmental laws of
6	Illinois and I also urge you to strengthen the
7	rule for the benefit and public and not only our
8	generation, but future generations and the
9	Reverend's grandkids. Thank you very much.
10	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
11	you.
12	Next, we have Ellen Rendulich.
13	MS. RENDULICH: Hi. My name is Ellen
14	Rendulich, R-E-N-D-U-L-I-C-H. I'm one of the
15	founders and directors of Care, Citizens Against
16	Ruining the Environment. We're a grass roots
17	organization located in Will County. The majority
18	of our members live within a 25-mile radius.
19	I live approximately one mile from
20	NRG's Will County coal plant and many air polluting
21	companies, such as Citgo. It's unfortunate that we
22	are also breathing the toxins from industries
23	surrounding Joliet.
24	As we are currently non-attainment

July 29, 2015

	Page 118
1	for SO2 and several other air pollutants, it's no
2	surprise that many of our members, including my
3	husband and I, suffer from asthma. My sister-in-law
4	died of respiratory illness leaving two young
5	children behind. Recently, I was diagnosed with
6	COPD. It's a combination of two things so I have
7	bronchitis with asthma.
8	Since 1995, members of our
9	organization have relayed stories of the negative
10	health effects and how our lives are adversely
11	affected by the soot and pollution from these
12	chemical and coal fired power plants. Today, we
13	were just astounded and livid to find out that
14	plant would go back into action. This is this
15	is just it's just appalling. It's appalling to
16	even think that economics would be considered when a
17	human health is at risk. We're just people speaking
18	on behalf of people that we know, our family and
19	friends. I'm also speaking Mary Burmitz had to
20	leave, Mary B-U-R-M-I-T-Z. She is also a director
21	and founding member.
22	She says, today, I am speaking on
23	behalf of Care and my family. Like many of our
24	members my family and I live in the shadows of the

July 29, 2015

Page 119 1 Romeoville and Joliet coal-fired power plants. We 2 watch the soot being emitted from these toxic coal 3 plants knowing that coal fired electricity is one of 4 the largest sources of carbon emissions in the 5 United States. 6 My husband, Ron, takes daily 7 medications for his COPD and my youngest daughter 8 suffers from asthma. To witness your loved ones struggle to breathe is heartbreaking. Enough is 9 10 enough. 11 Will County is a non-attainment 12 area for pollutants such as ozone and SO2. It's 13 appalling that while these polluting large corporations continue to profit, members and 14 15 residents in my community suffer from respiratory 16 ailments. 17 Members of Care, my family and I 18 urge the EPA to do the right thing for the good of 19 our health, the environment and our country. 20 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 21 you. 22 Next, we have Dorothy 23 Berabeic, B-E-R-A-B-E-I-C. 24 MS. BERABEIC: My name is Dorothy

July 29, 2015

	Page 120
1	Berabeic. You spelled it correctly. I'm also a
2	Care member. I also I'm nervous. I have 12
3	grandchildren. Let's step back. Does everybody
4	remember anybody from this area, do you remember
5	when they used to be able to go to Romeoville Beach?
6	It was a nice swimming hole over there by the
7	plant, which is no longer able to be used. I
8	remember it as a child. We would drive from
9	Brookfield and go there. I've lived out here
10	now in the Will County area approximately all
11	of my life for 37 years now. I've lived in
12	Romeoville from when I had my youngest.
13	She was born with asthma. My
14	other children were born in LaGrange and they
15	were okay. Out here, she got asthma. As they
16	grew older, two of my other children came down
17	with allergies. Now, four of my grandchildren
18	live here now and the four that live here have
19	allergies and breathing problems. In the last
20	couple of years, I have started with breathing
21	problems also. It's just scary that the plants
22	NRG has not committed to what they committed back
23	in 2007.
24	I thank you for hearing this.

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 07/30/2015 July 29, 2015

	Page 121
1	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
2	you.
3	Next, Alex Morgan?
4	MR. MORGAN: Good afternoon. I really
5	enjoy hearing what everybody here has to say. The
6	Reverend really hit on I used to teach
7	kindergarten. When I was growing up, I don't
8	remember anybody having an inhaler and the number
9	of children in my school who came to school and
10	had inhalers or even the nebulizers that were
11	kept in the office always astounded me until I
12	started digging into this work and thinking about
13	the causes of that.
14	So these same feelings that are
15	hitting a lot of folks in the audience today hit
16	me over the past few years and I wanted to speak
17	for Ardis Dolin who is another member of Care and
18	a community resident who could not be here today.
19	She had what I felt was such a compelling story to
20	tell and she lives in Lockport and she wanted to
21	say in the fall and winter when the leaves are off
22	the trees, I have and this is her speaking I
23	have an unobstructed view of my neighbor directly to
24	the west, the Romeoville coal-fired power station.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

July 29, 2015

Page 122 Since I'm only three or four years old (sic.) from the power station, it's safe to say that I've been watching and breathing the smoke coming out of this plant's smoke stack for most of my life. My first memory dealing with respiratory problems takes place when I was about five or six years old. After taking me to see the doctor, my mother and I returned to car when my father went into the drug store to get my prescription filled. It gradually dawned on me that people would go out of their way, even going so far as crossing the street, to avoid coming near our car. When I asked my mom about it, she told me they probably thought I had whooping cough. I didn't have whooping cough, but it sounded liked I did. To this day, I still have a persistent, explosive cough that sounds absolutely awful. It was only when I was diagnosed with asthma that I began to realize there had been problems all along. Now, I'm on medication. But even so, some days are good. Some days are bad. On the bad days, it's difficult

July 29, 2015

	Page 123
1	for me to talk. Despite what I just told you about
2	myself, I'm one of the lucky ones. In November
3	of 2011, the doctor told my girlfriend, Jan, who
4	grew up not far from me that she only had a year
5	to live unless she had a lung transplant. Someone
6	needing a lung transplant doesn't get put on the
7	transplant list right away. The recipient has to
8	undergo a series of tests to see if he or she is
9	physically and mentally eligible for a transplant.
10	If all else turns out all right, the recipient
11	then has to wait for an insurance company's
12	approval.
13	While she was taking the
14	tests and waiting for the insurance company's
15	determination, Jan's health deteriorated a lot.
16	When she was first diagnosed with COPD, she was
17	on oxygen only when she felt she needed it.
18	Gradually, it progressed to the
19	point where she needed oxygen all the time. Then
20	she went from using one cannula to using three of
21	them put did I pronounce that correct? All
22	right. Close enough. She was in a race against
23	time. She lost. She passed away at the end of
24	September. I was with her just a few hours before

July 29, 2015

,	Page 124
1	she died and what she was going through was awful.
2	It's something I hope none of
3	you will ever have to witness. She was three
4	years younger than I am so she was only her late
5	50s. Maybe now you can understand why I think
6	of her and I consider myself one of the lucky ones.
7	My friends look at me and consider themselves
8	lucky. I think some of those friends are in
9	this room. It's all a matter of perspective.
10	The Romeoville coal burning
11	plant is open. Back when it was built, I don't
12	think anyone really knew what was coming
13	out of those stacks, but things change and for
14	quite a while now, the people running that plant
15	have known exactly what is being spewed into the
16	air and consequently inhaled into area lungs.
17	Grandfathered or not, for purely
18	ethical reasons, that plant should have had the
19	upgrades to make it adhere to the Clean Air Act a
20	long, long time ago. In this day and age when a
21	health hazard of coal burning power plants are
22	well-known and documented, no one should have to
23	look at the smoke spewing from the stacks and ask
24	is that going to make me sick?

July 29, 2015

Page 125 1 So the Pollution Control Board 2 should make sure that this rule is strengthened and 3 deny NRG Energy's request to be exempt from their 4 commitment to install safe pollution controls. They 5 know better. Thank you. 6 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 7 you. 8 Would you mind spelling the 9 name of the individual you are representing? 10 MR. MORGAN: Yes. It's Ardis, 11 A-R-D-I-S, last name is D-O-O-L-I-N. She's in 12 Lockport. 13 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 14 you. 15 Next, we have Emily Kowey. 16 MS. KOWEY: Hello. Can you all hear 17 My name is Emily Kowey, K-O-W-E-Y. I'm speaking me? 18 today on behalf of Jan Gehrig. 19 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Can you 20 spell that, please. 21 MS. KOWEY: Yes, Jan, J-A-N, and 22 Gehriq, G-E-H-R-I-G. 23 I live in Romeoville, which is in 24 Lockport Township. I am here to request you

July 29, 2015

	Page 126
1	withhold the approval of the proposed non-attainment
2	plan for the one-hour sulfur dioxide National
3	Ambient Air Quality Standards until the draft rule
4	is strengthened in several areas.
5	It is critical that NRG Energy's
6	Will County plant comply with long-standing
7	pollution reduction requirements and reject the
8	proposed exemption from state law of the draft
9	rule. We have been asking for assistance for years,
10	as our residents have continued to suffer toxic
11	air-related illnesses.
12	NRG appears willing to pat us on
13	the head and go on with business as usual. Does NRG
14	expect us to stand mute as other communities witness
15	either closed up or cleaned up plants? NRG has the
16	power to make the difference in our community.
17	I have two grandsons that carry
18	nebulizers in their pockets. I was hospitalized
19	with pneumonia this past January and still suffer
20	breathing problems. Now, there is a nebulizer in
21	my purse.
22	I am here to request that you make
23	critical improvements to the rule-making to protect
24	my air quality.

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 07/30/2015 July 29, 2015

	Page 127
1	Thank you for your attention. I
2	look forward to making critical improvements to the
3	rule-making to protect the air quality for families
4	in our area.
5	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
6	you.
7	Next, we have Gary Jones.
8	MR. JONES: Yes. I will use this
9	mic.
10	My name is Gary Jones, G-A-R-Y,
11	J-O-N-E-S. I come to you as a resident of Joliet
12	who grew up in Lockport surrounded by heavy
13	industry. Back then, I could see the smoke from
14	the stacks. I had my sight back then. I also had
15	damn good hearing back then. Any time I would
16	play in the woods with those hide and go seek games,
17	I won every time because I could hear the grass
18	grow, they would say, but that came to a screeching
19	halt when I developed allergies with the tubes in
20	the ears, shots in the arms, aspirators, pills that
21	knock you out, the whole nine yards. That also put
22	an end to any sports activities until I was much
23	older and grew out of allergies.
24	Now, I cannot point the finger

July 29, 2015

1to any specific smoke stack to blame for those2breathing problems no more than any cigarette3smoker can point to a pack of cigarettes and say4that's the one that caused my lung cancer, but we5all know what that stuff does accumulating over6time. It's just not as obvious.7If all of that sulfur dioxide8would spew out at once, then it would be obvious9and I suspect this room would be standing room10only with angry citizens.11But as of now, there's an awful12lot of people out there that probably have no idea13any of their health problems are linked to what is14coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently15the Supreme Court came down with a decision where16essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must19that at all.20I don't think it's the EPA's21responsible for determining whether something is22cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with23that at all.24flawed business cannot turn a profit without23causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a24flawed business model and they have no business		Page 128
smoker can point to a pack of cigarettes and say that's the one that caused my lung cancer, but we all know what that stuff does accumulating over time. It's just not as obvious. If all of that sulfur dioxide would spew out at once, then it would be obvious and I suspect this room would be standing room only with angry citizens. But as of now, there's an awful lot of people out there that probably have no idea any of their health problems are linked to what is coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently the Supreme Court came down with a decision where essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must be responsible for determining whether something is cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with that at all. I don't think it's the EPA's responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	1	to any specific smoke stack to blame for those
that's the one that caused my lung cancer, but we all know what that stuff does accumulating over time. It's just not as obvious. If all of that sulfur dioxide would spew out at once, then it would be obvious and I suspect this room would be standing room only with angry citizens. But as of now, there's an awful lot of people out there that probably have no idea any of their health problems are linked to what is coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently the Supreme Court came down with a decision where essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must be responsible for determining whether something is cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with that at all. I don't think it's the EPA's responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	2	breathing problems no more than any cigarette
all know what that stuff does accumulating over time. It's just not as obvious. If all of that sulfur dioxide would spew out at once, then it would be obvious and I suspect this room would be standing room only with angry citizens. But as of now, there's an awful lot of people out there that probably have no idea any of their health problems are linked to what is coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently the Supreme Court came down with a decision where essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must be responsible for determining whether something is cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with that at all. I don't think it's the EPA's responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	3	smoker can point to a pack of cigarettes and say
6 time. It's just not as obvious. 7 If all of that sulfur dioxide 8 would spew out at once, then it would be obvious 9 and I suspect this room would be standing room 10 only with angry citizens. 11 But as of now, there's an awful 12 lot of people out there that probably have no idea 13 any of their health problems are linked to what is 14 coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently 15 the Supreme Court came down with a decision where 16 essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must 17 be responsible for determining whether something is 18 cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with 19 that at all. 20 I don't think it's the EPA's 21 responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite 22 frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without 23 causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	4	that's the one that caused my lung cancer, but we
7If all of that sulfur dioxide8would spew out at once, then it would be obvious9and I suspect this room would be standing room10only with angry citizens.11But as of now, there's an awful12lot of people out there that probably have no idea13any of their health problems are linked to what is14coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently15the Supreme Court came down with a decision where16essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must17be responsible for determining whether something is18cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with19that at all.20I don't think it's the EPA's21responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite22frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without23causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	5	all know what that stuff does accumulating over
8 would spew out at once, then it would be obvious and I suspect this room would be standing room only with angry citizens. 11 But as of now, there's an awful lot of people out there that probably have no idea any of their health problems are linked to what is coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently the Supreme Court came down with a decision where essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must be responsible for determining whether something is cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with that at all. 20 I don't think it's the EPA's responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	6	time. It's just not as obvious.
9 and I suspect this room would be standing room only with angry citizens. 11 But as of now, there's an awful lot of people out there that probably have no idea any of their health problems are linked to what is coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently the Supreme Court came down with a decision where essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must be responsible for determining whether something is cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with that at all. 20 I don't think it's the EPA's responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	7	If all of that sulfur dioxide
10only with angry citizens.11But as of now, there's an awful12lot of people out there that probably have no idea13any of their health problems are linked to what is14coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently15the Supreme Court came down with a decision where16essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must17be responsible for determining whether something is18cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with19that at all.20I don't think it's the EPA's21responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite22frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without23causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	8	would spew out at once, then it would be obvious
11But as of now, there's an awful12lot of people out there that probably have no idea13any of their health problems are linked to what is14coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently15the Supreme Court came down with a decision where16essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must17be responsible for determining whether something is18cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with19that at all.20I don't think it's the EPA's21responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite22frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without23causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	9	and I suspect this room would be standing room
12 lot of people out there that probably have no idea any of their health problems are linked to what is coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently the Supreme Court came down with a decision where essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must be responsible for determining whether something is cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with that at all. I don't think it's the EPA's responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	10	only with angry citizens.
13any of their health problems are linked to what is14coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently15the Supreme Court came down with a decision where16essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must17be responsible for determining whether something is18cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with19that at all.20I don't think it's the EPA's21responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite22frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without23causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	11	But as of now, there's an awful
<pre>14 coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently 15 the Supreme Court came down with a decision where 16 essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must 17 be responsible for determining whether something is 18 cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with 19 that at all. 20</pre>	12	lot of people out there that probably have no idea
15 the Supreme Court came down with a decision where essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must be responsible for determining whether something is cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with that at all. 20 I don't think it's the EPA's 21 responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite 22 frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without 23 causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	13	any of their health problems are linked to what is
<pre>16 essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must 17 be responsible for determining whether something is 18 cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with 19 that at all. 20</pre>	14	coming out of the smoke stacks. Well, recently
<pre>17 be responsible for determining whether something is 18 cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with 19 that at all. 20 I don't think it's the EPA's 21 responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite 22 frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without 23 causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a</pre>	15	the Supreme Court came down with a decision where
<pre>18 cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with 19 that at all. 20</pre>	16	essentially the fascist five said that the EPA must
<pre>19 that at all. 20 I don't think it's the EPA's 21 responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite 22 frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without 23 causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a</pre>	17	be responsible for determining whether something is
I don't think it's the EPA's responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	18	cost effective before a ruling. I don't agree with
21 responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite 22 frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without 23 causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	19	that at all.
frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	20	I don't think it's the EPA's
23 causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a	21	responsibility to make a business profitable. Quite
	22	frankly, if a business cannot turn a profit without
24 flawed business model and they have no business	23	causing irrevocable harm to society, then that's a
	24	flawed business model and they have no business

July 29, 2015

Page 129

1 being in business.

2	Well, to put it in the language
3	of the business community, they're externalizing
4	the negative externalities. Well, somebody's got to
5	pay for that. It doesn't show up on the balance
6	sheets. An accountant doesn't mark it off on the
7	accounts payable. The CFO doesn't get a bill in
8	the mail, but if somebody pays for all of those
9	health costs and the rehabilitation, you know,
10	people dealing with disabilities, they may not
11	make as much money, they've got more health costs.
12	Somebody pays for that.
13	The only way the business pays
14	is indirectly through taxes. We all know how
15	they feel about taxes. So it's time that they
16	pay. Somebody pays or they switch onto something
17	a lot more profitable. There's a lot of
18	alternatives out there. They have no excuse.
19	There's plenty of technologies coming online that
20	they can make an awful lot of money hand over fist
21	and sleep easy
22	at night.
23	Now, King Coal has served mankind
24	quite well since the industrial revolution. Yeah,

July 29, 2015

	Page 130
1	so have the horses. We don't bend over backwards
2	bending the rules to keep the horse and carriage
3	industry profitable. Quite frankly, I think I'd
4	rather deal with well, I'd rather be stepping
5	into horsy pollution than dealing with all of the
6	sulfur dioxide.
7	So it's time to put to rest some
8	of these old dead gun sort of burning industries
9	and move on to something that's clean and green
10	unless, of course, the companies burning the coal
11	are willing to open up their pocket books and pay
12	for all of the health and rehabilitation costs.
13	Did I did I hear anybody
14	volunteering? No, I didn't think so. Okay. I
15	guess you'll have to stop polluting. That's my
16	statement and I'm sticking to it.
17	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
18	you.
19	MR. JONES: You are welcome.
20	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: That's
21	the end of people who have signed up for public
22	comments. Is there anyone here who did not sign
23	up that would like to offer a public comment at
24	this time?

July 29, 2015

Page 131 1 Seeing none, thank you everyone 2 who spoke today and, of course, you can always file 3 a comment with the Board, too, if you would prefer 4 to do that. 5 The Board has a couple of 6 housekeeping items to address at this point. We 7 had some copies of two letters in the back. Ι 8 don't think there are anymore there at the moment, but these letters are communication between the 9 10 Board and the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity providing the Board's request on 11 economic impact study and the DCEO's response. 12 13 The DCEO stated it was unable to undertake such 14 an economic impact study. Does anyone have any 15 comments on the Board's letter or DCEO's response? 16 Seeing none, as mentioned earlier, 17 on May 26th, the Joint Committee on Administrative 18 Rules filed a request for an analysis of economic 19 budgetary effects of this rulemaking as well as 20 an analysis pursuant to the State Mandates Act. 21 On May 21st, JCAR submitted its 22 first notice version of the rules for use in 23 creating the second notice changes. Anyone is 24 welcome to file any comments on any of these

July 29, 2015

Page 132 1 documents. 2 So we are wrapping now. Did 3 anyone have any additional comments they would 4 like to make at this stage? 5 Seeing none, do any members of 6 the Board or staff have any closing comments? 7 Seeing none, I would just like 8 to go off the record for a moment to discuss future 9 dates. (Whereupon, a discussion 10 11 was had off the record.) 12 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay. 13 Let's go back on the record. Thank you. 14 As mentioned, we just went off the record to discuss some dates. The transcript 15 16 of today's hearing will be with the Board sometime 17 tomorrow afternoon. We will then do our best to 18 get that uploaded on the Board's website so the Board has it available. 19 20 Pursuant to the May 7, 2015, 21 Hearing Officer Order, the next hearing in this 22 matter is schedule for Tuesday, August 4th, at 23 10:00 a.m. in the Council Chambers located at 24 111 South Capital Street, Pekin.

July 29, 2015

	Page 133
1	The filing deadlines have passed
2	for testimony and pre-filed questions. I know we
3	did have pre-filed testimony for two witnesses on
4	behalf of Sierra Club. If anyone else does have
5	any questions that they would like to pre-file
6	between now and then to ensure an efficient hearing,
7	that would be appreciated. Questions must be
8	brought up at the hearing.
9	So with that said, I would just
10	like to again thank everybody for attending today.
11	We have a number of members of the public here.
12	Obviously, we have had a number of people that
13	offered testimony. I appreciate it. I know it's
14	in the middle of the week. We thank you all for
15	taking the time to come out here and participate
16	or just to watch. It's greatly appreciated.
17	So with that, this hearing is
18	adjourned. Thank you.
19	(Whereupon, no further
20	proceedings were had in
21	the above-entitled cause.)
22	
23	
24	

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 07/30/2015 July 29, 2015

	Page 134
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2) SS.
3	COUNTY OF C O O K)
4	
5	
6	I, LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR,
7	do hereby state that I am a court reporter doing
8	business in the City of Chicago, County of Cook,
9	and State of Illinois; that I reported by means
10	of machine shorthand the proceedings held in the
11	foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a true
12	and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so
13	taken as aforesaid.
14	NDIC4. Sa
15	Low paushas
16	Olowbry Baushis
17	Lori Ann Asauskas, CSR, RPR.
18	Notary Public, Cook County, Illinois
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

July 29, 2015

Page 135

1				2
A	112:8	89:23 116:6	59:10,14,20	103:16 104:6,8
A-L-L-I-S-O-N	acronyms 9:20	adhere 124:19	60:22 63:12	106:8 111:8
	82:4,5	adjourned	64:9,10 65:3,5	112:19,23
85:22	act 5:6 59:11,15	133:18	65:9,11 73:13	114:6 115:4
A-R-D-I-S	86:12,15	ADM 1:5	73:17 80:1	116:3 117:20
125:11	115:16 124:19	Administrative	91:19	118:1 124:16
A.D 1:18	131:20	4:12 20:24	Agency's 5:8,24	124:19 126:3
a.m 1:18 132:23	action 118:14	59:8 131:17	7:7 8:23 10:24	124.19 120.3
Abby 2:18 46:4	action 118.14 actions 24:2	admit 78:15	11:5 23:22	air-related
46:5	actions 24.2 active 75:23	Admitted 3:10	24:2 29:12	
ability 67:8				126:11
112:24 113:2	activities 127:22	adopt 37:22	34:11 53:23	Alex 121:3
able 60:6 68:16	actual 14:23	86:13	54:20 64:22	allergies 120:17
85:17 120:5,7	16:24 17:3	adoption 86:20	67:3,4 73:21	120:19 127:19
above-entitled	20:10 28:19	adore 75:16	80:10 86:4	127:23
133:21	30:18 56:11	adversely	89:16 91:21	Allgire 2:18
absolutely	99:1	118:10	aggravated	46:5,5,14,18
122:19	acute 112:13,13	advocate 75:18	104:1	47:2 78:10
abundantly	Adams 2:16	affect 67:8	ago 17:15 52:16	80:23 81:8,10
100:9	add 23:12 25:4,7	affirmative	94:18 105:23	Allison 85:20,21
acceptable 16:7	51:23 80:22	28:23	106:24 108:4	allow 28:22 35:6
55:20 63:18,19	101:8 102:18	afforded 83:20	111:23 112:3	41:19 67:5
accepted 14:20	add-on 17:19	84:15	124:20	83:3 92:1
101:4	added 101:22	aforesaid 134:13	agree 128:18	allowable 14:18
accompanying	107:16 111:4	afternoon 79:15	agreed 100:10	14:21,23 16:7
89:18	111:15	82:14 88:21	agreement 85:5	16:8,21 17:5
account 28:9,13	adding 99:15	90:14 96:1	116:22	17:16 18:23
42:4	addition 34:18	110:11 115:14	agreements	19:14,17 28:18
accountant	60:2 70:23	121:4 132:17	101:6	30:19 33:15
129:6	71:4 91:12,13	age 124:20	agrees 35:18	55:23 60:11
accounts 129:7	additional 5:23	Agency 2:11,15	ahead 12:23	63:7 89:11
accumulating	14:19 29:15	3:4,11,12 5:15	19:4 36:12	99:2
128:5	39:2,14 54:13	6:7 7:1,21,22	47:6 68:19	allowables 14:2
accurate 43:4	54:23 73:12,15	7:23,24 8:19	ailments 119:16	allowed 8:11 9:5
achieve 14:5	73:21 83:24	9:1 10:13,16	air 11:24 12:1,3	83:21 100:16
15:1 31:20	84:5 92:6,13	10:19 11:2,7,9	12:4,5,8 23:18	100:19
40:12 80:12	132:3	11:9,10,14,18	34:1 46:24	allowing 82:19
achieved 29:10	address 6:8 29:2	11:19 12:1,20	47:14 50:1,3	85:24 88:16
achieving 17:1	59:20 60:23	13:2,3,7 17:24	75:9,14 76:4	97:22
84:8 87:4	63:23 110:14	21:5 27:16,22	76:12 77:20	alternate 97:2,6
91:22 103:15	115:20 116:6	27:24 28:12	80:7,15 86:12	97:8
acid 102:3,3	131:6	29:13 31:1	86:15 87:5	alternative
104:13	adequacy 64:14	40:21 44:21	89:10 96:19	25:17 92:14
acknowledged	64:20	47:9 52:6 55:8	97:7,8,9,11,11	alternatives
9:14,15	adequately	55:17 57:15	98:3,14 99:5	129:18
acquired 112:7	64:13 77:10	58:21 59:3,3	99:10 101:7	ambient 47:14
	•	•	•	•

July 29, 2015

Page 136

1				3
50:1 75:9 80:7	57:24 69:14	115:22 133:7	104:4 108:18	112:15 118:3,7
80:14 87:5	answering 73:19	133:16	109:5 126:4	112:13 118.3,7
114:6 126:3	answers 13:10	approach 64:19		120:15 122:20
	15:14 43:2	11	arguing 111:24 arises 54:24	
amend 43:13,14		approachable		astounded
43:20	45:6	74:1	arms 127:20	118:13 121:11
amended 78:6	Anthony 102:24	approaches 90:5	Asauskas 1:14	ate 75:20
78:15 79:8,22	anybody 52:1	appropriate	134:6,17	athlete 106:7
80:5,17	82:3 108:5	55:21 89:12	ash 94:10,14	athletic 107:2,3
amendment	120:4 121:8	appropriateness	aside 57:17	atmosphere
79:22 82:21	130:13	64:21	asked 8:6 32:18	112:10
83:1 85:9	anybody's	approval 75:8	46:8 49:4 64:9	attack 112:16
amendments 1:5	109:19	114:4 123:12	66:13 94:21	attacks 98:18,18
4:12 20:19	anymore 95:14	126:1	122:14	102:1
80:2,3	113:10 131:8	approved 55:19	asking 51:1	attain 89:12
amount 17:22	apologize 32:9	56:5,11 58:6	109:21 126:9	attaining 37:18
30:8 45:22	40:6 59:7	58:11 64:3	aspect 24:1	38:13 80:14
65:23 76:19	85:14	66:3,5,6,8,17	46:17	104:5
111:11	appalling	71:7	aspects 65:6	attainment 21:8
amuck 93:11	118:15,15	approximately	aspirators	21:10 26:18
Anad 2:9 4:21	119:13	117:19 120:10	127:20	27:13,18 28:16
analyses 49:5	apparently	Ardis 121:17	aspiring 96:7	31:20 35:14,16
analysis 40:16	98:20 116:18	125:10	assertion 60:1	35:22 36:6,10
59:4,10 89:11	appearance	area 13:20,20,23	62:15	40:12 41:13
131:18,20	111:3	17:11,13 23:5	assign 83:7	60:3 65:1,2,8
and/or 92:14	Appeared 2:14	23:9,15,19	assist 37:17	67:9,13,15,18
Andrew 64:8	2:19,24	26:18 33:3	38:12 87:4	71:15,18,21,22
angry 128:10	appears 126:12	34:2,7 36:5,6,9	assistance 126:9	89:4,8,13
Ann 1:14 134:6	appendices	37:18 38:14	Assistant 7:4	99:10 101:12
134:17	70:17	40:13 48:15,20	associated 39:2	attainment/no
annual 20:23	Appendix 28:20	61:1 67:8	Association 26:6	47:12
answer 13:2	applicable 29:23	75:14 76:10,18	83:18 98:2	attempting
14:7 18:8,21	31:10,13,17	80:15 86:19	106:1	87:22 101:14
19:1 23:20	43:19	89:7,9,12 94:8	assume 16:18	attempts 117:5
30:4 31:9,12	application	95:10 96:20,22	18:16	attending
31:16 32:15,20	87:11	103:14,14	assumed 62:10	133:10
33:24 34:9	applied 87:17	104:16 109:4,4	assuming 28:19	attention 127:1
42:8 43:14,18	88:9	119:12 120:4	37:22	attorney 73:22
43:18,20,24	applies 87:7	120:10 124:16	assumptions	82:15
44:3,11 50:19	apply 68:9	127:4	62:12 64:12	attorneys 5:1
52:1 57:14	113:14	areas 21:8 23:14	65:14 89:21	attributed 48:19
80:16	appointed 4:9	35:15 41:12	assure 92:7	audience 121:15
answer's 57:5	appreciate	49:11 61:10	asthma 95:19	August 5:19
answered 5:15	68:21 74:4	71:16,19,23	96:10 98:18	6:18,20 36:23
18:10 28:6	115:19 133:13	75:11 86:7,14	101:17 102:1	132:22
42:24 47:23	appreciated	86:14 99:6,9	103:21,21	Aurora 111:2
		,		
	1	1	I	1

July 29, 2015

Page 137

r				rage 107
autism 113:7	16:14 19:14	battery 102:3	107:17	52:17,22 53:3
available 4:6	29:15 31:7	Beach 120:5	bike 106:2,4,9	53:10,18 54:5
5:21,22 6:17	54:17	becoming	107:15 108:14	54:7,11 56:4,9
7:6,13 8:5	B-E-R-A-B-E	111:16	biking 107:10	56:13,18,22
12:15 35:10	119:23	began 75:19	bill 129:7	57:2,8,18,24
40:24 59:13	B-U-G-E-L 6:14	76:6,17 122:21	billion 45:24	58:7 60:5,9
65:17,20 74:3	B-U-R-M-I-T-Z	beginning 62:3	100:14	61:3,14 62:14
75:1 86:5,17	118:20	begins 72:14	bills 76:24	63:16 64:23
86:21 87:4,13	back 4:4 7:19	behalf 2:14,19	bit 42:23 43:2	65:22 66:9,18
88:4,11,14	8:2 9:9 10:6	2:24 78:6 79:8	111:13	67:10 68:4,11
97:5 99:7,13	13:4 24:14	79:18,20 82:3	blame 128:1	68:23 69:12
132:19	25:8 34:4	118:18,23	blank 81:14	71:2,6,11,14
avenue 2:11	42:23 43:13	125:18 133:4	blanket 84:20	71:20 72:18
28:2	49:13 68:17	behold 93:12	blessed 105:23	73:1
average 20:23	69:21 74:8,15	beings 110:24	Bloomberg	blows 97:10
21:2 22:17	76:6,8 81:12	112:11	11:23 12:13	Board 1:1,16 2:2
54:18 55:17	82:11 93:3	belief 46:12 83:2	13:13,15 15:9	2:5,7,7,8,8
56:7,11 57:15	118:14 120:3	84:14	15:10,19 16:4	4:10,19,23 5:7
57:17,17 70:15	120:22 124:11	believe 35:24	16:11,14 18:7	5:13 6:4 7:22
71:5 90:21	127:13,14,15	36:23 42:17	18:12,15,19,22	8:6,17 10:2,11
91:3,11,14,18	131:7 132:13	45:1 52:18,20	19:2,7,11,19	27:23 40:10
92:3,3,8,12	background	53:10,17 54:12	19:23 20:3	41:1,3,6,22
105:10	64:18 90:4	57:1,24 61:1	21:19,23 22:5	44:16 58:23,23
averaged 22:22	111:14	65:24 71:11	22:8,11,12,18	59:9 61:7
averaging 55:4	backtrack 85:14	73:1	22:23 23:6,12	65:12,24 73:15
55:5,10,23	backup 25:10	believes 27:24	23:20,24 24:9	73:15,22 78:4
57:16,19 58:5	backwards	belongs 97:12	24:20 25:15,19	78:11 81:4,4
63:15,18 64:2	130:1	bend 130:1	25:24 26:13	88:24 90:15
66:4,5,16 70:5	bad 104:14	bending 130:2	27:4,11 28:9	93:19 94:20
70:8,14,19,21	122:23,24	benefit 105:14	29:6 30:15	95:9 97:22
70:24 91:5	balance 75:22	117:7	32:12,18 33:10	98:10 102:17
92:12 105:5	91:18 129:5	benefited 85:6	34:3,18 35:1,9	114:21 116:3
avid 75:17	base 33:15 70:2	benefits 39:2	35:23 36:17	116:10 125:1
avoid 114:17	70:6,11	85:3	37:7,15,19	131:3,5,10
122:13	based 40:23	benefitted	38:1,6,10,15	132:6,16,19
avoiding 102:13	49:14,20 51:13	100:15	38:19,23 39:6	Board's 4:18,20
aware 49:24	52:15 53:20	Berabeic 119:23	39:10,16 40:4	4:22 5:1,21 8:5
58:5,7 66:21	63:14 69:8	119:24 120:1	40:14,18,22	8:8 10:18 11:1
70:24 116:20	116:23	best 36:4 132:17	41:3,10,19	11:6 29:12
awful 94:4	basically 43:22	better 107:10	42:8,14,17,24	53:24 54:20
122:20 124:1	62:1 105:1	125:5	43:8,12 44:4	55:9 59:13
128:11 129:20	basis 17:9 33:19	Beyond 63:21	44:15,23 45:15	69:3 131:11,15
	40:10 113:15	bias 8:9	46:7,12,16,21	132:18
<u> </u>	113:18 114:1	big 109:12	47:16 50:17	Boiler 84:17
b 3:9 15:7 16:14	bathe 96:24	bigger 107:16	51:10 52:2,10	boils 110:21

July 29, 2015

Page 138

Г				_
Bonebrake 2:23	bronchitis 118:7	business 94:10	126:17	4:22 66:2,11
7:11,12 19:6,6	Brookfield	111:22 126:13	carrying 112:22	66:24
19:10,20,24	120:9	128:21,22,24	case 6:22 33:15	Chairperson
21:13,17,24	brought 104:10	128:24 129:1,3	44:24 65:12	68:1
22:6,10,14,19	105:3 133:8	129:13 134:8	87:15,17 88:4	chairs 4:6
22:24 25:13,14	BTU 21:2,4,5	buying 101:5	105:6	challenges 7:16
25:20 26:1	63:1		catch 93:10	101:21
37:12,15,20	budgetary 59:5	C	categories 14:8	Chambers
38:2,7,11,16	131:19	c 2:1 20:3 29:21	15:21	132:23
38:20,24 39:7	Bugel 6:12,13	31:11 43:18	category 16:1	chance 92:24
42:7,15,19	12:24 42:21,22	44:3 55:3 58:1	88:8	108:2 109:17
48:22 49:1,12	43:9,17 44:10	75:3 134:3	Cathleen 75:3	change 42:23
49:18,23 56:3	44:20 45:11	cake 85:1	Cathy 75:1	124:13
56:10,14 72:8	46:1,7 52:14	102:12	95:17	changes 17:20
books 130:11	52:15 53:1,7	calculate 98:15	cause 133:21	27:21 65:12
bore 94:14	53:13 56:15,16	calculated 55:24	134:11	106:15 131:23
born 120:13,14	56:24 57:4,10	57:20	caused 80:3	chart 94:16
bottom 59:24	57:22 58:2,15	call 96:13 107:4	103:23 112:17	check 37:3
64:11 67:4	58:17 67:23	calls 36:22	128:4	chemical 111:18
bought 100:13	68:13,14,21	Canal 96:23	causes 100:22	118:12
116:23	69:17 70:6,12	106:15	104:13 121:13	Chicago 1:17
Box 2:12	70:20 71:3,9	cancer 112:17	causing 128:23	2:3,22 96:23
breach 85:5	71:13,17,24	128:4	cease 24:12,21	134:8
101:5	72:9,12,22	cannula 123:20	26:15 27:2	child 76:15
break 74:7,11	73:9 90:13,14	capability 41:6	37:22 38:4	110:21 112:15
breakdown	90:22	capable 87:11	ceased 39:11	112:22 120:8
28:24 29:3	build 8:7	capacity 26:8,15	ceasing 37:8	child's 112:24
breaks 76:13	building 64:17	28:19	CEMS 31:19	113:2,22
breathe 76:4,15	90:2 106:5	Capital 132:24	Centennial	children 75:19
96:14 97:7,9	built 99:20	car 96:16 122:8	106:16	76:19,21
97:11 99:24	106:22,23	122:14	Center 7:21	112:21 115:7
104:6 115:4	124:11	carbon 119:4	82:16 83:17	118:5 120:14
119:9	bunch 106:4	cardiovascular 112:17	85:24 88:23	120:16 121:9
breathing 76:7	107:16	care 35:17 47:8	Center's 13:17	choice 97:9
96:9 107:7,7	Bureau 12:1,4	94:6,19 95:9	certain 22:2	chosen 55:10
117:22 120:19	46:24	95:12 96:4	93:15	Christ 110:19
120:20 122:3	Burk 4:20	103:7 105:21	certainly 48:18	chronic 101:18
126:20 128:2	Burke 2:7 Burmitz 118:19	107:21 117:15	certainty 26:10 cessation 25:16	101:20
Brian 26:4 97:20,23	burn 62:8 100:6	118:23 119:17	37:16 38:16	Church 110:19
bridges 104:21	burned 63:1	120:2 121:17	39:3	cigarette 128:2 cigarettes 128:3
brief 90:18	burning 24:12	cares 116:3	cetera 95:19	circumstances
bring 21:7 25:8	124:10,21	carriage 130:2	CFO 129:7	25:6
36:5,9 41:12	130:8,10	Carrie 2:8 4:24	CFR 28:20	circumvent
86:14 96:15	burns 62:6	carry 76:19	chairman 2:6	87:23
				<i></i>
		•	•	•

July 29, 2015

Page 139

	1	1	1	1
cited 63:11	133:4	111:7 115:6	114:21 131:17	composting
70:16	Club's 6:6 13:16	coming 51:7	communication	109:10
Citgo 117:21	58:14	53:4 93:9 94:9	131:9	concentrate
citizens 15:17	coal 24:12,22	94:24 116:9	communities	112:13
83:16 94:19	25:9,16 26:16	122:4,13	77:11 97:4	concentrations
115:23 117:15	33:18 37:8,16	124:12 128:14	114:16,23	64:18 90:4
128:10	37:23 38:5,8	129:19	126:14	concept 36:8,9
City 134:8	38:17 39:4,12	commence	community	37:6,8 51:16
claim 45:16	77:8,14 94:10	27:23	75:15 77:10,17	concern 67:3
62:22 113:10	98:16 99:19,20	comment 3:4	119:15 121:18	80:3 82:20
clarification	100:6,8 102:15	5:24 8:14,15	126:16 129:3	89:16
44:5	117:20 118:12	8:17 9:8,20	companies	concerned 63:17
clarified 72:9	119:2,3 124:10	51:14 59:3	84:10 102:9	91:8,12 95:7
clarify 19:7 33:1	124:21 129:23	65:4 74:18,20	117:21 130:10	106:7
41:19 54:5	130:10	78:1 81:19,22	company 26:24	concerns 63:13
69:13	coal-fired 70:11	82:1 88:17	27:2 100:15	64:10 86:3
clarifying 59:7	70:12 119:1	90:16 130:23	101:5	90:20 91:2
74:3	121:24	131:3	company's	103:9
clarinet 96:8	code 1:5 4:12	commentary	123:11,14	concluded
clean 86:12,15	20:24 115:8	52:5	compare 31:8	112:19
95:11 97:3,10	coincidence	commenters	compel 102:18	concluding 33:7
98:3,14 124:19	95:16	81:19 82:7	compelling	conclusion
130:9	collapse 107:8	comments 3:5	121:19	40:11
cleaned 126:15	combination	4:5 5:10,17 7:2	compels 88:13	conditions 61:21
cleanup 114:16	31:24 118:6	8:1 10:19 11:2	competitors	conducted 27:20
cleanups 108:21	combined 82:22	11:8 52:11	113:20	confirm 72:12
clear 41:8 56:5	100:3,11 101:2	59:21 63:24	complete 8:7 9:6	confused 41:16
63:16 84:7	114:18	81:16,18 82:20	10:2 96:20	61:4
87:20 89:7	Combining 30:4	85:17 86:1	completed 9:1	confusion 79:23
91:4,19 100:9	combust 25:21	89:2 90:8,18	55:2	connection
100:12	25:21	111:15 130:22	completely	47:20 49:2
clearer 68:5	combusting	131:15,24	24:19,24 26:9	consequently
climatology 49:8	24:21 26:16	132:3,6	completion	124:16
climb 106:5	33:18 38:5	Commerce	79:24	conservative
Close 123:22	combustion 1:9	131:10	compliance	45:22
closed 126:15	4:15 25:17	commitment	46:23 52:18,19	consider 55:17
closer 50:2,9	37:8,16,23	83:4,6 100:13	52:23 53:2,22	71:3,9 91:4,20
closing 3:6	38:8,17 39:4	101:3 125:4	56:20 57:7	94:1 104:9
132:6	39:12	commitments	69:9,18 85:4	124:6,7
Club 6:2,10,14	come 51:19 93:4	102:9	86:15 111:21	consideration
7:20 8:21	94:16 97:23	committed	114:18	55:11,14
12:21 36:20	108:1 114:3	100:21 120:22	comply 30:7	considered
59:17 63:18	127:11 133:15	120:22	77:3,9 97:14	54:13 57:16
83:18 90:8,22	comes 62:22	committee 59:8	111:10,19	91:7,10,13
103:8 115:18	76:24 100:2	92:22 95:17	114:9 126:6	92:13 118:16
		l	I	

July 29, 2015

Page 140

1				rage riv
considering	55:1,12 56:20	correctly 19:12	91:1 120:20	44:12
87:13 91:6	57:6 61:7	49:7 120:1	131:5	D-O-O-L-I-N
consistent 14:3	64:18 67:6,17	cost 76:21 94:2	course 50:5	125:11
25:22 28:21	69:9 76:3 86:4	95:14 128:18	130:10 131:2	D-U-B-I-N
29:1 74:2	86:5,17,21	costly 88:1	court 9:17 39:20	82:17
constantly 116:9	87:24 88:9,11	costs 93:21 95:9	39:22 48:1,2	Dad 96:14
constitutes	88:15 90:5	129:9,11	78:20,22	daily 75:22
47:20	93:19 95:8	130:12	128:15 134:7	119:6
constrain 92:6	99:7,13 109:8	cough 122:15,16	CPS 21:21 34:12	damage 98:24
constrained	109:9,10 116:2	122:19	82:24 83:10,10	damn 127:15
72:5,16 91:9	116:10 125:1	coughing 76:14	84:3,14,24	Dana 2:13 7:3
constraint 91:9	controlled 54:13	Council 132:23	85:4 116:22	11:22
consultant 79:16	87:12	counsel 7:4	crafted 101:6	Daniel 1:13 2:4
consultation	controls 17:19	11:22	crazy 107:15	4:9
55:10	21:9 39:14	country 58:9	create 54:17	data 49:3,15,21
contacted 45:7	73:3,4,5 77:16	99:15 119:19	creates 55:4	55:18 56:5
contained 13:22	87:3,16,20	county 1:15	102:3	64:12,14,16
40:19 73:7	125:4	16:16,18 17:1	creating 131:23	65:15,23 72:19
77:5 114:12	conversion 25:9	17:6,11 18:9	criteria 93:6	72:21,23 73:5
containment	34:16	18:13,14,17	critical 77:19	73:8 89:19,22
103:14	conversions	19:17,18 20:4	110:15 115:2	89:24
contains 114:17	33:7	20:9,12,19,22	126:5,23 127:2	date 7:24 59:12
content 14:13,14	convert 24:18	21:20 22:13	cross 106:3	77:15 89:13
14:15 45:13	Cook 1:15 134:8	23:11 24:7	crossing 122:13	dated 47:14
46:8,9 53:6,9	134:18	25:20 33:7	CSR 1:14 134:6	dates 132:9,15
62:9,16,18,23	COPD 95:19	34:14,19,21	134:17	daughter 75:17
62:24 63:5	101:19 118:6	35:8,20 37:16	culpability	96:6 103:21
contention 62:3	119:7 123:16	38:17 39:4,12	13:19	119:7
continue 6:4	copies 8:2,3	67:5,14,18	culpable 48:14	Dave 11:23
20:22 21:21	131:7	68:9 77:3 83:7	curious 76:1	79:16
67:6 78:3	copy 9:24 57:11	84:23 85:11	current 14:2	David 12:13
100:20 119:14	corporations	86:10 87:19	16:16 30:18,19	
continued 51:5	102:8 119:14	88:2,13 96:3	33:15 46:18	79:8
126:10	correct 12:10,12	97:14 98:7	66:19 68:2,3,6	Davis 12:2,14
continues 99:3	19:18,22 21:22	101:9 106:3	68:10	47:21,22 48:1
100:6	22:4,17 25:15	111:6 114:9,23	currently 14:15	48:8,11 69:12
continuous	25:19,23 34:17	116:16,16,19	24:11 46:15	69:14,20 70:10
28:19	38:3 39:5	117:17,20	117:24	70:16
contrary 26:23	41:18 42:16	119:11 120:10	cut 100:17	dawned 122:11
contributing	43:7 46:11	126:6 134:3,8	cuts 102:12,14	day 1:18 75:19
67:14	49:12,17,22	134:18	D	111:3 122:18
control 1:1,8,16	52:21 56:21 57:15 10	County's 98:17	d 3:1,11 11:7,11	124:20 days 55:7 66:7
2:2,5 4:14 20:6	57:15,19	couple 37:13	27:11 29:24	days 55:7 66:7
29:16 30:2	102:17 123:21	59:1 67:22 83:23 00:20	30:5 31:14	66:12 91:6 02:11 111:15
53:21 54:2,15	134:12	83:23 90:20	50.0 51.11	93:11 111:15
	l	I	I	I

July 29, 2015

Page 141

122:23,23,24	21:8	determining	director 97:24	9:22 10:17,20
DCEO 131:13	demonstrating	128:17	118:20	11:10 82:4
DCEO's 131:12	71:21	develop 80:11	directors 117:15	132:1
131:15	demonstration	developed 68:10	Dirty 97:10	doing 44:24
dead 130:8	27:13,18 65:2	127:19	disabilities	58:10 93:20,20
deadline 80:13	65:2,3,8	diagnosed 118:5	129:10	94:11 102:7
deadlines 133:1	demonstrations	122:20 123:16	disagree 62:15	104:24 107:14
deadly 102:4,13	28:17	diagnosis 76:9	discovered	109:20,24
deal 84:24	denied 116:5	dialogue 90:17	27:12 28:4	110:4 113:21
100:14 130:4	deny 98:10	90:19 91:1,3	discuss 65:6	134:7
dealing 101:22	113:18 125:3	died 118:4 124:1	76:17 86:4	Dolin 121:17
122:5 129:10	Department 2:9	diesel 14:12	91:20 132:8,15	domain 64:15
130:5	131:10	15:23 17:17	discussed 14:10	90:1
Deanna 2:6 4:22	dependent 34:22	26:17,18 31:5	17:15,24 35:23	domestic 97:3
death 100:23	depending	43:10 44:9,17	36:24 45:1,4	Dorothy 119:22
deaths 98:18	12:22	44:22 45:2,4	discussing 69:1	119:24
decades 111:23	derive 56:6	45:10,14	discussion 36:15	doubled 113:7,7
112:3,6 113:8	Des 96:22	difference	68:18 132:10	downward
deceitful 101:15	describe 27:16	126:16	discussions 3:3	45:19 63:5
December 13:20	described 32:20	different 37:9	36:1 45:17	downwash
98:9	33:11	37:10 105:2	disease 98:4	64:17 90:3
decided 114:15	deserves 110:21	116:19	101:19,20	Dr 4:22 110:8,10
decision 8:8	design 28:18	difficult 20:17	112:17	110:18
67:5 100:16	designations	53:22 56:21	dismissed 32:15	draft 75:10 77:6
128:15	47:12 49:19	57:7 65:7	dispersion 89:10	97:16 114:7,12
decisions 100:7	64:18 90:4	69:10,19 90:7	disposal 117:2	114:16 126:3,8
decline 99:3	designed 87:8	92:23 122:24	distributors	dressed 96:16
deemed 57:20	114:19	difficulties 76:7	45:7	drink 96:24
57:22	desk 112:4	digging 121:12	docket 4:18 6:21	drive 2:21 120:8
deep 97:4	Despite 123:1	dioxide 20:7	doctor 76:24	driven 101:9
defense 28:23	desulfurization	75:9 77:10,15	101:23 122:8	driver 99:9
111:5	20:6,21 83:5	80:6,7,14	123:3	drove 96:17
defines 87:9	98:8,12	99:16,23	doctors 76:9	drug 122:9
defining 49:10	deteriorated	100:22 101:10	document 9:23	dry 29:13,14,19
definition 64:15	123:15	101:24 102:13	18:2,2 37:2	54:7 55:12
90:1	determination	102:19 104:13	47:11,19 48:13	87:23
delay 79:23	123:15	114:5 126:2	48:17 73:2	DSI 54:3,5,16,17
100:16	determine 20:11	128:7 130:6	79:10 82:6	55:3 87:24
delaying 102:12	20:15,18 21:5	direct 40:15	89:6	88:2,14
deliver 82:19	27:17,20 34:7	115:21	documentation	Dubin 82:12,13
democracy	36:4 39:2	direction 42:23	89:17	82:15
110:15	64:20 89:4	45:19 95:5,6	documented	due 17:15 100:2
demonstrate	determined	106:11	64:13 89:23	dump 112:10
41:11 89:9	46:13,15 55:20	directly 72:17	124:22	dumps 108:19
demonstrated	88:7 100:7	111:6 121:23	documents 8:4	108:19 109:3
	l	l	l	

July 29, 2015

Page 142

				1490 ±12
dwindling 84:18	effects 59:5	80:8 86:10	31:15 32:10,12	14:10,16 17:18
Dynegy 77:14	101:22 112:13	90:2 99:8	43:24 44:14,19	23:17 33:5
Dynegy / /.14	118:10 131:19	emissions 1:7	60:13 61:19,20	36:8 49:4
E	efficient 113:15	4:14,14 14:18	enforces 44:21	55:19,21 56:5
e 2:1,1 3:1,9,12	133:6	14:23 17:3,6	engine 63:1	56:10 58:6,11
11:9,11 28:9	efficiently 80:11	17:16,23 19:14	engineer 12:3	61:6 63:19,20
30:3,5 31:18	efforts 36:24	19:16,18 20:7	111:16 112:7	64:3 66:3,4,6
43:1	80:11	20:10,12,15	113:12	66:17 68:6
earlier 22:2	EGU 70:1,2	21:6 22:21	engineering	69:22 70:22
40:22 41:16	EGUs 70:6	28:22,23 29:8	26:10	71:7,24 72:3,7
68:14,17,23	83:16,20 84:6	30:1,5,6,10,18	enjoy 115:5	72:10,13,14,17
131:16	eight 46:22	30:18,19,20	121:5	72:18 83:16
early 65:11	52:18 108:4	31:20 33:21,22	enjoying 108:9	87:1,9 89:6
ears 127:20	either 8:12 31:1	34:16 36:4	ensure 45:20	91:4 93:24
Earth 105:20	43:15 52:11	38:9,12,18,21	60:3 133:6	98:5 101:1,14
108:3,7 109:13	82:9 110:24	39:15 43:6	ensuring 80:12	103:10 111:23
easier 13:7	126:15	49:7 53:20	enter 79:8	119:18 128:16
easily 62:9	electric 83:15	54:22,24 55:14	entered 10:21	EPA's 40:11
east 2:11,16	electricity 119:3	55:23 60:11	80:21	49:19 54:22
106:10	elements 89:8	62:9 63:2,3,7	entering 11:3	98:15 102:17
easy 129:21	elevated 72:4,15	69:7 72:4,15	47:8	128:20
eat 75:20 85:1	eligible 123:9	77:10 86:8,18	entire 76:23	equipment
97:1 100:20,21	eliminate 20:8	87:10 89:11	85:16	53:21 54:3,13
102:12	109:7	91:24 92:1	entirely 63:21	54:15,21 55:1
eating 104:18,20	ell 54:6	98:16,19 99:1	entirety 9:21	56:20 57:6
107:21	Ellen 24:16	99:2,14,23	entitled 4:11	67:7 69:9 98:9
ecological	117:12,13	100:17,18	environment	98:12,13
110:20 115:6	ELPC 12:21	102:14 114:20	2:11 15:18	102:19 113:19
economic 59:4	90:7	119:4	94:19 110:22	113:20
87:13 88:6	Emerald 94:14	emit 100:19	110:23 115:7	equitable 17:13
131:10,12,14	Emily 125:15,17	emitted 111:8	116:4 117:16	erroneously
131:18	emission 1:8	119:2	119:19	68:24
economically	13:24 16:15,17	emphysema	environmental	error 91:8
88:5	16:18,20,21,23	95:19	2:15,16,19 5:6	errors 27:12,17
economics	16:24 18:16,17	employed 56:6	5:8 6:1 7:20	27:19 28:3
113:23 115:10	18:22,23 20:18	enable 72:3,14	9:3 11:5,8 12:2	especially 63:3
118:16	20:23 22:3	115:4	13:16 36:19	99:8
ED 77:14	26:12 27:22	energy 111:9	46:6 53:23	essential 35:18
Edwards 77:14	28:18 29:9	114:9,15	79:16,17 82:16	35:21
effect 6:21 22:14	31:23 33:14	Energy's 77:2,8	83:17 85:23	essentially 34:19
22:19 23:18	42:18 55:22	125:3 126:5	86:3 88:23	62:12 128:16
effecting 34:1,23	56:7,12 60:2	Enforceability	92:21 94:7	estimates 101:17
35:2	60:12,13,23	30:16	98:1 116:7	et 95:19
effective 128:18	62:11 64:16,22	enforceable	117:2,5	ethical 113:18
effectively 80:12	72:2,6 77:13	30:22 31:2,4	EPA 7:5 11:23	124:18
-	,.,.,	,.		
	•	•	•	•

July 29, 2015

Page 143

r				
ethics 113:22	exhibits 10:21	f 30:8	feelings 121:14	123:16 131:22
evaluate 109:14	11:11	F-A-I-T-H 6:13	fellow 115:22	fish 97:1 100:19
eventually 21:18	existed 101:12	face 101:21	felt 121:19	fist 129:20
everybody 4:8	101:13	facilities 62:3	123:17	fit 76:14
93:14 95:21	existence 111:23	90:1 112:3	fewer 22:21	five 59:18
104:11 120:3	existing 20:4,14	facility 50:2	FGD 33:8 34:13	105:22 106:23
121:5 133:10	45:21 86:19	62:6 64:16	35:20 67:7	122:7 128:16
everyone's 9:19	expect 14:24	66:15 71:5	83:5,7 84:6,11	five-factor 49:5
evidence 88:7	17:19 126:14	111:20	84:16 85:4,11	fix 27:23
104:15	expectations	facility's 115:9	field 53:5,7	fixed 66:7,12
exactly 116:24	116:24	fact 27:19 34:22	file 8:16 131:2	flawed 128:24
124:15	expected 61:7	44:2 62:22	131:24	fleet 68:8 88:4
	-			fleet-wide 21:2
example 66:15 70:18 86:9	72:5,16	65:15 88:2,8 94:22 99:18	filed 5:4 6:6,7,21	fluctuations
	expedited 5:9		8:1 10:16 59:9	
exceed 62:10	77:15 79:24	failure 86:4	59:12 78:7	62:8
exceedances	expeditiously	fairly 44:7 62:22	79:20 131:18	flue 20:5,21 83:4
28:10,13	86:17 88:12	65:9 69:22	files 89:20	98:8,12
excellent 113:13	99:13	Faith 6:13 90:13	filing 98:5 133:1	focus 110:20
exception 84:19	expensive 99:22	90:21	filings 38:22	focuses 80:5
84:20,21,22	expert 45:17	fall 121:21	39:1	focusing 89:3
114:11,22	explain 17:13	familiar 46:17	filled 122:10	folks 121:15
excess 28:22,23	36:14 44:20	families 114:13	filters 104:6	follow 115:16
exchange 100:20	45:11 53:1	114:23 127:3	final 41:21 65:9	follow-up 12:15
exclude 22:1	72:22	family 75:13	financial 100:7	15:13 18:4
excluded 22:7	explained 73:2	76:18,24 96:5	find 57:11 68:15	19:11 21:12,20
excluding 33:8	explode 115:24	118:18,23,24	68:16 94:21	24:14 26:21
exclusion 34:13	explosive 122:19	119:17	108:15 118:13	27:9 28:8 29:5
34:21 35:7,19	exposed 111:6	fanatic 107:23	finding 59:7	30:14 32:4
excuse 15:8	112:22 113:5	far 65:14 88:1	findings 27:17	39:9,18 40:8
129:18	114:24	95:7 122:13	fine 13:6,10 60:8	42:6 43:1 47:4
executive 111:17	express 8:8	123:4	102:4	48:23 50:13
exempt 125:3	expressed 30:22	fascist 128:16	finger 127:24	56:2,3,17
exempted 98:7	extended 51:6	fashion 19:21	finger-pointing	57:13 59:2
exemption 37:9	extension 48:18	fast 107:5,18	109:22	66:2 67:21
77:5 84:16	106:23	fate 100:8	fingertips 35:11	78:13
97:16 111:10	extent 33:24	father 122:9	fired 118:12	follow-ups
114:17 116:10	externalities	FDG 20:20	119:3	37:13 46:4
126:8	129:4	feasibility 87:14	first 5:9,14,22	53:15 58:16
exercise 109:17	externalizing	88:6	8:1 9:21 10:17	following 8:1
exercising 110:1	129:3	feasible 88:5	14:9 18:13,15	74:11 81:20
exhibit 3:11,12	extra 100:18	federal 45:21	33:13 34:8	84:15
3:13 9:24 11:3	extremely 28:1	62:17 99:10	45:5 59:6 62:2	follows 27:14
11:7,9 47:7	eyes 116:23	101:9	66:19 69:3,6	food 100:21
78:15 79:9,10		feel 76:16	82:18 84:3	foods 107:22
exhibited 54:21	F	129:15	94:2 122:5	force 98:14
1	1	1	1	

July 29, 2015

Page 144

				rage in
100:4	44:18 45:7,14	Gen 91:20	65:16 81:22	121:4 122:23
forced 97:11	46:11 53:5,11	general 69:22	84:17,21	127:15
99:24	53:12 60:3	80:6	giving 9:20	grade 96:6
	62:4,5,7,16,23		12:13 109:16	gradually
foregoing		generally 87:1 98:13		122:11 123:18
134:11,11	fuels 14:16,22		glad 116:17	
forest 108:8	15:2 16:9	generates	Glen 50:14 51:2	grand 2:11
forever 116:12	52:21,24 53:9	111:12	92:21	111:5,5
forgot 96:14	62:9	generating	Glosser 2:6 4:23	grandchild
form 87:24	fulfill 93:12	69:24 72:20,23	66:2,11,24	76:13
formally 51:3	fully 110:24	73:6 83:15	Glosser's 68:1	grandchildren
formed 110:16	functioning	112:3 113:20	go 12:23 13:4	75:16 120:3,17
forms 33:19	110:24	generation 6:7	19:4 34:3	grandfather
forth 13:5 76:8	funny 108:14	7:10,12 16:15	36:12 43:13	114:24
98:11 108:13	further 7:15	22:2 25:5 36:7	47:6 53:8 65:8	Grandfathered
109:4	21:9 40:1 46:1	37:21 39:11	68:17,19 74:8	124:17
forward 36:2	58:2 70:21	42:2 55:11,16	75:3 103:1	grandkids 117:9
65:8,11 74:19	73:9 133:19	83:3,3,14	106:10,12	grandmother
82:23 85:16,17	future 39:21	84:13 85:1,10	107:18 112:1	77:18
127:2	98:23 101:6	87:22 88:3	118:14 120:5,9	grandparent
found 36:9	115:5 117:8	98:7 99:19	122:12 126:13	75:16
68:16 76:9	132:8	100:8,9,14	127:16 132:8	grandson 76:5
98:17 108:14		101:3 102:11	132:13	grandson's
founded 98:2	G	102:18 115:9	goal 35:21 80:12	77:20
108:3,7	G-A-L-L-A-G	117:8	God 40:3 48:7	grandsons
founder 105:20	110:12	Generation's	79:3 110:21	126:17
founders 117:15	G-A-R-Y 127:10	37:7 40:24	goes 106:20	granted 5:7
founding 118:21	G-E-H-R-I-G	41:9,14,17,23	going 4:2 13:12	grasping 112:15
four 37:6,9	125:22	generations	29:10 42:22	grass 117:16
106:17 120:17	gained 113:13	112:6 117:8		127:17
	Gallagher 110:9		49:11 50:18	
120:18 122:1	110:10,11,18	generators	51:22 61:2	Gray 64:8,23
fourth 64:7	games 127:16	70:11,13	63:22 65:10	65:15,20 67:2
108:20	-	Gerald 2:8	66:22 69:13	67:10
frankly 128:22	garbage 108:10	Gerry 4:24	74:6,19 79:7	Gray's 59:19
130:3	108:15,18,19 109:2 112:10	getting 7:19	82:23,24 85:14	great 25:7 76:20
freely 101:4		74:2 75:22	89:2 95:4,5	106:9 109:20
frequency 72:4	Gary 127:7,10	95:3 101:21	98:11 107:5	110:4 113:9
72:15	gas 20:5,21 22:9	108:23	108:6 115:16	greater 98:24
friend's 96:12	23:4,8 24:8,10	girlfriend 123:3	122:12 124:1	greatest 54:21
96:17	24:19,23 25:9	give 40:1 48:5	124:24	greatly 133:16
friends 76:17	25:21 26:8,9	73:21 75:12	good 4:8 73:23	green 130:9
118:19 124:7,8	26:15 33:6	79:1	79:15 82:14	grew 120:16
front 82:8	34:16 83:4	given 8:14,24	88:21 90:14	123:4 127:12
fuel 14:12,13,15	98:8,12	20:8 26:10	93:7 96:1	127:23
15:23 25:10,18	Gehrig 125:18	35:4 39:24	107:18 110:10	grid 25:7
26:11 43:10	125:22	62:8 63:1,1	115:14 119:18	group 2:16,19

July 29, 2015

Page 145

1				rage 110
6:2 9:4 14:8	112:14 115:16	5:18,22 6:3,18	130:17,20	51:2,3 92:21
16:6 46:6	hardest 106:6	6:20,23 7:7,9	· ·	94:20
			132:12,16,21	
79:17 94:19	HARDIN 2:20	7:14,18 8:2	132:21 133:6,8	hope 107:24
96:4	Harley 15:16,17	10:9,17,22	133:17	108:5 110:4
groups 36:19,19	16:10 23:2,3,7	11:13 12:9,17	hearings 5:13	124:2
41:22 51:2	23:16,23 32:23	13:9 15:8,11	115:18	hopefully 6:15
83:17	32:24 33:5,23	16:12 18:3	heart 76:13	93:19
grow 76:1	34:11,20 35:5	19:4,8 20:1	98:18 107:6	horse 130:2
127:18	35:17 39:9,10	21:11,13,15	heartbreaking	horses 130:1
growing 121:7	39:18 40:7,9	23:1 24:3,13	119:9	horsy 130:5
growth 110:23	40:15,22 41:7	25:11 26:2	heavy 105:11	hospital 98:19
guess 105:1	41:15 47:5,7	27:8 28:7 29:4	127:12	101:23
130:15	48:12,21 49:24	30:13 32:3,7	Heinz 103:4,5,5	hospitalizations
guidance 54:22	50:11 67:23,24	32:22 36:11	held 74:12	102:2
68:6 69:21,22	68:8,12	37:12,14 39:8	134:10	hospitalized
70:4,7,13,22	harm 128:23	39:17 40:5,16	Hello 85:21	126:18
71:24 72:10,11	harmful 105:2	41:5 42:5,20	125:16	hour 30:23
72:13,17 89:6	111:8 114:20	45:1,5 46:3	help 8:7 40:3,12	47:13 55:5,6
gun 130:8	Harvard 113:3	47:3,6,24 48:9	48:6 79:2 93:2	58:6 60:4
guys 82:19	hazard 124:21	48:22,24 50:12	103:7 110:4	86:11 87:18
116:1	hazardous	50:21,24 51:12	Helpers 105:20	91:5,23 92:2
110.1	111:17	51:22 52:4,11	108:3,6 109:13	91.3,23 92.2 96:15
H			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
H 3:9	head 126:13	52:13 53:14	helpful 10:1	hourly 17:6
H-A-R-L-E-Y	heads 115:24	54:4,9 56:1,15	82:6	53:22 56:21
15:17	health 26:6	58:13,19 59:6	helping 104:23	57:7,17 69:10
H-E-I-N-Z	75:17 83:18	59:18,21 60:7	Hi 105:19	69:18 70:15
	95:15 98:1,1	60:19 61:11,22	117:13	77:9
103:6	98:16,22,23	63:8 64:5 65:5	hide 127:16	hours 109:6
half 46:23 86:11	99:2 106:1	65:6 67:1,19	high 53:6 86:10	115:23 123:24
87:18	112:11 116:4	68:13,14,19	higher 16:24	house 96:12,17
halt 127:19	118:10,17	72:8 73:11	17:3 20:12,15	housekeeping
Hancock 106:5	119:19 123:15	74:3,14 77:22	21:6 26:12	131:6
hand 9:14,24	124:21 128:13	78:11,18 79:5	Hines 94:14	houses 104:21
39:23 48:3	129:9,11	79:13 80:18	hinges 83:9	human 110:24
78:23 87:6	130:12	81:1,11 85:13	historical 99:9	112:11 118:17
129:20	healthful 110:22	88:18 90:11,15	history 80:6	hundreds 17:10
handle 13:1	healthy 75:20	92:17 94:3,4	hit 92:3 121:6,15	19:13,15
hang 116:1	76:1,21 115:7	95:2,5,22	hits 76:21	husband 118:3
happened 51:17	hear 4:17 5:23	97:18 102:22	hitting 121:15	119:6
103:24	10:7 82:11,13	103:3 105:16	hold 5:13 61:14	hypothetical
happy 13:3	125:16 127:17	110:6 115:11	98:21 116:11	27:15,19
72:12 76:1	130:13	117:10 119:20	holding 115:18	
80:16	heard 5:16	120:24 121:1,5	hole 120:6	Ι
hard 96:9	hearing 1:13 2:4	125:6,13,19	homeless 108:19	I&M 106:15
105:24 107:5	3:3,6 4:1,10	127:5,15	Homer 50:14	idea 37:6 51:8
1.00.21107.0	5.5,04.1,10	121.3,13	110111C1 30.14	
	1		I	I

July 29, 2015

Page 146

51:16 93:6				
51.10 75.0	58:8 61:6,6,17	77:19 115:3	5:20 24:11,21	interest 102:15
128:12	66:15,19 75:6	126:23 127:2	26:13 35:6,11	interested 18:9
identification	79:17 80:8,13	incidence 113:7	45:18 52:9	36:21 38:24
11:12 79:11	83:16,22 86:3	include 41:8	63:6 65:16,17	51:9,18 93:15
identified 14:20	88:10 93:18	60:1 86:24	65:19 72:2,6	93:20
16:6 38:21	95:8 99:5,20	87:1	83:2 84:14	interesting
48:14 86:8,23	100:17 101:1	included 22:3,16	89:3,14 90:9	116:20
IEPA 13:18 14:4	101:14,17	41:22 72:20	108:2	intern 85:23
14:24 17:9,13	101:14,17	91:16 92:4,15	infrastructure	88:22
17:21 20:11,14	111:3 114:20	includes 13:24	104:14,16,19	interpreted 87:1
27:12 28:9	116:3,11 117:1	14:9 41:24	inhaled 124:16	intervals 107:4
29:15,24 30:8	117:6 134:1,9	89:24	inhaler 76:14	introduce 11:15
31:19 32:19,19	134:18	including 60:23	96:14,16 104:2	11:19
38:20 39:1	Illinois' 99:11	64:13 71:8	121:8	involved 24:1
	illness 100:23		inhalers 76:19	95:10
51:4 53:19	118:4	86:18 87:2	121:10	
56:6 57:4,5		90:7 118:2		involves 26:17
71:3,9 72:6	illnesses 126:11	incorrect 65:18	initial 36:3 59:6	IPCB 53:19
93:19 94:21	immunizations	increase 112:19	injection 29:13	56:19
IEPA's 13:22	75:24	increased	29:19 54:8	ironclad 102:9
17:8 21:24	impact 27:20	112:16,22	55:12 87:24	irregardless
22:15 27:13	71:15,18 116:7	113:5	input 89:19	115:8,8
56:18 69:3	131:12,14	increases 102:1	inputs 41:4	irrevocable
IERG 3:5,13	impacted 23:14	increasing 107:6	ins 95:12	128:23
74:6,16 78:6,8	27:18 34:8	incredible	inspection 32:1	irritant 102:1
79:9,10,21	36:18,18 75:5	108:16	inspectors 53:5	issue 49:20
	05.20	Indiana 61:5,8	53:8	82:20 83:9
80:3,3	95:20	· · · · · ·		
IERG's 81:2	impacting 61:9	indicate 45:18	install 20:5	86:1 89:1,5
IERG's 81:2 ignorance	impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6	55:12 83:4	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10	impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23	impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21	impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14	impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23	impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16	impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5	impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5 2:11,12,14,16	<pre>impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10 88:14 99:11,12</pre>	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21 125:9	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14 88:3 99:19	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6 iterations 34:4
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5	<pre>impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10 88:14 99:11,12 implemented</pre>	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5 2:11,12,14,16 2:17,19,22 4:12 5:8 6:1	<pre>impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10 88:14 99:11,12 implemented 84:4 86:23</pre>	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21 125:9	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14 88:3 99:19	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6 iterations 34:4 iterative 33:12 33:17
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5 2:11,12,14,16 2:17,19,22 4:12 5:8 6:1 7:4 9:3 11:5,8	<pre>impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10 88:14 99:11,12 implemented 84:4 86:23 important 82:20</pre>	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21 125:9 individuals 111:24 industrial	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14 88:3 99:19 installing 85:4 85:10 instrument	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6 iterations 34:4 iterative 33:12
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5 2:11,12,14,16 2:17,19,22 4:12 5:8 6:1 7:4 9:3 11:5,8 11:22 14:10,16	<pre>impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10 88:14 99:11,12 implemented 84:4 86:23 important 82:20 86:1 89:1</pre>	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21 125:9 individuals 111:24 industrial 129:24	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14 88:3 99:19 installing 85:4 85:10 instrument 96:10	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6 iterations 34:4 iterative 33:12 33:17 iv 17:21 30:12
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5 2:11,12,14,16 2:17,19,22 4:12 5:8 6:1 7:4 9:3 11:5,8	<pre>impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10 88:14 99:11,12 implemented 84:4 86:23 important 82:20</pre>	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21 125:9 individuals 111:24 industrial	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14 88:3 99:19 installing 85:4 85:10 instrument	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6 iterations 34:4 iterative 33:12 33:17 iv 17:21 30:12 J
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5 2:11,12,14,16 2:17,19,22 4:12 5:8 6:1 7:4 9:3 11:5,8 11:22 14:10,16	<pre>impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10 88:14 99:11,12 implemented 84:4 86:23 important 82:20 86:1 89:1</pre>	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21 125:9 individuals 111:24 industrial 129:24	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14 88:3 99:19 installing 85:4 85:10 instrument 96:10	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6 iterations 34:4 iterative 33:12 33:17 iv 17:21 30:12 J 2:23
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5 2:11,12,14,16 2:17,19,22 4:12 5:8 6:1 7:4 9:3 11:5,8 11:22 14:10,16 17:18,18 20:24	<pre>impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10 88:14 99:11,12 implemented 84:4 86:23 important 82:20 86:1 89:1 107:19 115:19</pre>	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21 125:9 individuals 111:24 industrial 129:24 industries	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14 88:3 99:19 installing 85:4 85:10 instrument 96:10 insult 108:5	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6 iterations 34:4 iterative 33:12 33:17 iv 17:21 30:12 J 2:23 J-A-N 125:21
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 iii 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5 2:11,12,14,16 2:17,19,22 4:12 5:8 6:1 7:4 9:3 11:5,8 11:22 14:10,16 17:18,18 20:24 23:17 33:5	<pre>impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10 88:14 99:11,12 implemented 84:4 86:23 important 82:20 86:1 89:1 107:19 115:19 impossible 65:7</pre>	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21 125:9 individuals 111:24 industrial 129:24 industries 117:22 130:8	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14 88:3 99:19 installing 85:4 85:10 instrument 96:10 insult 108:5 insurance	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6 iterations 34:4 iterative 33:12 33:17 iv 17:21 30:12 <u>J</u> J 2:23 J-A-N 125:21 J-A-S-I-N-S
IERG's 81:2 ignorance 113:10 ii 14:24 16:23 28:21 iii 17:8 19:14 ill 1:5 76:23 Illinois 1:1,16 1:16,17 2:2,3,5 2:11,12,14,16 2:17,19,22 4:12 5:8 6:1 7:4 9:3 11:5,8 11:22 14:10,16 17:18,18 20:24 23:17 33:5 36:8 40:10	<pre>impacting 61:9 impacts 28:18 98:16,22 99:2 116:4 impede 110:23 implement 83:23 implementation 86:13,16 88:10 88:14 99:11,12 implemented 84:4 86:23 important 82:20 86:1 89:1 107:19 115:19 impossible 65:7 impression 45:8</pre>	indicate 45:18 indicated 25:6 43:19 44:11 46:10 52:17 56:19 70:22 indication 27:1 indirectly 47:17 129:14 individual 36:21 125:9 individuals 111:24 industrial 129:24 industries 117:22 130:8 industry 111:18	55:12 83:4 84:6,11 87:23 98:8 125:4 installation 29:16,19 55:1 55:15 77:15 84:16 installed 29:14 88:3 99:19 installing 85:4 85:10 instrument 96:10 insult 108:5 insurance 123:11,14	86:1 89:1,5 96:6 110:15 issued 7:22 issues 52:23 53:2 item 61:24 63:11 64:7 67:2 items 59:18 67:20 131:6 iterations 34:4 iterative 33:12 33:17 iv 17:21 30:12 J 2:23 J-A-N 125:21

July 29, 2015

Page 147

				rage 117
J-O-H-N 103:6	July 1:18 5:14	23:24 29:15,24	116:16	40:12 48:15,20
J-O-N-E-S	7:23 54:1 78:4	35:3 41:5 46:8	land 117:1	50:2,9 61:1
127:11	78:7 79:20,21	46:16 49:9	language 129:2	80:15
J-U-R-A-S	June 47:15	50:15 53:7	large 1:9 4:15	Let's 10:23
50:23 92:21	Juras 50:14,14	58:10 59:16	62:2 65:23	120:3 132:13
Jackie 12:6	50:23,23 51:1	61:6 62:23,24	119:13	letter 131:15
James 5:2	51:14 52:8,12	63:2,3,19	largely 88:7	letters 131:7,9
Jan 123:3	92:19,20,20	69:22,24 95:10	larger 60:24	letting 88:24
125:18,21	jurisdictions	103:13,17,20	largest 17:12	102:8,11
Jan's 123:15	49:9	103:22,23	98:6 119:4	level 30:6 105:4
January 17:7	justice 110:20	103.22,25	late 96:13 124:4	levels 14:15
126:19	115:6	104.22 105.5,0	law 7:20 13:16	94:15 113:6
Jasinkski 96:2	justification	107:19,21,23	20:4,14 45:8	lie 64:2
Jasinski 95:24	55:20	107:17,21,25	45:21 77:5	life 76:22 103:22
96:1	55.20	112:16 113:9	82:16 83:17	113:19,22
Jason 5:2	K	113:10 116:2	85:23 88:23	120:11 122:5
JCAR 131:21	K 134:3	118:18 125:5	93:18 97:16	lifespan 84:18
Jeff 12:4 23:10	K-O-L-A-Z 78:5	128:5 129:9,14	114:11 126:8	84:21
Jennifer 2:7	K-O-W-E-Y	133:2,13	laws 62:17	lifetime 96:3
4:19	125:17	knowing 51:9	116:11 117:5	liked 51:5
Jerome 2:7	K-V-I-E-N	119:3	lax 83:22	122:16
Jerry 4:23	85:22	knowledge	lay 117:1	likelihood 79:23
Jersey 116:22	Keenan 2:8 4:24	26:22 42:1	lead 83:13	Likewise 8:12
e e	keep 90:18 93:4		learn 76:19	
job 95:9 109:20	94:5 109:7	112:8,9 113:14 known 124:15		limestone 104:16
jobs 110:4	130:2	knows 65:9	learned 116:17 116:18	limit 16:16
John 103:3,5 106:5	keeping 76:21			
	keeps 75:23,23	Kolaz 78:5,16	leave 68:17	29:10 30:22
joined 4:24 Joint 59:8	Keith 15:16	79:4,8,14,15	74:18 78:1 103:1 118:20	31:8,8,15 43:6
	kept 121:11	79:16 81:5,7		43:16,24 44:1
131:17 Joliet 1:17 22:12	key 35:13	Kolaz's 78:15	leaves 121:21	44:6,14,22
	kids 95:18,18	Kowey 125:15	leaving 118:4	53:22 55:22
23:13,17 33:2	111:5,5 114:24	125:16,17,21	led 98:17 101:1	56:21 57:7
33:6 34:1,9,13	Kim 105:18,19	Kvien 85:20,21	left 4:6,22 11:23	62:11 69:10,19
34:14,15,21,22	105:19	85:22	12:1,4 74:21	77:9,13 86:10
35:3,7 38:4,17	kind 70:4	L	82:10 85:18	87:18 88:13
39:4,12 40:11	103:12,18	$\frac{L}{L1:132:4}$	90:20 91:1	91:15,23 92:1
48:14,19 50:2	107:14 112:14	L-I-N-D-S-A-Y	109:3	92:13,14 93:13
50:6 84:16	kindergarten	82:17	legal 2:9 85:23	99:14 110:2
105:22 106:8	121:7	labeled 96:23	88:22 111:10	limitation 87:10
106:15 108:13	King 129:23	laborious 83:12	legalese 93:3	Limitations 1:6
108:21 117:23	knew 116:24	lack 26:22	Lemont 13:19	4:13
119:1 127:11	124:12	LaGrange	13:23 17:10	limits 14:21
Jones 127:7,8,10	knock 127:21	120:14	23:5,8,18 33:3	15:24 16:3,8
130:19	know 18:10	Lake 97:4	34:2,23 35:22	27:22,24 29:3
judge 72:3,14	MIUW 10.10	L'ANU 77.4	37:18 38:14	30:20 31:2,23
	1	1	1	1

July 29, 2015

Page 148

				Tage 140
44:13 60:2,10	132:23	62:4,11	115:9	meets 24:24
60:12,13,24	Lockport 75:6	lower 14:20	maps 96:22	80:13
61:20 62:10	121:20 125:12	15:24 16:3,7,8	Marie 2:9 5:2	member 2:7,7,8
63:14 70:23,24	125:24 127:12	16:16 45:23	mark 5:2 129:6	2:8 4:19 8:6
71:4,10,20	logic 98:21	55:21,22 85:3	marked 3:10	77:17 118:21
72:1 86:8	logical 94:17	88:12 99:14	11:10 79:10	120:2 121:17
91:13,14,15	long 60:17 83:23	lower-sulfur	marking 11:4,7	members 2:5
92:5,6,9,10,15	112:5 114:14	14:22 16:8	Mary 118:19,20	4:23 8:13 9:11
Lindsay 82:15	124:20,20	lowest 87:10	massive 103:11	10:11 58:23
Lindsey 82:12	long-standing	105:4	material 89:18	73:14 77:11
line 67:20 69:7	97:14 126:6	lucky 75:14 97:2	math 62:23 63:2	78:12 81:3
linked 128:13	long-term 91:14	123:2 124:6,8	matter 1:3 4:11	90:14,15 93:15
Lisa 2:10 4:21	longer 33:18	lung 76:10 98:4	10:17 64:24	117:18 118:2,8
list 123:7	55:4,6 58:5	101:20 112:17	93:5,16 95:14	118:24 119:14
listed 4:18 31:1	70:5,8,14,19	123:5,6 128:4	95:15 115:19	119:17 132:5
61:5,18	70:21,24 72:1	lungs 124:16	124:9 132:22	133:11
listen 93:3	91:5 120:7	lungs 124.10	Matters 3:3	
		M		memory 122:5 mental 111:1
litter 108:10,15	longstanding	ma'am 18:5	maximum 17:5	
108:24 109:8	77:3 114:10,18	36:12	17:6 26:17	112:20
little 42:23 43:1	look 34:4 39:1	machine 134:10	63:3,7 103:15	mentally 123:9
105:7 108:4	53:11 65:10	magnitude 72:4	103:18	mentioned 9:8
111:14	124:7,23 127:2	72:15	mean 15:14	15:5 21:20
Liu 2:10 4:21	looked 58:8 63:6	magnitudes	32:10,12 53:2	38:3 49:1
live 75:15	94:7	14:11	66:7 68:3,5	60:10,16 61:17
101:17,18	looking 4:7	mail 129:8	71:17 72:23	63:4 79:19
103:6 108:20	66:20 68:2,3	main 99:9 102:4	84:9 110:16	131:16 132:14
111:6 116:14	68:10 94:9	main 99.9 102.4 major 86:6 99:8	means 31:5	mentioning
116:14,15	96:15 104:3	major 80.0 99.8 majority 62:7	60:12 134:9	96:20
117:18,19	looks 34:5 93:14	117:17	meant 36:15	mercury 83:22
118:24 120:18	Lori 1:14 134:6	making 22:20	measure 88:15	83:23 85:3
120:18 123:5	134:17	49:5 93:16	measures 83:24	94:15 100:17
125:23	lost 100:2	105:8 127:2	83:24 86:5,17	102:12
lived 120:9,11	116:16 123:23	malfunction	86:22 87:2	merely 15:1
lives 100:1	lot 32:15 94:4		88:11 99:13	merits 5:10
101:11 118:10	104:15 105:2,5	28:11,24 29:2	medication	met 31:21 92:8
121:20	108:9,10,22	man 108:15	101:21 122:22	meteorological
livid 118:13	109:20 112:7,8	manager 11:24	medications	64:14 89:24
living 101:20	121:15 123:15	12:5,7 46:23	119:7	meteorology
LLP 2:20	128:12 129:17	Mandates 59:11	medicine 76:20	49:8,8
lo 93:12	129:17,20	59:15 131:20	meet 21:1	methodology
load 70:2,6,11	loved 119:8	mankind 129:23	100:21 101:10	56:6 64:4
loads 105:7	low-sulfur 15:2	manner 44:24	meeting 35:21	methods 32:20
located 23:4,8	17:17 26:17	115:21	87:11 92:22	mic 12:22 32:8
33:2 40:17	43:10 44:21	manufacturing	100:16	127:9
69:2 117:17	52:23 60:3	111:17,18	meetings 36:21	Michael 88:20

July 29, 2015

Page 149

				2
88:22 102:24	model 35:12	monitors 50:1	82:2,14,16	negotiations
Michigan 97:5	40:23,24 41:6	94:22	85:21 88:21	83:12 101:1
microphone	41:8,10,17	months 17:1,4	90:21 92:20	neighbor 75:6
4:16 10:5	60:2 64:21	73:7	96:1,2 97:23	121:23
			105:19 115:14	
13:14 19:9	89:4,15,17,22	moral 113:17,22		neighborhood
21:16 24:4	90:9 128:24	Morgan 103:1	117:13 119:24	108:19
74:22 82:8,10	modeled 16:15	121:3,4 125:10	125:9,11,17	nervous 120:2
microphones	16:17,20,23	morning 4:8	127:10	network 50:8
10:3	17:2,5 18:16	mother 105:20	national 47:14	networks 64:15
middle 133:14	18:22 26:16	108:3,7 109:13	80:6,14 87:4	90:1
midnight 96:13	30:19 31:8,14	113:4 122:8	114:6 126:2	never 52:19
midst 112:15	31:19 36:8	mothers 112:21	nationwide	57:15,16
Midwest 2:24	43:5,6 44:13	motion 5:9	66:21 80:9	new 27:20,23
6:7 7:10,12	49:14,21 60:11	move 6:9 9:2	natural 22:9	36:13 61:18
22:2 25:5 36:7	60:14,24 92:9	10:20 11:14,17	23:4,8 24:19	116:22
37:7,20 39:11	92:10	12:19 53:16	24:23 25:9	nice 51:16 52:1
40:23 41:9,14	modeling 12:5	65:11 73:20	26:9 33:6	52:9 109:4,5
41:17,23 42:2	13:18,22,24	74:19 81:17	34:16	120:6
55:11,16 83:3	14:3 21:8	82:9 130:9	near 122:13	night 96:13
83:3,14 84:13	27:13,20 28:4	moved 105:22	nebulizer	129:22
84:24 85:10	28:11,14 33:12	106:8 108:13	126:20	nine 127:21
87:22 88:3	33:13,14,19	moving 12:11	nebulizers	nitrogen 1:7
91:20 99:19	34:5,6 41:4,20	27:10 36:2	121:10 126:18	4:13 84:1
100:8,9,13	41:20 48:16	37:9 60:21	necessarily	non-attainment
101:3 102:11	49:2 51:15,21	61:24 63:10	43:12 70:10	13:19,20,23
102:18	64:10,12,15	64:7 85:16	necessary 21:9	17:10,12 21:7
mile 117:19	89:10,19,24	91:10	31:3 32:2	23:5,9,14,15
miles 96:17	91:22,23 98:15	MS.VETTER	57:20,23 71:12	23:18 33:3
106:11,18	Modern 76:20	13:11	71:21 74:23	34:7,23 35:2
million 14:13	mom 75:17	musician 96:7	91:21	35:15 40:13
	77:17 122:14	mute 126:14	need 17:19 24:4	41:12 48:15,19
21:2,3,4 62:24				,
101:16 103:12	moment 13:11	myopic 114:1	25:7 27:21	49:10,13,19
mind 125:8	17:15 85:15	N	34:3 47:21	51:7 61:1,9
minimize 112:1	131:8 132:8	$\frac{1}{N2:13:1}$	54:18 55:4	71:15,19,22
minimum 86:20	money 129:11		70:5,7,18	75:8 86:7,14
86:24	129:20	NAAQS 60:4 67:9 87:5	97:13 112:1,1	89:7 95:11
minister 110:18	monitor 50:3,6	91:22	113:24	99:6 114:5
111:16	50:10,15 95:3		needed 30:7	117:24 119:11
ministry 110:19	116:17	name 4:9 6:13	42:9 123:17,19	126:1
minute 57:10	monitored 49:14	6:13 7:3 9:15	needing 70:14	normally 92:24
96:22	monitoring	11:21 13:15	123:6	north 1:17 2:11
minutes 93:10	31:24 49:21	15:16 23:10	needs 99:3 104:9	106:10 116:14
missing 89:16	50:4 51:4,6,8	24:15,16 26:4	negative 118:9	notary 1:14
mixed 102:2	51:17,18,21,23	50:22 74:23	129:4	134:18
mobile 87:2,3	51:24	75:3,4 79:15	negotiated 85:5	note 8:5 108:1

July 29, 2015

Page 150

1				
noted 10.16	4.22	50.21.24.51.12	120.16 127.22	107.19 122.21
noted 10:16	4:23	50:21,24 51:12	120:16 127:23	107:18 132:21
14:16 29:11	O-W-E-N 18:7	52:4,13 53:14	once 9:1,6,14	organization
54:19 55:8	115:15	54:4,9 56:1,15	14:22 103:7	82:3 83:13
59:4	objection 7:2	58:13,19 60:7	128:8	98:3 100:24
notes 67:13	objections 6:5	60:19 61:11,22	one-hour 67:9	117:17 118:9
96:10 134:12	6:16 7:8,15	63:8 64:5 67:1	68:6,7 75:9	organizations
notice 5:10 6:20	11:3 78:19	67:19 68:13,14	89:7 93:6	83:14
53:4 65:4	79:7	68:19 72:8	114:5 126:2	organizes 83:12
131:22,23	obligation 37:21	73:11 74:14	ones 41:21 70:4	original 34:11
noticed 103:9	101:4,13	77:22 78:11,18	95:20 119:8	output 89:20
104:12	Obstructive	79:5,13 80:18	123:2 124:6	outreach 36:1,3
notion 8:9	101:19	81:1,11 85:13	online 25:8	36:15,23
November 123:2	obtained 86:19	88:18 90:11,15	129:19	outs 95:12
NRG 77:2,8	obvious 128:6,8	92:17 95:22	open 82:10	outside 58:8
94:11 99:19	obviously 62:14	97:18 102:22	116:23 124:11	75:23 114:1
100:12 101:2,4	74:22 90:17	103:3 105:16	130:11	overall 55:22
102:11,18	133:12	110:6 115:11	opening 3:3	overly 45:21
111:9 113:18	occasional 76:6	117:10 119:20	10:12,14,15	53:6
114:17 116:5,6	occurred 80:8	121:1 125:6,13	11:16 78:8,16	override 29:3
-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
116:15,18,21	occurring 31:4	125:19 127:5	operate 27:3	Owen 18:6,6,13
116:23 120:22	October 80:13	130:17,20	102:19 111:20	18:18,20 19:1
125:3 126:5,12	offer 8:12,15 9:8	132:12,21	operates 93:16	19:3 24:6 32:5
126:13,15	82:1 130:23	official 27:1	operating 32:11	32:9,14,21
NRG's 37:5	offered 65:16	oh 12:23 84:17	32:16,17 67:6	36:13 37:3,11
97:13 114:9	133:13	105:8	73:4 92:6 93:5	115:13,14,15
117:4,20	offering 12:10	oil 14:14 25:10	98:17	oxides 1:7 4:14
NRG/Midwest	office 121:11	25:21 26:11,17	operation 28:19	84:1
16:15	officer 1:13 2:4	26:19	operations	oxygen 123:17
number 4:6 5:16	3:3,6 4:1,10	okay 4:1,17 7:19	91:15	123:19
10:5 13:18	6:23 7:9,18	10:22 11:13	opinion 40:14	ozone 119:12
22:15 24:18	10:22 11:13	12:17 13:10	40:18 60:18	
25:8 36:22	12:9,17 13:9	16:11 18:19	opportunity	<u> </u>
45:7 68:15	15:8,11 16:12	23:1 32:14	8:24 81:22	P 2:1,1
93:11 121:8	18:3 19:4,8	43:17 44:4	90:16 110:14	P-O-L-I-C-H
133:11,12	20:1 21:11,14	47:2 53:13	115:20 131:11	88:22
numerous 51:2	21:15 23:1	57:12 59:1	opposed 49:14	P.O 2:12
73:23	24:3,13 25:11	60:9 66:24	opt-in 83:10,20	pack 128:3
nutritional	26:2 27:8 28:7	67:22 74:14	84:15	package 84:24
75:22	29:4 30:13	79:13 82:13	opted 84:14 85:6	page 18:2 59:24
10.22	32:3,7,22	120:15 130:14	100:10	59:24 61:2
0	36:11 37:12,14	132:12		62:1 64:8,11
O 134:3,3			option 55:19	67:3,4 68:15
o'clock 1:18	39:8,17 40:5	old 93:22 115:1	101:2	69:4
74:15	42:5,20 46:3	115:2 122:2,7	ordained 110:18	Pages 3:1 60:22
O'Leary 2:7	47:3,6,24 48:9	130:8	order 8:18 35:6	63:12
U Leary 2.1	48:22,24 50:12	older 108:17	36:5 79:22	03.12

July 29, 2015

Page 151

				10.90 101
nanay 109.12	may 76:24 120:5	11.6 11 61.01	00.5 116.10	50.21 67.17
paper 108:12	pay 76:24 129:5	44:6,14 61:21	99:5 116:19	59:21 67:17
paperwork 27:1	129:16 130:11	116:12	plant 29:10 34:6	105:1 123:19
paragraph 62:2	payable 129:7	permits 28:22	63:14 73:5	127:24 128:3
67:11	pays 129:8,12,13	29:2 32:10,11	77:3,9,14	131:6
parameters	129:16	32:13,16	97:14 99:20	poisoning
32:15 64:17	peak 67:12,13	permitted 14:15	102:15 107:1	100:21
89:22 90:3	67:14	60:12	107:14 111:9	Polich 88:20,21
parametric	Pekin 5:19	permitting 8:12	114:9,14	88:22
31:24 91:15	13:20 77:11	9:4	116:15 117:20	Policy 7:21
paraphrasing	80:15 132:24	perpetuity	118:14 120:7	13:17 82:16
72:10	people 10:5	102:14	124:11,14,18	83:17 85:23
parent 96:3	45:17 47:18	persistent	126:6	88:23
part 1:6,6,8 4:13	95:18 99:24	122:19	plant's 122:4	political 49:9
4:13,14 14:12	100:19,21	personal 75:13	plants 98:16	pollutant 82:23
28:20 36:1,3	101:16,20,21	109:13,14,15	99:20 103:24	100:3,11 101:2
59:6 61:3	103:19,19,20	109:17,21	106:20 116:9	114:19
65:21,22 82:22	104:8 107:1,12	110:2,5	116:23 118:12	pollutants 94:23
participants	107:20 108:20	perspective	119:1,3 120:21	118:1 119:12
40:16 41:1	109:20 110:3	124:9	124:21 126:15	polluted 96:21
participate	118:17,18	Ph.D 2:6	play 96:7 127:16	polluting 117:20
133:15	122:12 124:14	phone 36:22	plead 77:1,7,12	119:13 130:15
participated	128:12 129:10	phone 30.22 physical 111:1	please 8:5 9:13	pollution 1:1,16
47:10 83:15	130:21 133:12	physically 123:9	9:15,21,23	2:2,5 29:16
100:24	people's 104:21	picture 108:12	11:20 18:2,8	30:1 39:14
	Peoria 77:11	-	18:20 24:4	
participating 73:19		piece 113:19		61:7 77:3,16
	percent 14:1,1,5	pills 127:20	30:11 32:8	93:18 95:8
particle 102:4	14:6 17:9,14	pinpoint 51:6	48:1,3 50:22	96:19 97:14
113:6	50:7 99:23	94:23	57:3 61:15	99:6 101:7
particular 24:1	100:1	pipe 26:8	68:20 74:21	102:5 104:18
44:23 80:4	percentage 62:5	place 5:14,19	78:20,23 82:1	104:24 105:7
82:4 84:2	period 55:4,6,10	31:23 93:24	85:17 125:20	105:12 109:1,8
87:10 104:18	57:16 58:6	94:23 106:9	pleasure 39:22	109:9,22 110:2
particularly	63:15 65:4	112:2 122:6	plenty 129:19	111:8,11 112:9
104:17 112:23	66:5,17 70:5,8	places 104:15	plume 116:15	112:16,20,23
parties 36:21	70:19,21	Plaines 96:22	plus 17:9	113:6,11,16,24
parts 35:10	105:11	plan 64:22 71:7	pneumonia 76:5	114:10,15
45:24 103:12	periodically	75:8 89:9,16	76:11 126:19	115:1 116:2,3
party 39:1 96:12	62:5	99:11 114:5	pocket 130:11	116:10 118:11
pass 108:2	periods 55:24	126:2	pocketbook	125:1,4 126:7
passed 123:23	57:19	planned 29:16	76:22	130:5
133:1	permission	29:19	pockets 126:18	population
passes 44:16	100:20	Planning 11:24	podium 74:21	114:8
pat 126:12	permit 27:5,6	12:3,6,8	82:9 85:18	position 23:17
patience 116:8	30:21 31:3,7	plans 26:20	point 18:4 45:3	23:22 91:21
pause 15:12	43:5,11,15,23	55:11 86:13	45:5,6 58:16	92:11
4				

July 29, 2015

Page 152

				10.90 101
possible 10:4	12:20 13:17	probably 66:9	29:16 30:2	78:16 86:16
39:13,16 64:20	45:6 53:24	93:7 104:1	promised	88:10 99:12
66:4 92:13	58:14 69:4	105:3,5 122:15	102:14	provided 37:17
105:4 113:21	74:5 78:5	128:12	promote 98:3	40:21 41:4,21
possibly 45:5	79:20 133:2,3	problem 47:1	promulgated	55:18 59:4,10
post-hearing 8:1	precise 20:18	52:19 62:6	83:11	65:23 72:2
10:19 11:2,8	preconceived	64:1,2 101:13	pronounce	89:3,15 90:9
59:3,20	8:9	problems	123:21	providing
potentially	precursor 102:4	120:19,21	proposal 5:11	131:11
36:18 70:14	preexisting 87:7	120:19,21	5:24 35:15	proxy 55:18
Potomac 72:19	prefer 131:3	126:20 128:2	40:24 41:9,14	72:19,23 73:5
72:20,23 73:6	Preliminary 3:3	128:13	41:18,23	public 1:14 3:4
pounds 17:7	preparation	Procedurally	102:18	3:5 4:5 5:13,16
21:2,3,4 30:22	47:11	12:24	propose 37:8	5:24 8:13,15
			1 1	
62:24 86:11 87:18 91:23	prepared 93:2	procedure 7:20 10:9 36:14	proposed 19:16	8:17 9:8,12
	prescription		20:7,10,13,19	65:4,4 74:18
92:2 107:17	122:10	proceed 79:14	22:1,15 25:16	74:20 81:16,18
Powell 5:2	present 2:5	proceeded 5:9	25:23 27:7	81:19 82:7
power 25:7	78:12	proceeding 4:11	36:7 37:6,17	85:16 90:7,16
98:16 102:7	presentation	4:17 27:14	37:22 38:3	92:23 95:15
103:24 106:20	36:23	33:20 41:2	39:3 41:24	101:5 102:16
107:1,14	presented 5:15	47:8 116:21	42:11,13 44:16	115:20 116:4
109:13,14,15	66:10	proceedings	56:11 61:18	117:7 130:21
109:17,21	presenting 6:19	1:12 74:12	63:13 64:22	130:23 133:11
110:2,5 111:9	6:19	133:20 134:10	65:12 67:5	134:18
116:9 118:12	preserves 108:8	process 18:1	75:8 77:4 80:2	public's 102:15
119:1 121:24	Presiding 4:19	33:12,17 66:22	82:21 83:1	published 89:18
122:2 124:21	press 26:23 27:1	90:10 101:12	84:22 85:8,9	pull 76:13
126:16	presuming	producing 47:19	97:15 114:4,11	Pulmonary
Powerton 29:10	44:15	104:1	126:1,8	101:19
29:17 53:20	pretty 62:22	product 83:11	protect 77:10,20	purchase 53:12
54:18 55:3,13	107:15 109:5	profit 119:14	102:7,16 114:7	purchased 53:11
56:8,12 63:14	prevent 85:10	128:22	114:23 115:3	53:12
69:8 71:5 73:4	98:4	profitable 102:8	126:23 127:3	purchases 45:2
77:8 90:21	previous 28:5	128:21 129:17	protection 2:11	purely 124:17
91:3,24	33:1 43:14,18	130:3	2:15 5:6,8 11:5	purpose 35:18
ppm 14:13,14	44:11 45:1	program 83:10	11:9 12:2	75:12
practicable	57:13	83:19,20 109:6	53:23 86:3	purposes 21:6
86:18 99:14	primarily 14:18	Programs 98:1	prove 46:9	88:7
practical 88:12	primary 47:13	progress 99:9	113:20	purse 126:21
pre-file 8:10	47:19	progressed	proved 67:10	pursuant 131:20
81:13 133:5	prior 37:21 39:1	123:18	proven 67:16	132:20
pre-filed 6:2	41:5 89:8	project 14:4	provide 9:7	put 9:13 31:2
7:21 8:19 9:2	101:13 111:16	projected 30:1,6	38:18 40:10	93:24 101:11
10:18 11:6	priority 111:4	projects 14:10	44:2 72:6,18	107:16 123:6
- • •	L	1	,	
	•	•	•	•

July 29, 2015

Page 153

123:21 127:21	5:16 7:14,21	86:24 87:6,8,9	18:9 23:20	recommended
129:2 130:7	7:22 8:11,20	87:15,21 88:6	51:17 69:21	47:12 70:23
putting 62:18	8:21,23 9:16	99:8,8	76:18,21 77:2	75:22
94:11 105:6	10:8,19 11:1,7	radius 117:18	93:7 94:6	record 8:7 10:2
113:19	11:14 12:11,16	raise 39:23 48:2	104:9 105:2,5	10:21 11:20
	12:19,21 13:2	78:22 111:4	106:18 107:24	33:2 35:17
Q	13:4,8,17	raised 110:22	107:24 108:21	40:9 54:6 56:4
quality 11:24	15:12,13 16:14	ran 40:23 41:8	110:15 117:3	65:21 72:9
12:3,6,8 23:18	18:4 21:12	41:11,16	121:4,6 124:12	74:8,15 90:23
34:1 47:14	27:9 28:8 29:5	Randolph 2:2	reaping 85:2	110:17 132:8
50:1,3 75:9	30:5,14 35:24	Randy 50:14	reason 51:1 55:5	132:11,13,15
76:12,22 77:20	40:20 42:6	92:19,20	78:1 80:4	recordkeeping
80:7,15 87:5	46:2 50:13	Rao 2:9 4:21	reasonable	32:1
89:10 99:10	52:16 53:19,24	58:4,12 65:19	86:16 88:13	records 46:10
106:8 114:6	56:2,17,19	66:1	reasonably 86:4	53:12
126:3,24 127:3	58:3,14,21,23	rate 16:15,18,21	86:5,20 87:3	recycling 109:10
question 8:6	59:14 63:23	16:22,23,24	87:12 88:4,11	reduce 17:23
9:13 14:17,24	67:22 69:4	17:3,5,5 18:16	88:14 99:7,12	20:9 22:15
15:20 16:5	70:21 73:10,12	18:23,24 20:18	reasoned 90:8	30:6,10 36:4
17:8 18:9,11	73:16,19,23,24	21:22 22:1,3	reasons 37:1	45:22 79:22
18:12,14,15	78:13 80:16,22	22:17,20,22	57:14 60:23	99:5 100:22
19:7,11,14	81:2,4,6 133:2	26:17 56:7,12	124:18	101:7 111:11
21:20 23:21	133:5,7	64:16 90:2	recall 46:24	113:15,24
24:14 27:11	quick 74:7	91:24 107:6	48:13 49:6	114:14,19
28:6 29:6,12	quickly 74:2	rates 20:23	52:22 53:4	reduced 21:3
29:22 30:12,15	quite 9:11 33:23	28:18 31:20	96:11	31:2
31:10,13,17	51:24 124:14	reach 21:10	receive 6:5	reduces 76:22
32:18 33:24	128:21 129:24	35:14 36:16	12:20	reducing 34:15
34:9 35:3 42:7	130:3	67:8,18	received 78:4	reduction 14:1,6
42:24 43:3,13	quote 67:12	reached 36:17	receiving 30:21	16:21 17:11
43:14,19,22	68:15 72:13,13	36:19,20	43:23 44:6	18:23 31:3,4
44:12 47:23		reaches 26:18	receptor 34:5,6	38:18 64:22
48:23 50:19		35:15	35:4,12 64:15	77:4 97:15
51:11,13 52:3	<u> </u>	reaching 71:22	67:12,13,15,15	114:10 126:7
52:6,15 53:17	R 2:1 4:18	read 13:2,3,7	90:1	reductions
53:18 54:11,20	R-E-H-R 75:4	78:16,19 80:21	receptors 34:7	14:10,17,18,22
55:9 56:23,24	R-E-N-D-U-L	Reading 84:11	67:16	16:18 17:15,16
57:3,4,12,13	24:17 117:14	ready 107:8	recipient 123:7	18:17 19:13,16
58:4,24 59:2	R-O-N 6:17	real 51:20	123:10	29:9 30:1,5,18
66:3,14 68:1	R15-21 1:6	103:13 105:11	recollection	33:6,11,22
69:1,5,15,15	race 123:22	107:19	50:18 53:3	38:9,12 39:3
69:17,20 88:9	RACM 86:5,9	realize 85:15	recommendati	40:11 41:11
questionnaire	86:12,24 87:1	106:9,19	49:3 71:7	42:1,4,9,10
59:11,15	87:8	122:21	recommendati	80:8 84:4,9
questions 3:4,5	RACT 86:6,9,11	really 17:20	48:17 49:6,13	86:18 99:1

July 29, 2015

Page 154

	_		_	_
redundant	111:21	104:23	35:20 44:9,18	response 15:4
60:17 84:12	regulatory 2:16	repeat 18:8,20	44:19,21 46:19	15:20 16:20
refer 9:22 82:24	2:19 6:2 9:3	57:2 97:7	84:5 98:8	17:2,14,24
83:5 98:11	12:7 29:3	rephrase 45:12	100:1 101:8,10	20:17 27:15
reference 57:5	43:16 44:1	reported 134:9	requirements	28:5,5,12,16
68:1 69:6	46:6 79:17	reporter 9:18	14:11 24:24	29:1,11,12,18
referenced	rehabilitation	39:20,22 48:1	32:17 33:9	29:22 30:4,11
57:14 68:24,24	129:9 130:12	48:2 78:20,22	43:10 60:3	30:11,24 31:9
references 57:5	Rehr 75:1,2	134:7	62:4,17 64:12	31:12,16,22
referencing	reiterate 81:24	reporting 32:16	65:15 77:4	41:9,14,17
52:20	reject 77:4 97:15	46:19	84:1,2,8 87:23	43:3 53:19
referred 19:13	114:11,22,22	reports 26:23	89:22 97:15	54:15,19,20
54:2	117:4 126:7	represent 9:16	98:12 100:22	55:8,9 56:18
referring 42:10	relate 113:2,2	86:9 87:16	111:11 114:10	58:1 67:24
44:12 57:1	related 14:21	represented	117:3 126:7	69:5,7 131:12
69:21 70:3	16:8 19:7	14:8	requires 25:16	131:15
refineries 62:17	52:23 53:2	representing	26:15 27:7	responses 3:4,5
refinery 45:16	relational	6:14 15:17	86:12 100:5	10:18,24 11:6
45:19	112:20	90:22 125:9	requiring 17:9	12:14 35:24
reflect 28:17	relayed 118:9	request 74:17	research 113:9	40:19 53:24
reflecting 43:6	reliability 64:20	75:7 77:18	reserve 7:15	57:11 69:3
reflects 16:21	relies 33:21	98:10 114:3	resident 75:6	74:2
18:23 62:11	relieved 84:10	115:2 116:7	96:3 102:10	responsibility
99:15	Relieving 101:2	125:3,24	111:2 121:18	47:19 84:10
regard 33:21	rely 33:5,11	126:22 131:11	127:11	128:21
47:1	51:21 99:6	131:18	residents 102:8	responsible
regarding 39:14	remainder 88:3	requested 41:3	119:15 126:10	98:21 128:17
54:23 63:13	remaining 91:2	51:4 55:16	residual 14:13	rest 107:8 130:7
64:10 80:17	remarks 3:3,6	59:5 65:5 83:2	resolve 7:7	restraint 91:7
89:19 90:20	110:13	requests 59:9	resolved 6:15	restrictions
91:2	remember 37:1	98:6	resolves 7:14	112:2
Regardless	56:22 93:23	require 77:2,8	resources 90:2	result 28:10
20:20 100:6	120:4,4,8	77:13 88:12	respect 111:14	55:22 64:19
regions 51:20	121:8	97:13 99:14	respectfully	results 14:3
regular 107:15	remind 21:16	102:20 114:8	77:18	64:21
regulate 61:8	remove 85:8	115:7	respiratory 26:6	return 32:24
regulated 42:12	99:23 101:12	required 14:2	83:18 98:1	returned 122:8
62:16	removed 33:17	17:23 19:16	101:24 102:2	reverend 110:8
regulation 61:19	33:20 50:7,20	20:5,8,22	106:1 118:4	110:10,17
65:10,13	Rendulich 24:16	28:13 29:9	119:15 122:6	115:15 121:6
regulations	24:17 25:2	30:10 31:20	respond 27:12	Reverend's
44:16 61:20	117:12,13,14	38:4 42:11	52:10 59:14	117:9
80:11 84:12,22	renege 116:22	44:17 62:4	60:6,8 63:13	review 5:9 27:16
85:8 100:4,17	reopened 28:3	requirement	64:9	45:2
102:20 111:20	repairing	31:6 32:17	responded 7:23	reviewed 35:10

July 29, 2015

Page 155

r				rage 100
revised 47:13	68:13,19 73:11	46:19 60:17	59:17,19 64:1	12:3,6,8 14:20
85:8	74:14 77:22	75:10 77:6	Sahu's 59:23	16:6 27:5,6
revolution		83:23 91:16	60:21 61:4	29:7,9 30:9
129:24	78:18 79:5,13 80:18 81:1,11			31:1 52:19
	,	92:1,4,14,15	sample 62:5	
ridden 106:16	85:13 88:18	97:16 114:7,8	Sanitary 96:23	80:2,4 82:22
rides 106:2,4	90:11 92:17	114:12,16	sat 93:3	85:9
riding 106:10	95:22 97:18	117:7 125:2	save 100:1	Sections 5:5
108:14	102:22 103:3	126:3,9	101:11	15:2
right 20:3 39:23	105:16 110:6	rule-making	saving 113:19	see 15:13 18:2
46:2 48:3 52:7	115:11 117:10	126:23 127:3	saw 52:19	20:11 28:20
75:21,24 78:23	119:20 121:1	rulemaking 5:4	saying 9:19 10:7	30:11 32:21
93:20 94:3	125:6,13,19	20:7,10,13	15:20 70:9	51:20 57:8
95:6 106:20,24	127:5 130:17	21:7 27:14,23	103:11,15	58:9 69:10
107:13,22	130:20 132:12	35:14,18 36:2	109:23	76:13 85:15,18
116:13 119:18	rode 106:3	36:14 49:20	says 69:7 118:22	95:8 111:16
123:7,10,22	role 83:13	65:1,21 66:19	scarred 76:10	122:7 123:8
risk 114:8	rolled 93:23	77:19 79:24	scary 106:18	127:13
118:17	rolling 56:7,11	115:3 131:19	120:21	seeing 10:10,13
river 72:20,23	Romeoville	Rulemaking	scenery 108:9	11:4,17 52:22
73:6 96:21,22	119:1 120:5,12	1:7	schedule 132:22	53:4,16 58:22
96:24	121:24 124:10	rules 42:11 59:9	schedules 74:1	73:14 78:3
Robertson 1:13	125:23	60:1 116:3,24	SCHIFF 2:20	79:7 81:3,6,17
2:4 4:1,9 6:23	Ron 6:16 20:11	117:2 130:2	school 96:6	131:1,16 132:5
7:9,18 10:22	119:6	131:18,22	113:1,1 121:9	132:7
11:13 12:9,17	roofs 104:20	ruling 128:18	121:9	seek 127:16
13:9 15:8,11	rookie 112:7	run 26:9,11 41:6	scientific 112:8	seeking 111:9
16:12 18:3	room 85:16	41:13 42:2	112:9,18 113:9	seen 51:6 94:13
19:4,8 20:1	108:5 124:9	98:23 99:3	screeching	104:2,15
21:11,15 23:1	128:9,9	113:23	127:18	sees 80:3
24:3,13 25:11	roots 117:16	running 94:5	scrubber 98:13	select 17:21 30:8
26:2 27:8 28:7	Rory 12:2 47:22	107:10 124:14	99:4,16,21	selected 14:19
29:4 30:13	roughly 98:19	runs 41:20,20	100:5	15:5
32:3,7,22	98:22	rural 64:17 90:3	scrubbers 29:14	selection 18:1
36:11 37:14	round 33:13		88:1 101:8	64:14
39:8,17 40:5	34:8	S	scrubbing 98:13	sell 45:9
42:5,20 46:3	RPR 1:14 134:6	S 2:1 3:9	102:19	send 104:5
47:3,6,24 48:9	134:17	S-A-H-U 6:17	searching 76:9	sense 42:3 105:6
48:24 50:12,21	Ruining 15:18	S02 14:2,6 16:16	seat 13:13	sensitive 76:12
50:24 51:12	94:19 117:16	safe 103:12,13	seats 4:7	sent 104:2
52:4,13 53:14	rule 19:17 22:1	103:16,19	second 5:12	sentences 61:2
54:4,9 56:1,15	22:15 25:16,22	104:8,10 122:2	10:18 11:1,6	separate 64:24
58:13,19 60:5	26:15 27:7	125:4	16:1,5 59:11	65:5 84:8
60:7,19 61:11	28:3 30:7	safely 104:7	61:14 94:1	September
61:22 63:8	37:17,22 38:3	Sahu 6:6,16 7:6	131:23	123:24
64:5 67:1,19	41:24 42:13	7:13,16 20:11	section 11:24	series 123:8
	1	1	1	

July 29, 2015

Page 156

1				10.90 100
serve 4:10	36:20 58:14	skipping 90:24	sorbent 29:13	118:17,19,22
served 129:23	59:17 63:18	sleep 129:21	29:19 54:7	121:22 125:17
set 10:18 11:1	83:18 90:8,22	slightly 76:10	55:12 87:24	special 84:20
56:5 69:3 73:5	103:8 115:17	slogans 108:24		-
		0	sorry 15:11	specialty 50:5
84:3	133:4	slower 112:1	19:10 21:16	specific 9:22,23
sets 55:18	sight 127:14	slumber 96:12	32:6 36:13	42:2 52:6 70:1
103:10	sign 4:5 9:10	small 44:7 62:5	39:18 41:15	83:24 84:16
seven 115:1	130:22	113:6	47:5 61:15	87:17 110:20
shadows 118:24	signed 81:19,21	smasher 110:12	68:4 71:20	128:1
shameful 101:14	130:21	smoke 93:9	sort 103:7	specifically 8:22
sheets 4:4 9:9	significant 38:8	111:7 122:4,4	108:14,23	41:13 59:22
81:12,14,20	38:12 67:7	124:23 127:13	130:8	61:18 82:21
129:6	significantly	128:1,14	sorts 104:21	83:7 87:8 90:6
shelf 8:3	61:9	smoker 128:3	sounded 122:16	specified 30:20
Ship 96:23	signup 4:3 9:9	smokestack 94:9	sounds 122:19	specify 25:17
shirk 83:4,6	81:12	SO2 17:12 20:23	source 27:22	spell 50:22
short 20:21	similar 46:19	21:21 22:3,16	28:17 30:24	74:23 82:2,5
74:10	58:5 73:5	28:10,13 29:8	48:19 55:15	125:20
short-term	similarly 7:11	30:17,23 31:19	86:6 87:3,11	spelled 82:17
115:10	19:15	33:6 34:1,16	87:20 88:8	85:22 103:6
shorter 55:6	simple 62:23	34:23 35:2,22	94:17 97:7,8	110:11 120:1
57:16 91:5	111:12	37:18 38:8,12	sources 1:9 4:15	spelling 125:8
92:12	Sims 12:7	38:13 39:14	13:24 14:5,8,9	spends 75:23
shorthand	single 87:19	47:14 48:15	14:19 15:1,5	spent 52:18
134:10,12	singled 70:4,7	50:6 60:4 62:9	15:21 16:2,2,6	96:15
shortly 74:19	70:13	63:14 66:20	17:10,12,19,22	spew 128:8
shots 127:20	SIP 28:16 86:23	67:6,9 68:2,3	19:13,15,21	spewed 124:15
shout 115:22	88:10 89:8	68:10 84:1,3,9	30:9,17,20,21	spewing 124:23
show 129:5	SIPS 86:13,15	84:22 85:8	31:15,18 36:18	spikes 92:2,7
showed 113:4	89:7	87:20,24 89:7	42:12,16 43:4	spirit 93:17
116:7	sir 15:15 19:5	116:5,16 118:1	43:9,23 44:5,7	spoke 131:2
shut 24:18,19,23	21:13 26:3	119:12	44:13 48:14	sports 127:22
25:21 26:24	37:14 50:13	society 128:23	60:2,14,24	Sprague 12:4,14
50:15 93:11	sister-in-law	solids 75:20	61:8 64:16	23:10,11 25:4
shutdown 28:10	118:3	solve 101:14	86:19 87:3,7,9	33:4 47:17
shuttered 98:20	sitting 10:5 82:8	somebody 51:14	88:8 97:3 99:8	48:12,16 49:4
shutting 24:8	112:4	129:8,12,16	115:1 119:4	49:16,22,24
sic 122:2	situation 27:16	somebody's	south 2:21	50:4,17
sick 76:5 124:24	28:1 87:17	129:4	106:10 132:24	spreadsheet
side 101:22	94:7	somewhat	speak 8:13,16	13:23
112:4	situations	108:11	19:9 27:5	spreadsheets
sidestep 117:5	109:14	son 76:4	74:22 88:24	13:19 89:20
Sierra 6:2,5,10	six 17:4 122:7	son 76.4 sooner 104:11	121:16	Springfield 2:12
6:14 7:20 8:21	size 98:20	sooner 104.11 soot 118:11		2:17 5:14
			speaking 10:4	
12:21 13:16	skip 57:12	119:2	82:2 85:19	SS 134:2
	I	I	I	I

July 29, 2015

Page 157

				5
stack 32:1 64:16	24:14 37:17	25:13	subjected 111:8	91:13
93:9 122:4	38:13 45:21	sticking 130:16	subjected 111.0 submit 85:24	supplemental
128:1	49:5 59:11,15	stop 105:8	88:17	70:23 71:4,10
stacks 111:7	62:16 65:17	109:22 130:15	submitted 73:23	89:11 91:14
124:13,23	74:23 77:5	stopped 51:8	131:21	92:5,14
127:14 128:14	82:1,2 86:13	store 122:9	submitting	supplier 46:11
staff 5:1 8:6	90:2 94:6	stories 118:9	78:19	supply 52:8
55:21 58:24	97:16 98:5	story 75:13	subsequently	104:7
73:15 81:4	99:11 100:4	121:19	55:18	support 18:1
132:6	102:6,20	straight 12:11	substantive 5:10	40:20 47:11
stage 132:4	114:11 126:8	strategy 64:19	substituted	48:13,17 73:2
stages 36:3	131:20 134:1,7	90:5	84:23	supported
stair 106:4	134:9	street 1:17 2:2	succeed 112:24	105:24
stand 126:14	stated 26:24	2:16 106:24	113:1	supporting
standard 38:13	53:19 59:17,24	107:13 122:13	suffer 118:3	89:17
47:14 62:12,21	80:20 131:13	132:24	119:15 126:10	supports 80:10
68:7,7,8 80:15	statement 11:16	streets 104:21	126:19	suppose 12:23
82:23 89:13	37:1 43:21	strength 26:11	suffering 103:22	supposed 27:17
100:3,11 101:2	54:14 64:10	strengthen	suffers 119:8	54:12
101:10,11	78:17 93:2	117:6	sufficient 26:8	Supreme 128:15
103:10,15,18	130:16	strengthened	sufficiently 72:5	sure 9:18 10:6
114:19	statements	75:10 114:7	72:16	16:4 46:21
standards 75:10	10:12,14,16	125:2 126:4	suggest 72:1	50:7,19 52:2
83:22 85:3	63:22 78:9	strict 100:16	suggestion 62:20	63:22 66:14
87:5 89:5	states 66:22	stringent 22:20	Suite 2:3,21	75:20,21 76:15
99:10 114:6	86:12 119:5	91:7,9,17	sulfur 1:6 4:13	93:16 115:24
126:3	stating 64:11	94:12	14:12,13,14,15	125:2
standing 37:18	station 23:11	stronger 77:13	15:22 20:6	surprise 114:17
128:9	38:8 72:20,24	struck 93:6	26:12 31:5	118:2
standpoint	73:6 98:7	struggle 119:9	44:8,17 45:2,4	surprised 76:18
111:1	121:24 122:2	struggling 76:14	45:10,13,14,22	surprising 17:4
start 6:10 81:18	stationary 87:2	stuck 115:23	52:20 53:6,8	surrounded
82:12	87:7,9,19	studies 112:18	62:9,15,18,23	127:12
started 4:2	status 26:22	study 113:3	75:9 77:9,15	surrounding
10:10 15:19	stay 109:5	131:12,14	80:6,7,14	117:23
60:11 120:20	staying 96:12	stuff 94:14	99:15,23	suspect 128:9
121:12	stays 92:9	107:14,24	100:22 101:10	swear 39:21,24
starting 11:18	steam 69:24	109:23 128:5	101:24 102:13	47:22 48:1,4
21:1 59:23	70:11,12	subject 15:24	102:19 104:13	78:21,24
starts 62:2	step 85:17	16:2 20:23	114:5 126:2	swim 97:1
106:14 startup 28:10.24	109:19 110:3	21:21 30:9	128:7 130:6 sulfuric 102:3	swimming 120:6 switch 13:12
startup 28:10,24 29:2	120:3 STEPHEN 2:23	39:13 43:5,10 43:11,15 44:1	104:13	34:20 35:7
state 1:15 9:15	stepping 130:4	43:11,13 44:1		129:16
9:21 20:4	Steve 7:12 19:6	108:24	summary 89:20 supplement	switching 22:9
7.21 20.4	Sieve 1.12 19.0	100.24	supprement	switching 22.9
	I	I	I	I

July 29, 2015

Page 158

				9
23:4,8 24:7,10	55:13 86:21,22	7:9,18 12:9,18	113:18	85:12 91:5
24:23	87:12 88:9	13:10 16:10,12	theory 108:6	92:12,16 94:24
sworn 39:19	99:7,16,17,21	19:3,9,24 20:2	thing 76:3,20	96:9 102:21
47:21 101:3	99:22 113:14	21:14 22:24	94:1,2 108:4	112:5 113:12
system 21:21	113:14	24:4,6 26:1	109:12 119:18	123:19,23
22:1,16,20	tell 46:22 113:13	27:9 28:8 29:5	things 46:17	124:20 127:15
systems 29:14	113:17 121:20	30:14 32:4,8	75:24 93:24	127:17 128:6
29:20	tells 51:17	32:21 37:3,11	94:12 104:22	129:15 130:7
	ten 4:2 75:6	39:7 40:5	106:6 109:24	130:24 133:15
T	ten-minute 74:7	42:19 46:3	118:6 124:13	times 106:17
T 3:9	term 70:24	48:9,21 49:23	think 13:7 50:6	109:7
table 4:4	terminology	50:11,24 52:12	50:7,9,18	Tipsord 2:9 5:3
take 5:19 9:18	68:5	52:13 54:9	51:10 58:17	tires 107:16,17
31:22 42:3,9	terms 8:18	56:1,14 58:12	66:13 69:14	today 4:19 5:1
52:7 57:10	Terrence 110:18	58:17,19 59:15	73:23 74:6	5:22 6:19,22
74:6 93:8	Terry 110:8	60:19 61:11,22	93:2 95:1	8:12,16,19 9:5
94:22 96:17	test 62:7	63:8,10 64:5	104:4 106:17	10:9,14 47:10
107:21	testified 40:23	66:1,24 67:19	116:12 118:16	73:18 75:12
taken 1:13	41:16	68:12,21 73:10	124:5,8,12	79:18 81:8
134:13	testify 9:5 80:4	73:11,17,21	128:20 130:3	86:1 88:17,24
takes 111:19,20	81:13,15	74:4,9 75:2	130:14 131:8	90:17,18,19
119:6 122:6	testifying 9:2,19	77:21,22 78:11	thinking 93:17	91:1 92:16,23
talk 32:10 51:15	47:10 59:17	79:5,14 80:18	121:12	95:2 97:23
96:5,19 109:12	75:5 79:18	80:23 81:7,8	third 5:18 7:7	105:21 109:17
123:1	testimony 5:15	81:10 82:18	59:18 63:11	110:13 114:3
talked 15:22,23	5:23,23 6:3,6	85:12,13,19,24	67:2,4 112:23	116:17 118:12
talking 32:16	7:16 8:11,20	88:16,18,23	113:5	118:22 121:15
64:24 65:1	9:3,6,12 11:18	90:10,11,16	thought 122:15	121:18 125:18
86:2 106:21	12:10 20:11	92:16,17,22	three 5:12 24:18	131:2 133:10
talks 34:12	33:1 34:12	95:21,22 97:17	25:8 47:9	today's 7:19
67:12	35:24 36:24	97:18 102:21	94:18 106:17	132:16
Task 98:14	39:24,24 40:19	102:22 105:16	115:1,23 122:1	toes 109:19
taxes 129:14,15	48:4 59:19,23	109:16 110:5,6	123:20 124:3	110:3
teach 121:6	60:22 61:4	115:10,11,18	tiered 84:3,9	told 76:11 94:24
technical 2:9,10	62:1 63:11	116:5 117:9,10	tiers 15:21	122:14 123:1,3
4:20 18:1	64:8 67:2 74:6	119:20 120:24	tight 73:24	Tom 95:24 96:2
40:20 47:11	74:16 78:4,6	121:1 125:5,6	time 7:17 8:12	tomorrow
48:13 73:2	78:12,15,19,24	125:13 127:1,5	8:14 9:4,7,22	132:17
techniques	79:8,20,21	130:17 131:1	15:14 21:10	topography 49:9
98:15	80:5,10,17,20	132:13 133:10	42:6 50:20	toughest 87:16
technological	81:14 133:2,3	133:14,18	59:8 67:22	town 108:17
87:13	133:13	thanking 110:14	70:14 73:18	township 51:3,3
technologies	testing 32:1	thanks 97:22	75:23 76:24	94:20 125:24
86:6 129:19	tests 123:8,14	105:15	78:14 81:8,8	toxic 100:17,18
technology 20:6	thank 6:12,23	theologian	81:21 84:4	119:2 126:10
	, -		-	
	-	-	-	-

July 29, 2015

Page 159

				2
toxins 117:22	14:7 15:21	24:7,9,22 26:7	34:1,15,22	utilities 116:8
trade-off 83:21	21:7 35:10,14	26:20 45:16	35:2 37:9 38:4	
trail 106:14,15	47:18 59:9	72:11	39:5,13,13	V
106:16,19,19	61:2 70:20	understands	40:12 42:18	V-E-R-E-N-A
106:22 107:13	71:15,19,22	29:13	48:14 54:24	18:7 115:15
trails 106:10,12	84:2,8,12 86:7	understood	55:13,17,23	valley 96:21
106:13	109:6,7 118:4	100:13	58:5,8,9 60:16	vapor 102:3
training 112:10	118:6 120:16	undertake	61:5 70:3,5,7	variability 54:22
transcript 1:12	126:17 131:7	131:13	73:7 83:15	54:23 55:14
5:21 132:15	133:3	undue 112:2	84:6 94:5	63:4,5 72:3,7
134:12	two-thirds 98:22	unenforceable	98:17 100:8	72:21
transferred	type 53:21 54:21	62:13,21	unknown 55:16	variance 116:5
34:13	56:20 57:6,9	unfortunate	unnecessary	variation 45:23
transplant 123:5	69:2,6,8,16,18	117:21	67:17	53:19 69:7
123:6,7,9	69:20 70:1,3	Unfortunately	unobstructed	various 36:20
treat 117:2	87:8	89:14	121:23	41:22 62:16
treated 19:21		union 110:16	unquote 67:12	vary 45:14,18
trees 121:22	U	unit 2:9,10 4:21	unreasonably	vast 62:7
tries 116:21	U-B-A-S-Z-E	12:5,7 16:19	86:10	vehemently
117:1	97:24	17:1 18:8,17	unsafe 75:14	62:15
triggers 102:1	U-R-B-A-S-Z	20:4,9,12 21:9	unusual 25:6	Verena 18:6
trimester 112:23	26:5	23:11 25:8	111:13	115:13,15
113:5	U.S 99:20	26:8,22,23	upgrades 124:19	Verification
true 39:11 49:16	Ubaszewski	27:2 37:10,23	uploaded	31:22
49:18 56:5	97:20,21,21,23	38:17 46:23	132:18	verify 31:19
134:11	ultra 17:17	53:20,21 56:19	urban 64:17	32:19
trust 101:6	ultra-low 14:12	57:6,9 67:5	90:3	verifying 53:8
truth 40:1,2,2,3	15:22 31:5	69:1,6,8,8,15	Urbaszewsi 26:4	version 131:22
48:5,6,6 79:1,2	44:8,17 45:2,3	69:18,20,24	26:5,21	versus 64:17
79:2	45:9,14	70:2,18 83:7	urge 85:7 117:4	90:3
try 51:6 57:11	unable 131:13	84:17,17,23	117:6 119:18	VETTERHO
63:23	uncertainty	85:11 87:19	use 9:20 10:4	10:15
trying 68:15	54:23	88:2,15 91:15	14:12,17,21	Vetterhoffer
93:4 107:20	undergo 49:5	92:6,9 93:5,8	15:2,22 17:16	2:13 7:3,4
116:10,11	123:8	93:16 98:6,6	17:17 21:16	11:21,22 12:12
TSD 36:24	undermine	98:19,20,22	24:4 32:8	13:6
tubes 127:19	101:6	99:1,3,22	44:17 45:3	view 121:23
Tuesday 132:22	underneath	100:2,5 104:13	52:23 53:5	viewpoint 114:2
turn 4:16 42:23	47:18	113:24	54:17 55:3	Village 51:2
112:14 128:22	understand 16:5	United 110:19	74:21 89:10	violating 45:20
turning 42:22	19:12 25:3	119:5	91:2 97:3 99:6	violation 53:4
turns 123:10	35:19 51:24	units 21:1 22:2,6	99:18 127:8	Virgil 105:18,19
twice 98:19	62:21 66:14	22:8,10,16,21	131:22	visits 101:23,23
106:3	93:22 124:5	23:3,7,13,17	uses 32:19	voice 111:4
two 6:5 10:16	understanding	33:2,14,17	usual 126:13	voluntarily

July 29, 2015

Page 160

100:10	we'll 9:2 74:8	winter 121:21	X	42:15
volunteer 96:4	we're 4:24 13:12	wish 8:13,15	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 3:1,9	1.6 101:16
115:17	27:10 70:3	9:13 81:13,15	Λ 3.1,9	10 5:5 53:24
volunteering	74:6 90:24	wishes 8:22 9:5	Y	69:4
130:14	95:5 98:2	9:7	yards 127:21	10:00 1:18 4:2
	105:8 106:20	withdrawing	yeah 70:11	132:23
W	112:12 117:16	80:1	129:24	100 2:2 50:6
W 28:20	118:17	withhold 75:7	year 17:7 96:8	73:24
Wacker 2:21	we've 90:17	114:4 126:1	106:17 109:7	1021 2:11
wait 9:14 123:11	108:20 113:13	witness 52:6	113:4 123:4	11 3:3,4,11,12
waited 114:14	website 5:21 8:5	78:21 81:2	years 46:23	13:20
waiting 123:14	59:13 132:18	119:8 124:3	52:18 75:7	11-500 2:3
walking 4:3	week 6:17,20	126:14	76:6 85:3	11:45 74:7
want 6:10 8:15	65:24 133:14	witnesses 8:23	94:18 99:21	11:55 74:8
10:6 12:22	weekend 96:11	11:20 39:19	102:13 105:23	111 132:24
43:1 60:6	weeks 115:2	47:9 58:21	102:13 103:23	12 63:12 120:2
66:14 73:20	weigh 92:24	73:13,18,22	111:18 115:1	12:00 74:15
106:12 107:3	weight 107:16	133:3	120:11,20	122 15:3
109:19 110:3	111:15	won 127:17	120:11,20	130 3:5
110:13	welcome 10:1	wonder 18:7	121:10 122:2,7	130 3:5 131 3:6
wanted 32:24	130:19 131:24	wondering	yellow 93:9	131 3:6
47:9 82:18	well-aware	24:17	yesterday 6:5	15 14:12 45:24
96:7 97:21	112:12	wooded 109:3,4	yield 38:8	98:19
108:14 121:16	well-being	woods 127:16	young 114:24	15-21 4:18
121:20	112:21	work 47:18 75:3	118:4	162 15:3
wants 8:3 83:6	well-known	103:2 105:24	younger 96:6	17th 78:4 79:20
wasn't 108:8	108:11 124:22	103:22 103:24	124:4	18 69:5
watch 119:2	wells 97:4	121:12	youngest 111:5	1906 98:2
133:16	went 93:11	worked 6:16	119:7 120:12	1900 98:2 19276 2:12
watched 114:15	94:20 122:9	46:22	119.7 120.12	19270 93:23
watching 122:3	123:20 132:14	workout 107:18	Z	1970 95.25 1995 118:8
water 96:24	west 2:2 106:11	works 98:3	Zalewski 2:8	
97:3,5 102:2	121:24	world 76:2	4:24	2
watermelon	wetlands 94:15	worrying 101:22	zip 115:8	2 29:6 47:15
110:12	whatnot 93:4	worse 101:23		61:24 64:9,11
Waukegan	Wheaton 106:17	worsth 113:22	0	84:17
116:14,15	whooping	wouldn't 35:5	0.11 21:4	2,487 17:7
way 19:20 36:4	122:15,16	42:3 66:7	0.13 21:3	2.6 100:14
66:20 68:2,3	wide 14:17 68:8	wound 100:19	0.15 21:2	20 106:11
68:10 85:18	widespread 45:4	wrapping 132:2		2006 83:11
86:9 91:10,17	99:18	written 8:16	1	100:5 116:22
93:17 97:10	willing 126:12	wrong 24:8	1 16:14 17:7	2007 84:15
107:9 122:12	130:11	67:11 95:5	19:14 20:3	100:11 120:23
129:13	willingly 100:10	101:15	27:11 28:9	2010 23:23 24:2
ways 93:4 105:3	wind 97:10	101.15	30:12	47:13 68:7
·	,,, ,,,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1,000 14:14	
1	1	1	1	1

July 29, 2015

Page 161

				Idyc IOI
2011 47:15 49:2	3 22:13 23:11	68:9 73:7	7/29/15 11:12	
49:13 123:3	24:7 25:20	80:13 83:8	79:12	
2014 13:21	26:8,22,23	84:23 85:11	73 3:4	
98:14	30:15 31:10,13	86:11 88:2,13	75 3:4	
2015 1:18 54:1	31:17 34:19	93:5,16 98:6	78 3:4,5	
132:20	37:16 38:18	98:19,23 99:1	782-5544 2:13	
		· ·		
2017 21:1 2018 21:2 80:12	39:4,12 42:24	99:3,15,22	79 3:13 7th 5:7	
2018 21:3 80:13	43:1,3,18,22	100:2,5	/111 5./	
98:9 100:5	44:3,12 67:3	4's 20:12	8	
2019 21:4	73:7 98:20	40 28:20 61:5	8 22:12	
21 98:18	3,000 42:17	42 73:7	80 99:23 100:1	
214 1:6 4:13	60:16	4th 5:19 6:18,20	81 3:5,5	
214.121 15:2	30 55:7 66:7,12	132:22	814-6983 2:4	
214.161 15:3	91:6 93:10	5	85 106:18	
214.201 15:3	99:21 106:2	5 3:3 59:24 73:7	8th 5:14	
214.301 15:3	30-day 54:18		otii 3.14	
80:2	55:9,17 56:7	84:17 50 107:17	9	
214.421 15:3	56:11 57:14,17	50 107:17	963:12	
214.603 14:20	63:15,17 64:1	50s 124:5	90 14:1,5 17:9	
15:6 16:7 29:7	65:4 66:4,16	51 28:20	17:14	
29:9 30:9,21	71:4 72:1	51(c) 29:12	99 14:1,6 17:9	
31:1	90:20 91:3,11	54:20 57:1	17:14	
215 2:16	91:18 92:3,8	69:1	17.14	
217 1:7 2:13,17	92:12 93:12	51(f) 55:9		
4:13	300 108:21	522-5512 2:17		
21st 131:21	302 1:17	580,000 101:18		
22 61:5	31 98:9	6		
225 1:8 4:14	312 2:4,22			
225.295(b)	32 111:18	6 3:3 22:12		
20:24	33 98:18	34:13,14,21		
225.296(b)	35 1:54:12	35:7 60:22		
82:22 85:9	20:24	61:2 62:1		
233 2:21	360 98:18	84:17		
23rd 7:23 78:7	37 120:11	6,000 91:23 92:2		
79:21		6,520 86:11		
24 18:2	4	87:18		
25-mile 117:18	4 3:3 5:5 16:19	60601 2:3		
258-5646 2:22	17:1,6,11 18:8	60606 2:22		
26th 131:17	18:14,17 19:17	62701 2:17		
27 5:5	19:18 20:4,9	62794-9276 2:12		
28 5:5	20:19,22 21:20	6600 2:21		
28.2 5:5	33:7 34:14,21	7		
29th 1:18	35:8,20 53:17			
	53:18 58:1	7 22:12 54:1		
3	67:5,14,18	60:22 61:2		
		132:20		
	•			